



Maryland

Department of Human Services

Child Welfare System Report

Completed pursuant to Family Law § 5-1312(b)

December 1, 2025

REPORT REQUIREMENT

This report is hereby submitted in response to the following reporting requirement:

Legislative Charge

This report is designed to answer the legislative charge from the original [Senate Bill 567 of the 2015 Regular Session](#) and was later amended by [Senate Bill 592 of the 2021 Regular Session](#).

Senate Bill 567 (cross-filed with House Bill 643) was signed into law in 2015. This bill requires the Department of Human Services to provide a report to the General Assembly by December 1st of each year. The following eleven questions are required as part of the legislation and each question requires data to be broken out by jurisdiction, race, gender and age.

- (1) The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations;
- (2) The number of children receiving in-home services;
- (3) The number of new out-of-home placements by placement type;
- (4) The number of exits from the child welfare system by exit type;
- (5) The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 12 months after exit;
- (6) The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 24 months after exit;
- (7) The stability of out-of-home placements, including the number of placement changes;
- (8) The stability of school placements;
- (9) The number who graduate from high school;
- (10) The number who qualify for a Maryland high school diploma by examination;
- (11) The number who receive tuition waivers;

Senate Bill 592, 2021 Regular Session (cross-filed with House Bill 258) was signed into law in 2021. This bill adjusted SB567 (2015) adding additional measures outlined below. Similar to the original bill, each question is broken out by jurisdiction, race, gender and age.

- (12) The incidences of Abuse or Neglect of a Child who, in the immediately preceding 24 months, was not removed from the child's home following an investigation that found indicated or unsubstantiated abuse or neglect;
- (13) The number of children in the custody of a local department or child placement agency who have a sibling who is not in the custody of the same local department or child placement agency;

- (14) The health and mental health care provided, including the stability of health care providers, medications, and psychiatric diagnoses;
- (15) The number of children who are not enrolled in school for more than 1 week immediately following a change in placement; and
- (16) The number of children in an institution of postsecondary education, apprenticeship, or adult education program, disaggregated by program.

The first seven items listed above and numbers 12 through 16 are questions reported on in prior years and are available from the Maryland Department of Human Services, Social Services Administration (DHS/SSA).

Questions 8 through 11 require data sharing between agencies. Questions 8 and 9 require data from the Maryland Longitudinal Data System (MLDS). Questions 10 and 11 require data from Maryland Department of Labor (MDL) and Maryland Longitudinal Data System (MLDS).

In addition to the sixteen questions above eleven questions were added that require the Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) to collaborate with the Department of Human Services. These questions are not included in this report, but rather provided directly by MSDE. The report provided by MSDE is titled “Maryland Public School Students in the State Child Welfare System.”

- (1) The stability of school placements;
- (2) The number of children with individualized education plans;
- (3) The number of children with a plan under § 504 of the federal rehabilitation act;
- (4) The number of children who have been retained a grade, disaggregated by grade;
- (5) The number of children who graduate from high school;
- (6) Dropout rates;
- (7) The number of children who are truant students, as defined in § 7-302.2 of the education article;
- (8) The rates of absenteeism;
- (9) The rates of suspension;
- (10) The rates of expulsion; and
- (11) The number of school-based arrests.

Maryland child welfare placement and permanency data is being added to the Maryland Longitudinal Data System Center (MLDSC) as described in [House Bill 854 \(2021\)](#).

Data for this report was captured entirely from the CJAMS data system using data through June 30, 2025. The run date for this report is August 15, 2025. This report is the eleventh annual report.

Source: Family Law § 5-1312(b)

Acknowledgements

The Executive Summary of this report was prepared by staff at the Department of Human Services, Social Services Administration (DHS/SSA), building on data produced by the faculty and staff at the University of Maryland, School of Social Work's Institute for Innovation and Implementation. Nicholas Kolupanowich and Terry V. Shaw of the University of Maryland developed the performance indicators found in this report in partnership with the Operations, Research, Data and Evaluation team at SSA. While the Department of Human Services provides the report's drafters with underlying data, the final work product and the conclusions reached in the Detailed Data document are those of the University of Maryland's School of Social Work's Institute for Innovation and Implementation.

Executive Summary

The Department of Human Services (DHS), Social Services Administration (SSA) provides a continuum of child and family well-being (child welfare) services in Maryland including Child Protective Services (CPS), Family Preservation Services (FPS)/In-Home Services, Out-of-Home Care Services, and Adoption Services. As Maryland's child and family well-being agency, DHS serves the largest number of children and youth who experience an out-of-home placement, accounting for approximately 90% of children and youth who experienced at least one out-of-home placement in SFY2025¹. The functions of DHS child and family well-being services are carried out by Local Departments of Social Services (LDSS) across the 23 counties and Baltimore City.

We firmly believe that children should live with healthy and strong families, whether by blood or by choice. It is unacceptable to us that any child spends even one night without the warmth and love that only families provide. Any youth experiencing out-of-home placement deserves a safe and nurturing environment. We continue to advocate for policy and practice changes that match our values, shifting towards a kin-first approach.

Research across the country shows that strong family bonds are core to young people's ability to grow and thrive.² DHS is committed to investing in kinship, a proven practice supported by years of research and evidence, to ensure all youth in Maryland have life-long, meaningful family and kin relationships that help them thrive and experience belonging. Effective October 2024, the Maryland General Assembly passed and Governor Moore signed a [state law](#) prioritizing kinship placements when children require out-of-home care, so that these children can live with relatives, either by blood or by choice. Shortly thereafter, in December 2024, SSA launched Family Matters – a set of values, goals, practices, policies, and processes that guide the department's shift to a kin first culture and practice for youth in out-of-home care.

Through Family Matters, SSA strives to:

1. Place young people and families at the center of all that they do;


¹ Governor's Office of Children 2025 Joint Chairmen's Report - FY 2025 State of Maryland Out-of-Home Placement and Family Preservation Resource Plan. Other youth in care are placed by the Department of Juvenile Services.

² Frosch CA, Schoppe-Sullivan SJ, O'Banion DD. Parenting and Child Development: A Relational Health Perspective. *Am J Lifestyle Med*. 2019 May 26;15(1):45-59. doi: 10.1177/1559827619849028. PMID: 33447170; PMCID: PMC7781063.

2. Listen to young people—because youth understand what they need;
3. Believe in young people—and their full potential;
4. Engage young people as partners in decisions that impact them;
5. Lead with love and, by extension, family and kin;
6. Champion strong bonds for young people with family and kin;
7. Connect young people to the resources they need to thrive;
8. Support kinship caregivers for success; and
9. Develop and nurture meaningful and lasting bonds for all young people.

The Child Welfare Performance Indicators Report provides an annual overview of child welfare trends and outcomes in Maryland, as required by Family Law Article §5-1312. This eleventh annual report fulfills the legislative mandates of Senate Bill 567 (2015) and Senate Bill 592 (2021), which directs the Maryland Department of Human Services, Social Services Administration, to report on a comprehensive set of performance indicators disaggregated by jurisdiction, race, gender, and age. All data reported in this document were derived from the Child, Juvenile, and Adult Management System (CJAMS) using data available through June 30, 2025. The run date for this report is August 15, 2025.

Figure 1: Population Trends in CPS, FPS, and OOH Services in Maryland between 2020 and 2025



The trend of consistently decreasing youth in out-of-home care appears to have plateaued. The number of children in out-of-home care decreased from over 10,000 in 2007³ to a total of 3,724 youth in out-of-home care on June 30, 2025. There is a small increase in the point in time count of children in out-of-home care between 2024 and 2025.⁴

Over the last six state fiscal years, the number of children involved in screened in Child Protective Services reports also decreased, as shown in Figure 1, from 39,600 children in SFY2020 to 23,957 in SFY2025. As the number of children screened into CPS has decreased, the proportion of children (and families) that are subsequently served by the child welfare system has increased, whether by Family Preservation Services or out-of-home care. In SFY2020, 35% of children screened in were served by Family Preservation Services (13,863 children / 39,600 children). The proportion of children served by Family Preservation Services in SFY2025 is 45% (10,654 children / 23,957 children).

Child Protective Services

³ An Examination of Reentry into State Sponsored Out-of-Home Care after Reunification in Maryland, Final Report: April 15, 2015


⁴ The count of youth in out-of-home care on June 30, 2025 comes from a snapshot of the CJAMS database as of August 15, 2025. This count may change over time, for example, as youth exit dates get recorded in the system or tickets to update case records may be processed. As a result, this point-in-time count may differ from other publications.

DHS through its Local Departments of Social Services (LDSS) initiates a Child Protective Services (CPS) response when a report of suspected child abuse or neglect is received and screened in. Screening involves intake-worker expertise and a Structured Decision-Making (SDM) tool. The SDM is an evidence-based tool designed to improve the consistency, accuracy, and validity of critical case decisions. The SDM is used to screen reports and determine the type of response warranted. Children and Families screened into CPS receive either an Alternative Response (AR) or an Investigative Response (IR). An AR is a less formal, non-adversarial response designed for lower risk cases. An AR case does not result in a formal finding of abuse or neglect. It focuses on collaborating with the family to assess their needs, address safety concerns, and connect them with services.

An Investigative Response (IR) is the traditional and more authoritative response reserved for higher risk cases. It involves a formal investigation to determine if abuse or neglect occurred. The goal is to make a finding that the allegations are "indicated" (substantiated), "unsubstantiated," or "ruled out."

The number of children involved in Child Protective Services (CPS) cases has decreased over the recent state fiscal years, as illustrated by population trends in Figure 1. Alternative Response continues to be the dominant type of CPS response, accounting for the largest percentage of cases—from a high of 48% in SFY2020 to 42% in SFY2025, as detailed in Figure 2, which also outlines case findings. The data indicate that a substantial proportion of screened-in reports are resolved through approaches that address safety issues without requiring a formal investigation. Of the cases that received an IR, indicated findings rose from 15% in State Fiscal Year (SFY) 2020 to a peak of 19% in SFY25. Ruled-out findings represent roughly one-quarter of all responses, remaining roughly level from 27% in SFY2020 to 26% in SFY2025.

Figure 2: CPS Response by State Fiscal Year, AR/IR-Disposition



In-Home (Family Preservation) Services

In Maryland, more children are served through Family Preservation Services programs than in out-of-home placement. Family Preservation Services are provided to support families who come to the attention of child protective services or to mitigate risks that would require an out-of-home placement.

Family Preservation Services involve workers collaborating with families to develop plans and connect them with resources to achieve stability and self-sufficiency. These services encompass a broad range of supports, including case management, help securing housing, employment and education, and assistance addressing physical and mental health or substance use treatment. Additionally, family preservation services provide practical assistance for daily life, like budgeting guidance, transportation, and childcare.


Caseworkers assess the safety and risk level for each family utilizing the Safety Assessment For Every Child (SAFE-C) and the Maryland Family Risk Assessment (MFRA). The SAFE-C assesses the safety of children in Maryland's child welfare system who are at home with their family. The MFRA is a tool designed to help child welfare workers identify risk factors and determine the potential for further risk if services are not put in place. Services to families are

tailored based on these assessments. Services are also informed by the family version of the Child and Adolescent Needs and Strengths (CANS-F) assessment. The CANS-F is used to assess the needs and strengths of both the child and their family, with the goal of providing appropriate services and interventions to support the well-being of the child within their family environment. Caseworkers in Family Preservation develop a service plan with families based on identified needs. When families actively engage with Family Preservation Services, the department can prevent future maltreatment and reduce the need for out-of-home placement.

From SFY2020 to SFY2025, the number of children receiving Family Preservation services decreased significantly, falling from 13,863 to 10,654. The overall decline in children served aligns with a documented reduction in screened-in Child Protective Services (CPS) reports, the main source of referrals for these services. Despite the overall decrease in the number of children served, the proportion of screened-in CPS cases that were referred to Family Preservation rose during this period, increasing from 35% in SFY2020 to 45% in SFY2025.

Children aged 0 to 11 constitute the dominant group served through the Family Preservation program, accounting for the overwhelming majority—approximately three-quarters (74% to 76%)—of all children served during this timeframe, as shown in Figure 3. In contrast, the 16 to 17 year old age bracket represents a much smaller portion of children receiving Family Preservation services, making up 6% in SFY2020 and slightly increasing to 8% of cases in SFY2025.


Figure 3: Children receiving FPS Services by age



Out-of-Home Placements

After an investigation determines that it is not safe for a child to remain at home, children may temporarily experience out-of-home care. The priority is to place children with family by choice or by blood whenever possible. The percentage of children initially placed in family homes by DHS increased over the past six fiscal years, rising from 85% in SFY2020 to 91% in SFY2025 (see Figure 4). See the detailed data results in Question 3.

Figure 4: First Entries to Family Homes




The preferred outcome for children experiencing out-of-home care is reunification. If reunification is not possible, then adoption or guardianship will be explored; all three are considered exiting to permanency. Figure 5 shows exits to permanency during the last six state fiscal years. In SFY2025, 82% of exits were to permanency; the remaining youth primarily aged out of care. There has been some variation in the exits to permanency over the past six years⁵, but the trend over the last 3 years is an increasing proportion of exits to permanency.

In February 2025, DHS launched a new practice to focus on the well-being of emerging adults to end the cycle of "aging out" of foster care via the Family Matters Initiative. Through deep-dive case reviews for youth aged 14 and older who have been in care for more than two years, DHS aimed to identify and address persistent barriers to permanency. Enhanced transitional planning will prioritize safe housing, workforce development, and the establishment of permanent connections to supportive adults. Directors and Assistant Directors from all 24 Local Departments of Social Services participate in case reviews, fostering a collaborative environment for learning and problem solving.

⁵ The decrease in exits to permanency in SFY2022 is likely related to the enduring effects of the pandemic.

Figure 5: Exits to Permanency



Maryland Population Context

This section offers a concise demographic overview by comparing the statewide census data for youth in Maryland to the population of Maryland youth who are in out-of-home care.

The data is disaggregated by age, race, and gender. The overall statewide population counts are sourced from the Bridged-Race Population Estimates Data Files provided by the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) and are used consistently throughout this report.⁶ The population of children in out-of-home care in Maryland is based on the point-in-time count as of June 30, 2025.

	Maryland Youth Overall		Youth in Care	
Age Groups	#	%	#	%
0 to 4	358,879	23%	989	27%
5 to 11	520,331	33%	908	24%
12 to 15	304,094	19%	671	18%
16 to 17	150,615	10%	510	14%
18 to 20	227,226	15%	646	17%
<u>Totals</u>	<u>1,561,145</u>	<u>100%</u>	<u>3,724</u>	<u>100%</u>
Race/ Ethnicity	#	%	#	%
Black	510,049	33%	2,101	56%
White	682,787	44%	850	23%
Hispanic	250,611	16%	357	10%
All Other	117,698	8%	322	9%
Declined	N/A		94	3%
<u>Totals</u>	<u>1,561,145</u>	<u>100%</u>	<u>3,724</u>	<u>100%</u>

⁶ Documentation of The Bridged-Race Population Estimates Data Files is available online at: http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nvss/bridged_race/data_documentation.htm. All Census based data use the July 1, 2021, census estimates (the same estimates used in the previous report). The updated estimates by race/ethnicity have not been made available by the Census Bureau at the time this report was created.

Gender	#	%	#	%
Female	765,244	49%	1,851	49.7%
Male	795,901	51%	1,850	49.7%
Transgender	N/A	0%	23	0.6%
<u>Totals</u>	<u>1,561,145</u>	<u>100%</u>	<u>3,724</u>	<u>100%</u>

Figure 6: Age Groups for Youth Ages 0 to 20




Figure 7: Race/Ethnicity for Youth Ages 0 to 20





Figure 8: Gender for Youth Ages 0 to 20



Technical Notes

The data in this report are based on the July 1, 2021, census estimates, which are the same estimates utilized in the prior report. At the time of this report's creation, the Census Bureau had not yet released the updated estimates broken down by race and ethnicity.

Below are technical notes on how DHS created the data reports related to the additional questions in this document. These technical notes will be repeated for each question as well.

(1) The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations

The base for this question is the number of children who have been screened-in to CPS whose response was completed during the State Fiscal Year. A child can have more than one allegation during a CPS event, and it is possible for there to be more than one CPS event during the state fiscal year. Therefore, the total number of CPS responses (the sum of the Alternative Response and the Investigative Response) can be higher than the total number of children who have experienced a CPS response.

(2) The number of children receiving In-Home (Family Preservation) services

The basis for this question is the number of unique cases and unique children in those who have been part of a Family Preservation Service during the State Fiscal Year.

(3) The number of new out-of-home placements by placement type

The basis for this question is the number of first time (new) entries into Maryland State Supervised out-of-home care during the State Fiscal Year. New entries imply that the child/youth is entering out-of-home care during the state fiscal year and that this is the first time that this child/youth has ever entered into out-of-home care in Maryland.

(4) The number of exits from the child welfare system by exit type

This report is focused on children who leave state sponsored out-of-home care during the state fiscal year broken out by the type of exit. Of note, the response to this question is based on State Fiscal Year 2025, Questions 5 and 6 use a different base time period.

(5) The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 12 months after exit in Maryland;

This report is focused on children who leave state sponsored out-of-home care to return to their home of origin (reunification). Other forms of permanency are not included in this report. Reentries means that the child/youth re-entered state sponsored out-of-home care within one year after the reunification. Because there is a need to ensure that there is an entire year after the reunification, the base of the file consists of exited to reunification in SFY2024.

(6) The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 24 months after exit in Maryland;

This report is focused on children who leave state sponsored out-of-home care to return to their home of origin (reunification). Other forms of permanency are not included in this report. Reentries means that the child/youth re-entered state sponsored out-of-home care within two years after the reunification. Because there is a need to ensure that there is an entire two year period after the reunification, the base of the file consists of exits to reunification in SFY2023.

(7) The stability of out-of-home placements, including the number of placement changes in Maryland;

Placement stability is calculated as the number of placement moves experienced by children in out-of-home divided by the total number of days that children have been in care over that time period. The results are expressed as moves per 1,000 days. This is the same methodology that will be used to measure placement stability in the Child and Family Service Reviews.

**(9) The number of youth who graduate from high school and
(10) The number of youth who qualify for a Maryland high school diploma by examination**

The information for these questions are based on the information available in CJAMS only. This is not based on linking data between child welfare and the education system. The base is all children in Placement and Permanency Services (out-of-home care) in State Fiscal Year 2025 who were documented as being in 12th grade at the end of State Fiscal Year 2025. Of those children what percentage have an indication that they graduated high school and what percentage had an indication that they received a GED.

(12) The Incidences of Abuse or Neglect of a Child who, in the immediately preceding 24 months, was not removed from the child's home following an investigation that found indicated or unsubstantiated abuse or neglect;

The base for this question was the number of CPS responses seen in Question 1:

The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations.

For the youth identified in the base any prior investigations that had a disposition of indicated or unsubstantiated in the preceding 24 months were identified. These instances were examined to see if any of those indicated or unsubstantiated investigations led to the removal of the child.

(13) The number of children in the custody of a local department or child placement agency who have a sibling who is not in the custody of the same local department or child placement agency;

The population is a Point in Time on the last day of SFY2025, June 30, 2025. All children in care on that day are included. Any children or youth who shared a case ID are considered case/family. The analysis provides two metrics: sibling groups where there is a sibling that is not in the custody of the same local department (interpreted as there is a sibling in care who is the responsibility of a different jurisdiction); and sibling groups where the children are placed in different jurisdictions (interpreted as a sibling in care who has a placement in a different jurisdiction even if the responsibility for the siblings reside in the same jurisdiction).

(14) The health and mental health care provided, including the stability of health care providers, medications and psychiatric diagnoses.

The population is a point-in-time on the last day of SFY2025, June 30, 2025. All children in care on that day are included.

Any physical or mental health exam during SFY2025 was included in the analysis. Exams that counted as a physical exam included "Initial Health Examination", "Comprehensive Health Examination", "Annual Health Examination" or "Follow-up Health Examination". Exams that counted as a mental health exam included "Mental Health Therapist / Counselor" and "Mental Health".

The presence of a psychiatric diagnosis was also determined using data maintained in the CJAMS data system. Medications were obtained through the CJAMS data system.

(15) The number of children who are not enrolled in school for more than 1 week immediately following a change in placement.

Any change in placement that took place during SFY2025 was the base population for this question. Placements where the child was under 5 years old at the time of the placement change, and any placement change that occurred outside of the school year (between June 7 and August 31) were then removed from the population.

Education data was obtained from the CJAMS data system and compared to the child's entry date for any new placement. There were three possible outcomes. First, a child could have a start date before their placement entry, indicating that they stayed enrolled in the same school. Second, a child could have a start date within 7 days of their placement entry, indicating that they were enrolled in a new school within one week. The final possible outcome is that a child could have a start date more than 7 days after placement entry, indicating they were enrolled in a new school over a week after.

Note: Only children with education information were used to calculate the percentage of each outcome.

(16) The number of children in an institution of postsecondary education, apprenticeship, or adult education program, disaggregated by program.

For this measure we looked at all children who were in care for any part of SFY2025 and 16 or older at the start of the timeframe (July 1, 2024).

Information was then brought in from the CJAMS data system education fields to determine what type of education the child received.

The following education types are listed in the report: "College/University" and "Post-Secondary" were grouped under "College/University" "Trade School" "Vocational/Technical Training"

Acknowledgements

The Executive Summary of this report was prepared by staff at the Department of Human Services, Social Services Administration (DHS/SSA), building on data produced by the faculty and staff at the University of Maryland, School of Social Work's Institute for Innovation and Implementation. Nicholas Kolupanowich and Terry V. Shaw of the University of Maryland developed the performance indicators found in this report in partnership with the Operations, Research, Data and Evaluation team at SSA. While the Department of Human Services provides the report's drafters with underlying data, the final work product and conclusions reached in the Detailed Data document are that of the University of Maryland's School of Social Work's Institute for Innovation and Implementation.

Child Welfare Performance Indicators Report

Maryland Department of Human Services
Social Services Administration

December 2025

Question 1: The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations

The base for this question is the number of children who have been screened-in to CPS, who have a finding during the State Fiscal Year. A child can have more than one allegation during a CPS event, and it is possible for there to be more than one CPS event during the state fiscal year. Therefore, the total number of CPS responses (the sum of the Alternative Response and the Investigative Response) can be higher than the total number of children who have experienced a CPS response.

The results for each jurisdiction can be found in [Appendix 1: Question 1 - Jurisdictional Context](#).

Table 1: Examination of Investigative Response/Alternative Response for State Fiscal Year 2025 in Maryland

		Investigative Response									
	Children	Alternative Response		Investigative Response		Indicated		Unsubstantiated		Ruled Out	
Age Groups	(A)	(B) n	(B/A) %	(C) n	(C/A) %	(D) n	(D/C) %	(I) n	(I/C) %	(O) n	(O/C) %
Totals	23,957	10,103	42.17%	13,854	57.83%	4,447	32.10%	3,128	22.58%	6,279	45.32%
Ages 0 to 4	5,816	2,206	37.93%	3,610	62.07%	1,300	36.01%	855	23.68%	1,455	40.30%
Ages 5 to 11	10,259	4,806	46.85%	5,453	53.15%	1,617	29.65%	1,193	21.88%	2,643	48.47%
Ages 12 to 15	5,550	2,257	40.67%	3,293	59.33%	1,051	31.92%	728	22.11%	1,514	45.98%
Ages 16 to 18	2,285	818	35.80%	1,467	64.20%	471	32.11%	344	23.45%	652	44.44%
Age Invalid	39	***	20.51%	31	79.49%	***	25.81%	***	25.81%	15	48.39%
Age Missing	***	***	100.0%	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender											
Totals	23,957	10,103	42.17%	13,854	57.83%	4,447	32.10%	3,128	22.58%	6,279	45.32%
Female	12,281	4,805	39.13%	7,476	60.87%	2,480	33.17%	1,652	22.10%	3,344	44.73%
Male	11,584	5,265	45.45%	6,319	54.55%	1,944	30.76%	1,463	23.15%	2,912	46.08%
Transgender	56	15	26.79%	41	73.21%	15	36.59%	***	19.51%	18	43.90%
Other/Unkn own	36	18	50.00%	18	50.00%	***	44.44%	***	27.78%	***	27.78%
Race/Ethnicity											
Totals	23,957	10,103	42.17%	13,854	57.83%	4,447	32.10%	3,128	22.58%	6,279	45.32%
Hispanic	3,145	1,379	43.85%	1,766	56.15%	644	36.47%	386	21.86%	736	41.68%
Black	9,657	3,592	37.20%	6,065	62.80%	1,998	32.94%	1,373	22.64%	2,694	44.42%
White	5,572	2,193	39.36%	3,379	60.64%	1,107	32.76%	695	20.57%	1,577	46.67%
All Other	367	166	45.23%	201	54.77%	58	28.86%	31	15.42%	112	55.72%
Unable to Determine	5,216	2,773	53.16%	2,443	46.84%	640	26.20%	643	26.32%	1,160	47.48%

Question 2: The number of children and foster youth receiving In-Home.

The base for this question is the number of unique cases and unique children in those who have been part of an Family Preservation Service during the State Fiscal Year.

Table 2:All In-Home Services - State Fiscal Year 2025 in Maryland

	All In-Home Services State Fiscal Year 2025	
Age Group	Cases	Children
All Ages	4,807	10,654
Ages 0 to 4	.	4,059
Ages 5 to 11	.	3,790
Ages 12 to 15	.	1,872
Ages 16 to 17	.	854
Age Unknown	.	79
Gender		
Totals	4,807	10,654
Female	.	5,249
Male	.	5,380
Transgender	.	19
Other/Unknown	.	***
Race/Ethnicity		
Totals	4,807	10,654
Hispanic	.	878
Black	.	4,048
White	.	2,815
All Other	.	624
Unable to Determine		.

The results for each jurisdiction can be found in [Appendix 2: Question 2 - Jurisdictional Context](#).

Question 3: The number of new out-of-home placements by placement type

The base for this question is the number of first time (new) entries into Maryland State Supervised out-of-home care during the State Fiscal Year. New entries imply that the child/youth is entering out-of-home care during the state fiscal year and that this is the first time that this child/youth has ever entered into out-of-home care in Maryland.

The results for each jurisdiction can be found in [Appendix 3: Question 3 - Jurisdictional Context](#).

Table 3: New out-of-home placements by placement type between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025 in Maryland

		Removals by placement type							
		Community-Based Residential Placement		Family Home Settings		Non-Community Based Residential Placement		All Other Settings	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)
Totals	1,275	53	4.2%	1,162	91.1%	35	2.7%	25	2.0%
Ages 0 to 4	609	***	0.0%	602	98.9%	***	0.0%	***	1.1%
Ages 5 to 11	341	13	3.8%	323	94.7%	***	0.0%	***	1.5%
Ages 12 to 15	226	23	10.2%	168	74.3%	28	12.4%	***	3.1%
Ages 16 to 17	99	17	17.2%	69	69.7%	***	7.1%	***	6.1%
Gender									
Totals	1,275	53	4.2%	1,162	91.1%	35	2.7%	25	2.0%
Female	636	20	3.1%	584	91.8%	19	3.0%	13	2.0%
Male	635	32	5.0%	577	90.9%	15	2.4%	11	1.7%
Transgender	***	***	25.0%	***	25.0%	***	25.0%	***	25.0%
Race/Ethnicity									
Totals	1,275	53	4.2%	1,162	91.1%	35	2.7%	25	2.0%
Hispanic	116	***	6.9%	98	84.5%	***	3.4%	***	5.2%
Black	641	28	4.4%	590	92.0%	11	1.7%	12	1.9%
White	338	***	2.7%	309	91.4%	15	4.4%	***	1.5%
All other	129	***	6.2%	118	91.5%	***	2.3%	***	0.0%
Unable to determine	51	***	0.0%	47	92.2%	***	3.9%	***	3.9%

Question 4: The number of exits from the child welfare system by exit type

This report is focused on children who leave state sponsored out-of-home care during the state fiscal year broken out by the type of exit. Of note, the response to this question is based on State Fiscal Year 2025, Questions 5 and 6 use a different base time period.

The results for each jurisdiction can be found in [Appendix 4: Question 4 - Jurisdictional Context](#).

Table 4: Exits by return reason code between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025 in Maryland

		Exits by return type													
		Reunification		Adoption		Custody/ Guardianship Relative		Custody/ Guardianship Non-Relative		Emancipation		Court ordered return home against DSS recommenda tion		Other	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)	n (G)	% (G/A)	n (H)	% (H/A)
Totals	1,404	504	35.9 %	261	18.6 %	275	19.6 %	84	6.0%	22 4	16.0 %	28	2.0%	28	2.0%
Ages 0 to 4	428	145	33.9 %	144	33.6 %	99	23.1 %	20	4.7%	***	0.0%	16	3.7%	***	0.9%
Ages 5 to 11	395	149	37.7 %	93	23.5 %	110	27.8 %	38	9.6%	***	0.0%	***	1.0%	***	0.3%
Ages 12 to 15	208	120	57.7 %	18	8.7%	46	22.1 %	15	7.2%	***	0.5%	***	2.9%	***	1.0%
Ages 16 to 18	146	84	57.5 %	***	4.1%	17	11.6%	11	7.5%	22	15.1%	***	1.4%	***	2.7%
Over 18	227	***	2.6%	***	0.0 %	***	1.3%	***	0.0%	201	88.5 %	***	0.0%	17	7.5%
Gender															
Totals	1,404	504	35.9 %	261	18.6 %	275	19.6 %	84	6.0%	22 4	16.0 %	28	2.0%	28	2.0%
Female	718	244	34.0 %	131	18.2 %	139	19.4 %	44	6.1%	13 0	18.1 %	15	2.1%	15	2.1%
Male	681	258	37.9 %	130	19.1%	136	20.0 %	40	5.9%	92	13.5 %	13	1.9%	12	1.8%
Transgender	***	***	40.0 %	***	0.0 %	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	40.0 %	***	0.0%	***	20.0 %
Other/Unkno	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

wn															
Race/Ethnicity															
Totals	1,404	504	35.9 %	261	18.6 %	275	19.6 %	84	6.0%	22 4	16.0 %	28	2.0%	28	2.0%
Hispanic	112	38	33.9 %	23	20.5 %	16	14.3 %	***	8.0%	18	16.1%	***	1.8%	***	5.4%
Black	763	271	35.5 %	95	12.5%	169	22.1 %	48	6.3%	153	20.1 %	11	1.4%	16	2.1%
White	375	134	35.7 %	96	25.6 %	68	18.1 %	20	5.3%	40	10.7 %	14	3.7%	***	0.8 %
All other	133	46	34.6 %	43	32.3 %	20	15.0 %	***	5.3%	13	9.8%	***	0.8%	***	2.3%
Unable to determine	21	15	71.4%	***	19.0 %	***	9.5%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0 %

Question 5: The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 12 months after exit

This report is focused on children who leave state sponsored out-of-home care to return to their home of origin (reunification). Other forms of permanency are not included in this report. Reentries means that the child/youth re-entered state sponsored out-of-home care within one year after the reunification. Because there is a need to ensure that there is an entire year after the reunification, the base of the file consists of exited to reunification in SFY2024.

The results for each jurisdiction can be found in [Appendix 5: Question 5 - Jurisdictional Context](#).

Table 5: Exits to reunification between July 1, 2023 and June 30, 2024 and the number of reentries within 12 months after exit in Maryland

	Exits to reunification	Reentries within 3 months		Reentries within 6 months		Reentries within 9 months		Reentries within 12 months	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)
Totals	578	30	5.19%	53	9.17%	59	10.21%	69	11.94%
Ages 0 to 4	189	12	6.35 %	22	11.64%	23	12.17%	31	16.40%
Ages 5 to 11	193	10	5.18%	18	9.33%	18	9.33%	19	9.84%
Ages 12 to 15	123	***	4.88 %	***	7.32%	14	11.38%	15	12.20%
Ages 16 to 18	73	***	2.74 %	***	5.48%	***	5.48%	***	5.48%
Gender									
Totals	578	30	5.19%	53	9.17%	59	10.21%	69	11.94%
Female	283	17	6.01%	32	11.31%	35	12.37%	40	14.13%
Male	294	13	4.42 %	21	7.14%	24	8.16%	29	9.86%
Transgender	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Race/Ethnicity									
Totals	577	30	5.20 %	53	9.19%	59	10.23%	69	11.96%
Hispanic	51	***	0.00 %	***	5.88%	***	5.88%	***	5.88%
Black	310	25	8.06 %	39	12.58%	44	14.19%	51	16.45%
White	152	***	2.63	***	5.26%	***	5.26%	10	6.58%

			%						
All other	50	***	2.00 %	***	4.00%	***	6.00%	***	8.00%
Unable to determine	14	***	0.00 %	***	7.14%	***	7.14%	***	7.14%

Question 6: The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 24 months after exit

This report is focused on children who leave state sponsored out-of-home care to return to their home of origin (reunification). Other forms of permanency are not included in this report. Reentries means that the child/youth re-entered state sponsored out-of-home care within two years after the reunification. Because there is a need to ensure that there is an entire two year period after the reunification, the base of the file consists of exits to reunification in SFY2023.

The results for each jurisdiction can be found in [Appendix 6: Question 6 - Jurisdictional Context](#).

Table 6: Exits to reunification between July 1, 2022 and June 30, 2023 And the number of reentries up to 24 months after exit By age, gender and race in Maryland

	Exits to Reunification	Reentries within 3 months		Reentries within 6 months		Reentries within 9 months		Reentries within 12 months		Reentries within 15 months		Reentries within 18 months		Reentries within 21 months		Reentries within 24 months	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)	n (G)	% (G/A)	n (H)	% (H/A)	n (I)	% (I/A)
Totals	602	26	4.32 %	51	8.47 %	63	10.47 %	80	13.29 %	87	14.45 %	90	14.95 %	93	15.45 %	98	16.28 %
Ages 0 to 4	170	***	4.12 %	13	7.65 %	21	12.35 %	23	13.53 %	25	14.71 %	26	15.29 %	27	15.88 %	27	15.88 %
Ages 5 to 11	201	***	3.48 %	14	6.97 %	14	6.97 %	22	10.95 %	23	11.44 %	24	11.94 %	25	12.44 %	28	13.93 %
Ages 12 to 15	153	***	4.58 %	16	10.46 %	19	12.42 %	24	15.69 %	27	17.65 %	28	18.30 %	29	18.95 %	31	20.26 %
Ages 16 to 18	78	***	6.41 %	***	10.26 %	***	11.54 %	11	14.10 %	12	15.38 %	12	15.38 %	12	15.38 %	12	15.38 %
Gender																	
Totals	602	26	4.32 %	51	8.47 %	63	10.47 %	80	13.29 %	87	14.45 %	90	14.95 %	93	15.45 %	98	16.28 %
Female	280	16	5.71 %	28	10.00 %	36	12.86 %	42	15.00 %	47	16.79 %	50	17.86 %	52	18.57 %	56	20.00 %
Male	318	10	3.14 %	23	7.23 %	27	8.49 %	38	11.95 %	40	12.58 %	40	12.58 %	41	12.89 %	42	13.21 %
Transgender	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Race/Ethnicity																	
Totals	602	26	4.32 %	51	8.47 %	63	10.47 %	80	13.29 %	87	14.45 %	90	14.95 %	93	15.45 %	98	16.28 %
Hispanic	59	***	1.69 %	***	3.39 %	***	5.08 %	***	5.08 %	***	5.08 %	***	5.08 %	***	5.08 %	***	5.08 %
Black	346	10	2.89 %	27	7.80 %	35	10.12 %	46	13.29 %	51	14.74 %	54	15.61 %	56	16.18 %	61	17.63 %
White	140	14	10.00 %	18	12.86 %	21	15.00 %	27	19.29 %	28	20.00 %	28	20.00 %	29	20.71 %	29	20.71 %

			%		%		%		%		%		%		%		%
All other	48	***	2.08 %	***	8.33 %	***	8.33%	***	8.33%	***	10.42 %	***	10.42 %	***	10.42 %	***	10.42 %
Unable to determine	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %

Question 7: The stability of out-of-home placements, including the number of placement changes

Placement stability is calculated as the number of placement moves experienced by children in out-of-home divided by the total number of days that children have been in care over that time period. The results are expressed as moves per 1,000 days. This is the same methodology that will be used to measure placement stability in the Child and Family Service Reviews.

Included are the total number of days in out-of-home care, the number of placements moves and the number of placement moves per 1,000 days

Table 7: Stability of out-of-home placements for children entering care between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025 in Maryland

	Number of Entries	Total number of days in care	Number of placement moves	Moves per 1,000 days
Age Group	Children	n B	N C	rate C/B x 1000
Totals	1,481	226,365	1,457	6.44
Ages 0 to 4	638	101,724	581	5.71
Ages 5 to 11	398	59,613	364	6.11
Ages 12 to 15	296	41,943	340	8.11
Ages 16 to 18	149	23,085	172	7.45
Gender				
Totals	1,481	226,365	1,457	6.44
Female	738	110,830	733	6.61
Male	737	114,802	710	6.18
Transgender	***	733	14	19.10
Race/Ethnicity				
Totals	1,481	226,365	1,457	6.44
Hispanic	132	22,128	120	5.42
Black	765	116,518	743	6.38
White	378	56,899	385	6.77
All other	150	23,464	157	6.69
Unable to determine	56	7,356	52	7.07

The results for each jurisdiction can be found in [Appendix 7: Question 7 - Jurisdictional Context](#).

Question 9: The number of youth who graduate from high school and
Question 10: The number of youth who qualify for a Maryland high school diploma by examination

The information for these questions are based on the information available in CJAMS only. This is not based on linking data between child welfare and the education system. The base is all children in Placement and Permanency Services (out-of-home care) in State Fiscal Year 2025 who were documented as being in 12th grade at the end of State Fiscal Year 2025. Of those children what percentage have an indication that they graduated high school and what percentage had an indication that they received a GED.

The results for each jurisdiction can be found in [Appendix 8: Question 9 and 10 - Jurisdictional Context](#)

Table 8: For all children in Placement and Permanency Services in State Fiscal Year 2025 in Maryland and Who were in 12th grade at the end of State Fiscal Year 2025 what percentage of those children graduated high school and what percentage had a GED?

		Children who have graduated		Children with a GED identified	
Characteristic	Children in Grade 12 (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)
Age Group	427	294	68.9%	***	0.2%
Age 16	18	***	38.9%	***	0.0%
Age 17	98	51	52.0%	***	0.0%
Age 18	181	150	82.9%	***	0.6%
Age 19	83	65	78.3%	***	0.0%
Age 20	45	21	46.7%	***	0.0%
Age Missing	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Gender	427	294	68.9%	***	0.2%
Female	243	172	70.8%	***	0.0%
Male	180	119	66.1%	***	0.6%
Transgender	***	***	75.0%	***	0.0%
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.
Race	427	294	68.9%	***	0.2%
Hispanic	49	38	77.6%	***	0.0%
Black	265	178	67.2%	***	0.0%
White	81	57	70.4%	***	0.0%
All other	25	17	68.0%	***	4.0%
Unable to determine	***	***	57.1%	***	0.0%

Question 12: The Incidences of Abuse or Neglect of a Child who, in the immediately preceding 24 months, was not removed from the child's home following an investigation that found indicated or unsubstantiated abuse or neglect

The base for this question was the number of CPS responses seen in Question 1: *The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations.* For the youth identified in the base any prior investigations that had a disposition of indicated or unsubstantiated in the preceding 24 months were identified. These instances were examined to see if any of those indicated or unsubstantiated investigations led to the removal of the child.

Table 9: Investigations completed between July 01, 2024 and June 30, 2025 in Maryland– what percent had an earlier incidence of indicated abuse or neglect leading to a removal from their home and being placed into out-of-home care in the preceding 24 months.

Maryland	Children	Maltreatment in Prior 2 years		...that led to OOH Placement		...that did not lead to OOH Placement		
Age Group	(A)	(B) n	(B/A) %	(C) n	(C/B) %	(D) n	(D/B) %	(D/A) %
Totals	23,957	1,906	7.96%	275	14.43%	1,631	85.57%	6.81%
Ages 0 to 4	5,816	416	7.15%	58	13.94%	358	86.06%	6.16%
Ages 5 to 11	10,259	831	8.10%	95	11.43%	736	88.57%	7.17%
Ages 12 to 15	5,550	457	8.23%	72	15.75%	385	84.25%	6.94%
Ages 16 to 18	2,285	202	8.84%	50	24.75%	152	75.25%	6.65%
Age Invalid	39	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender								
Totals	23,957	1,906	7.96%	275	14.43%	1,631	85.57%	6.81%
Female	12,281	975	7.94%	149	15.28%	826	84.72%	6.73%
Male	11,584	922	7.96%	122	13.23%	800	86.77%	6.91%
Transgender	56	***	16.07%	***	44.44%	***	55.56%	8.93%
Other/Unknow	36	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

n								
Race/Ethnicity								
Totals	23,957	1,906	7.96%	275	14.43%	1,631	85.57%	6.81%
Hispanic	3,145	198	6.30%	24	12.12%	174	87.88%	5.53%
Black	9,657	979	10.14%	164	16.75%	815	83.25%	8.44%
White	5,572	634	11.38%	79	12.46%	555	87.54%	9.96%
All Other	367	19	5.18%	.	.	19	100.0%	5.18%
Unable to determine	5,216	76	1.46%	***	10.53%	68	89.47%	1.30%

The results for each jurisdiction can be found in [Appendix 9: Question 12 - Jurisdictional Context](#)

Question 13: The number of children in the custody of a local department or child placement agency who have a sibling who is not in the custody of the same local department or child placement agency

The population is a Point in Time on the last day of SFY24, June 30, 2024. All children in care on that day are included. Any children or youth who shared a case ID are considered case/family. The analysis provides two metrics: sibling groups where there is a sibling that is not in the custody of the same local department (interpreted as there is a sibling in care who is the responsibility of a different jurisdiction); and sibling groups where the children are placed in different jurisdictions (interpreted as a sibling in care who has a placement in a different jurisdiction even if the responsibility for the siblings reside in the same jurisdiction).

Table 10: The number of children in the custody of a local department or child placement agency who have a sibling who is not in the custody of the same local department or child placement agency in Maryland

Maryland	Children	Siblings	Siblings with Custody in Different Jurisdiction	Siblings with Placement in Different Jurisdiction
Category	N	n	n	n
Age Group Totals	3,724	1,738	10	249
Ages 0 to 4	989	503	***	68
Ages 5 to 11	908	629	***	98
Ages 12 to 15	671	320	.	42
Ages 16 to 17	510	149	***	22
Ages 18 to 20	646	137	***	19
Gender Totals	3,724	1,738	10	249
Female	1,851	867	***	114
Male	1,850	867	***	134
Transgender	23	***	***	***
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity Totals	3,724	1,738	10	249
Hispanic	357	179	.	32
Black	2,101	1,005	***	151
White	850	362	***	38

All Other	322	162	***	20
Unable to Determine	94	30	.	***

The results for each jurisdiction can be found in [Appendix 10: Question 13 - Jurisdictional Context](#)

Question 14: The health and mental health care provided, including the stability of health care providers, medications and psychiatric diagnoses

The population is a point-in-time on the last day of SFY25, June 30, 2025. All children in care on that day are included. Any physical or mental health exam during SFY25 was included in the analysis. Exams that counted as a physical exam included “Initial Health Examination”, “Comprehensive Health Examination”, “Annual Health Examination” or “Follow-up Health Examination”. Exams that counted as a mental health exam included “Mental Health Therapist / Counselor” and “Mental Health”. The presence of a psychiatric diagnosis was also determined using data maintained in the CJAMS data system. Medications were obtained through the CJAMS data system.

Table 11: The health and mental health care provided, including the stability of health care providers, medications and psychiatric diagnoses in Maryland

		Examinations during SFY2025							
Maryland	Children	Physical		Mental		Indication of Psychiatric Diagnosis		Psychotropic Medication	
Category	N	A	A/N	B	B/N	C	C/N	D	D/N
All Ages	3,724	3,027	81.3%	560	15.0%	1,160	31.1%	816	21.9%
Ages 0 to 4	989	900	91.0%	207	20.9%	29	2.9%	15	1.5%
Ages 5 to 11	908	748	82.4%	163	18.0%	214	23.6%	140	15.4%
Ages 12 to 15	671	553	82.4%	100	14.9%	310	46.2%	231	34.4%
Ages 16 to 17	510	410	80.4%	65	12.7%	269	52.7%	187	36.7%
Ages 18 to 20	646	416	64.4%	25	3.9%	338	52.3%	243	37.6%
Age Out of Range	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Totals	3,724	3,027	81.3%	560	15.0%	1,160	31.1%	816	21.9%
Female	1,851	1,486	80.3%	273	14.7%	583	31.5%	371	20.0%
Male	1,850	1,524	82.4%	287	15.5%	564	30.5%	433	23.4%
Transgender	23	17	73.9%	***	0.0%	13	56.5%	12	52.2%
Not Disclosed	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Totals	3,724	3,027	81.3%	560	15.0%	1,160	31.1%	816	21.9%

		Examinations during SFY2025							
Maryland	Children	Physical		Mental		Indication of Psychiatric Diagnosis		Psychotropic Medication	
Category	N	A	A/N	B	B/N	C	C/N	D	D/N
Hispanic	357	289	81.0%	24	6.7%	82	23.0%	65	18.2%
Black	2,101	1,593	75.8%	408	19.4%	778	37.0%	433	20.6%
White	850	768	90.4%	83	9.8%	211	24.8%	224	26.4%
All Other	322	289	89.8%	36	11.2%	74	23.0%	81	25.2%
Unable to Determine	94	88	93.6%	***	9.6%	15	16.0%	13	13.8%

The results for each jurisdiction can be found in [Appendix 11: Question 14 - Jurisdictional Context](#)

Question 15: The number of children who are not enrolled in school for more than 1 week immediately following a change in placement

Any change in placement that took place during SFY25 was the base population for this question. Placements where the child was under 5 years old at the time of the placement change, and any placement change that occurred outside of the school year (between June 7 and August 31) were then removed from the population. Education data was obtained from the CJAMS data system and compared to the child's entry date for any new placement. There were three possible outcomes. First, a child could have a start date before their placement entry, indicating that they stayed enrolled in the same school. Second, a child could have a start date within 7 days of their placement entry, indicating that they were enrolled in a new school within one week. The final possible outcome is that a child could have a start date more than 7 days after placement entry, indicating they were enrolled in a new school over a week after.

Note: Only children with education information were used to calculate the percentage of each outcome.

Table 12: The number of children who are not enrolled in school for more than 1 week immediately following a change in placement in Maryland

Maryland Characteristic	Number of placement changes	Enrolled after 7 days of Placement		Timely Enrollment after Placement	
	Placement Changes (A)	n (b)	(b)/(A) %	n (c)	(c)/(A) %
Age Group Totals	2,609	77	2.95%	2,532	97.05%
Ages 0 to 4	.	.	.	.	.
Ages 5 to 11	672	48	7.14%	624	92.86%
Ages 12 to 15	766	14	1.83%	752	98.17%
Ages 16 to 17	593	14	2.36%	579	97.64%
Ages 18 to 20	578	***	0.17%	577	99.83%
Gender Totals	2,609	77	2.95%	2,532	97.05%
Female	1,435	39	2.72%	1,396	97.28%
Male	1,127	38	3.37%	1,089	96.63%
Transgender	47	.	0.00%	47	100.0%
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity Totals	2,609	77	2.95%	2,532	97.05%
Hispanic	235	***	2.98%	228	97.02%
Black	1,577	45	2.85%	1,532	97.15%
White	548	16	2.92%	532	97.08%

All other	201	***	2.99%	195	97.01%
Unable to determine	48	***	6.25%	45	93.75%

The results for each jurisdiction can be found in [Appendix 12: Question 15 - Jurisdictional Context](#)

Question 16: The number of children in an institution of postsecondary education, apprenticeship, or adult education program, disaggregated by program

For this measure we looked at all children who were in care for any part of SFY25 and 16 or older at the start of the timeframe (July 1, 2024). Information was then brought in from the CJAMS data system education fields to determine what type of education the child received.

The following education types are listed in the report: “College/University” and “Post-Secondary” were grouped under “College/University” “Trade School” “Vocational/Technical Training”

Table 13: The number of children in an institution of postsecondary education, apprenticeship, or adult education program, disaggregated by program in Maryland

Maryland	Number of children	College/ University		Trade School		Vocational/ Technical Training	
	(A)	n (B)	% (B)/(A)	n (C)	% (C)/(A)	n (d)	% (d)/(C)
Age Groups							
Totals	927	81	8.74%	***	0.65%	***	0.97%
Age 17	303	***	2.64%	***	0.66%	***	0.33%
Age 18	219	26	11.87%	***	0.91%	***	0.91%
Age 19	203	23	11.33%	***	0.49%	***	1.97%
Age 20	202	24	11.88%	***	0.50%	***	0.99%
Gender							
Totals	927	81	8.74%	***	0.65%	***	0.97%
Female	512	50	9.77%	***	0.98%	***	0.98%
Male	400	29	7.25%	***	0.25%	***	1.00%
Transgender	15	***	13.33%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race							
Totals	927	81	8.74%	***	0.65%	***	0.97%
Hispanic	109	12	11.01%	***	0.00%	***	0.92%
Black	587	49	8.35%	***	0.85%	***	0.68%
White	171	13	7.60%	***	0.00%	***	2.34%
All other	50	***	14.00 %	***	2.00%	***	0.00%
Unable to determine	10	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%

The results for each jurisdiction can be found in [Appendix 13: Question 15 - Jurisdictional Context](#)

Appendices

Appendix 1: Question 1 - Jurisdictional Context

Question 1: The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations in Allegany.

Examination of Investigative Response/Alternative Response for State Fiscal Year 2025 in Allegany

		Investigative Response									
	Children	Alternative Response		Investigative Response		Indicated		Unsubstantiated		Ruled Out	
Age Groups	(A)	(B) n	(B/A) %	(C) n	(C/A) %	(D) n	(D/C) %	(I) n	(I/C) %	(O) n	(O/C) %
Totals	581	223	38.38 %	358	61.62%	170	47.49%	34	9.50%	154	43.02 %
Ages 0 to 4	172	62	36.05%	110	63.95%	60	54.55%	11	10.00%	39	35.45%
Ages 5 to 11	282	119	42.20 %	163	57.80 %	74	45.40 %	13	7.98%	76	46.63 %
Ages 12 to 15	91	32	35.16%	59	64.84 %	24	40.68 %	***	8.47%	30	50.85 %
Ages 16 to 18	36	10	27.78%	26	72.22%	12	46.15%	***	19.23%	***	34.62 %
Age Invalid	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender											
Totals	581	223	38.38 %	358	61.62%	170	47.49%	34	9.50%	154	43.02 %
Female	256	88	34.38 %	168	65.63%	67	39.88 %	25	14.88%	76	45.24 %
Male	325	135	41.54 %	190	58.46 %	103	54.21%	***	4.74%	78	41.05%
Transgender	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Other/Unknown	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity											
Totals	581	223	38.38 %	358	61.62%	170	47.49%	34	9.50%	154	43.02 %
Hispanic	***	.	.	***	100.0%	***	71.43%	***	14.29%	***	14.29%
Black	89	23	25.84 %	66	74.16%	40	60.61%	***	10.61%	19	28.79 %
White	436	177	40.60 %	259	59.40 %	107	41.31%	22	8.49%	130	50.19%
All Other	***	.	.	***	100.0%	***	100.0%	.	.	.	.
Unable to Determine	44	23	52.27 %	21	47.73%	13	61.90%	***	19.05%	***	19.05%

Question 1: The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations in Anne Arundel.

Examination of Investigative Response/Alternative Response for State Fiscal Year 2025 in Anne Arundel

		Investigative Response									
	Children	Alternative Response		Investigative Response		Indicated		Unsubstantiated		Ruled Out	
Age Groups	(A)	(B) n	(B/A) %	(C) n	(C/A) %	(D) n	(D/C) %	(I) n	(I/C) %	(O) n	(O/C) %
Totals	1,787	734	41.07 %	1,053	58.93%	259	24.60 %	118	11.21%	676	64.20 %
Ages 0 to 4	436	137	31.42%	299	68.58 %	79	26.42 %	37	12.37%	183	61.20%
Ages 5 to 11	797	387	48.56 %	410	51.44%	97	23.66%	44	10.73%	269	65.61%
Ages 12 to 15	403	152	37.72 %	251	62.28%	59	23.51%	26	10.36%	166	66.14%
Ages 16 to 18	150	58	38.67 %	92	61.33%	24	26.09 %	11	11.96%	57	61.96%
Age Invalid	***	.	.	***	100.0%	.	.	.	.	***	100.0%
Age Missing	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender											
Totals	1,787	734	41.07 %	1,053	58.93%	259	24.60 %	118	11.21%	676	64.20 %
Female	919	354	38.52 %	565	61.48%	128	22.65%	66	11.68%	371	65.66%

Male	859	375	43.66 %	484	56.34%	131	27.07%	51	10.54%	302	62.40 %
Transgender	***	***	66.67 %	***	33.33%	.	.	***	50.00 %	***	50.00 %
Other/Unknown	***	***	33.33 %	***	66.67 %	.	.	.	.	***	100.0%
Race/Ethnicity											
Totals	1,787	734	41.07 %	1,053	58.93%	259	24.60 %	118	11.21%	676	64.20 %
Hispanic	275	123	44.73 %	152	55.27%	44	28.95%	***	3.95%	102	67.11%
Black	693	279	40.26 %	414	59.74%	94	22.71%	54	13.04%	266	64.25 %
White	580	229	39.48 %	351	60.52 %	96	27.35%	43	12.25%	212	60.40 %
All Other	41	16	39.02 %	25	60.98 %	***	28.00 %	***	12.00%	15	60.00 %
Unable to Determine	198	87	43.94 %	111	56.06 %	18	16.22%	12	10.81%	81	72.97%

Question 1: The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations in Baltimore City.

Examination of Investigative Response/Alternative Response for State Fiscal Year 2025 in Baltimore City

		Investigative Response									
	Childr en	Alternati ve Respons e		Investigati ve Respons e		Indicated		Unsubstanti ated		Ruled Out	
Age Groups	(A)	(B) n	(B/A) %	(C) n	(C/A) %	(D) n	(D/C) %	(I) n	(I/C) %	(O) n	(O/C) %
Totals	5,037	1,646	32.68 %	3,391	67.32%	1,060	31.26%	818	24.12%	1,513	44.62 %
Ages 0 to 4	1,314	403	30.67 %	911	69.33%	345	37.87%	221	24.26%	345	37.87%
Ages 5 to 11	2,018	757	37.51%	1,261	62.49 %	352	27.91%	295	23.39%	614	48.69 %
Ages 12 to 15	1,159	347	29.94 %	812	70.06 %	241	29.68%	205	25.25%	366	45.07 %
Ages 16 to 18	517	133	25.73 %	384	74.27%	115	29.95%	93	24.22%	176	45.83 %
Age Invalid	26	***	11.54%	23	88.46 %	***	30.43 %	***	17.39%	12	52.17%
Age Missing	***	***	100.0 %	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender											
Totals	5,037	1,646	32.68 %	3,391	67.32%	1,060	31.26%	818	24.12%	1,513	44.62 %
Female	2,636	805	30.54 %	1,831	69.46 %	610	33.32%	449	24.52%	772	42.16%

Male	2,394	837	34.96 %	1,557	65.04 %	449	28.84 %	369	23.70%	739	47.46%
Transgender	***	.	.	***	100.0%	***	33.33%	.	.	***	66.67 %
Other/Unknown	***	***	100.0 %	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity											
Totals	5,037	1,646	32.68 %	3,391	67.32%	1,060	31.26%	818	24.12%	1,513	44.62 %
Hispanic	406	150	36.95 %	256	63.05%	75	29.30 %	63	24.61%	118	46.09 %
Black	3,230	913	28.27 %	2,317	71.73%	788	34.01%	542	23.39%	987	42.60 %
White	276	87	31.52%	189	68.48 %	68	35.98%	38	20.11%	83	43.92 %
All Other	12	***	33.33 %	***	66.67 %	***	37.50%	***	25.00 %	***	37.50%
Unable to Determine	1,113	492	44.20 %	621	55.80 %	126	20.29 %	173	27.86%	322	51.85%

Question 1: The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations in Baltimore County.

Examination of Investigative Response/Alternative Response for State Fiscal Year 2025 in Baltimore County

				Investigative Response							
	Children	Alternative Response		Investigative Response		Indicated		Unsubstantiated		Ruled Out	
Age Groups	(A)	(B) n	(B/A) %	(C) n	(C/A) %	(D) n	(D/C) %	(I) n	(I/C) %	(O) n	(O/C) %
Totals	3,262	1,568	48.07 %	1,694	51.93%	655	38.67%	367	21.66%	672	39.67%
Ages 0 to 4	677	285	42.10 %	392	57.90%	147	37.50%	69	17.60%	176	44.90 %
Ages 5 to 11	1,399	737	52.68 %	662	47.32%	248	37.46%	148	22.36%	266	40.18%
Ages 12 to 15	826	400	48.43 %	426	51.57%	176	41.31%	89	20.89 %	161	37.79%
Ages 16 to 18	358	145	40.50 %	213	59.50%	84	39.44 %	61	28.64 %	68	31.92%
Age Invalid	***	.	.	***	100.0%	.	.	.	.	***	100.0%
Age Missing	***	***	100.0 %	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender											
Totals	3,262	1,568	48.07 %	1,694	51.93%	655	38.67%	367	21.66%	672	39.67%
Female	1,708	756	44.26 %	952	55.74%	401	42.12%	197	20.69 %	354	37.18%

Male	1,544	809	52.40 %	735	47.60 %	250	34.01%	168	22.86%	317	43.13%
Transgender	***	***	28.57 %	***	71.43%	***	40.00 %	***	40.00 %	***	20.00 %
Other/Unknown	***	***	33.33 %	***	66.67 %	***	100.0%	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity											
Totals	3,262	1,568	48.07 %	1,694	51.93%	655	38.67%	367	21.66%	672	39.67%
Hispanic	340	139	40.88 %	201	59.12%	83	41.29%	44	21.89%	74	36.82 %
Black	1,421	645	45.39 %	776	54.61%	312	40.21%	148	19.07%	316	40.72 %
White	741	327	44.13 %	414	55.87%	143	34.54 %	124	29.95%	147	35.51%
All Other	58	27	46.55 %	31	53.45%	18	58.06 %	.	.	13	41.94%
Unable to Determine	702	430	61.25 %	272	38.75%	99	36.40 %	51	18.75%	122	44.85 %

Question 1: The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations in Calvert.

Examination of Investigative Response/Alternative Response for State Fiscal Year 2025 in Calvert

				Investigative Response							
	Children	Alternative Response		Investigative Response		Indicated		Unsubstantiated		Ruled Out	
Age Groups	(A)	(B) n	(B/A) %	(C) n	(C/A) %	(D) n	(D/C) %	(I) n	(I/C) %	(O) n	(O/C) %
Totals	387	220	56.85 %	167	43.15%	60	35.93%	24	14.37%	83	49.70 %
Ages 0 to 4	119	66	55.46 %	53	44.54 %	21	39.62%	***	13.21%	25	47.17%
Ages 5 to 11	142	89	62.68 %	53	37.32%	12	22.64%	12	22.64%	29	54.72 %
Ages 12 to 15	89	41	46.07 %	48	53.93%	21	43.75%	***	10.42%	22	45.83 %
Ages 16 to 18	36	23	63.89 %	13	36.11%	***	46.15%	.	.	***	53.85%
Age Invalid	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	***	***	100.0 %	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender											
Totals	387	220	56.85 %	167	43.15%	60	35.93%	24	14.37%	83	49.70 %
Female	197	105	53.30 %	92	46.70 %	36	39.13%	13	14.13%	43	46.74 %

Male	188	114	60.64 %	74	39.36%	24	32.43%	11	14.86%	39	52.70%
Transgender	***	.	.	***	100.0%	.	.	.	.	***	100.0%
Other/Unknown	***	***	100.0 %	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity											
Totals	387	220	56.85 %	167	43.15%	60	35.93%	24	14.37%	83	49.70 %
Hispanic	27	17	62.96 %	10	37.04%	***	30.00 %	***	20.00 %	***	50.00 %
Black	126	78	61.90 %	48	38.10%	18	37.50%	***	18.75%	21	43.75%
White	192	103	53.65 %	89	46.35 %	29	32.58%	10	11.24%	50	56.18%
All Other	***	***	50.00 %	***	50.00 %	***	25.00 %	.	.	***	75.00 %
Unable to Determine	34	18	52.94 %	16	47.06%	***	56.25%	***	18.75%	***	25.00 %

Question 1: The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations in Caroline.

Examination of Investigative Response/Alternative Response for State Fiscal Year 2025 in Caroline

		Investigative Response									
	Children	Alternative Response		Investigative Response		Indicated		Unsubstantiated		Ruled Out	
Age Groups	(A)	(B) n	(B/A) %	(C) n	(C/A) %	(D) n	(D/C) %	(I) n	(I/C) %	(O) n	(O/C) %
Totals	195	70	35.90 %	125	64.10%	51	40.80 %	15	12.00%	59	47.20%
Ages 0 to 4	62	19	30.65 %	43	69.35%	26	60.47 %	***	11.63%	12	27.91%
Ages 5 to 11	75	33	44.00 %	42	56.00 %	15	35.71%	***	7.14%	24	57.14%
Ages 12 to 15	31	14	45.16%	17	54.84 %	***	17.65%	***	17.65%	11	64.71%
Ages 16 to 18	27	***	14.81%	23	85.19%	***	30.43 %	***	17.39%	12	52.17%
Age Invalid	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender											
Totals	195	70	35.90 %	125	64.10%	51	40.80 %	15	12.00%	59	47.20%
Female	115	38	33.04 %	77	66.96 %	28	36.36%	***	9.09%	42	54.55%

Male	79	32	40.51 %	47	59.49 %	23	48.94 %	***	14.89%	17	36.17%
Transgender	***	.	.	***	100.0%	.	.	***	100.0%	.	.
Other/Unknown	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity											
Totals	195	70	35.90 %	125	64.10%	51	40.80 %	15	12.00%	59	47.20%
Hispanic	17	***	35.29 %	11	64.71%	***	63.64 %	.	.	***	36.36%
Black	39	16	41.03%	23	58.97 %	12	52.17%	***	8.70%	***	39.13%
White	80	19	23.75 %	61	76.25%	25	40.98 %	***	14.75%	27	44.26 %
All Other	***	.	.	***	100.0%	.	.	.	.	***	100.0%
Unable to Determine	57	29	50.88 %	28	49.12%	***	25.00 %	***	14.29%	17	60.71%

Question 1: The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations in Carroll.

Examination of Investigative Response/Alternative Response for State Fiscal Year 2025 in Carroll

		Investigative Response									
	Children	Alternative Response		Investigative Response		Indicated		Unsubstantiated		Ruled Out	
Age Groups	(A)	(B) n	(B/A) %	(C) n	(C/A) %	(D) n	(D/C) %	(I) n	(I/C) %	(O) n	(O/C) %
Totals	622	323	51.93%	299	48.07%	51	17.06%	46	15.38%	202	67.56%
Ages 0 to 4	138	73	52.90%	65	47.10%	15	23.08%	***	10.77%	43	66.15%
Ages 5 to 11	279	148	53.05%	131	46.95%	19	14.50%	21	16.03%	91	69.47%
Ages 12 to 15	151	79	52.32%	72	47.68%	12	16.67%	14	19.44%	46	63.89%
Ages 16 to 18	54	23	42.59%	31	57.41%	***	16.13%	***	12.90%	22	70.97%
Age Invalid	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender											
Totals	622	323	51.93%	299	48.07%	51	17.06%	46	15.38%	202	67.56%
Female	288	153	53.13%	135	46.88%	19	14.07%	25	18.52%	91	67.41%

Male	330	169	51.21%	161	48.79 %	30	18.63%	21	13.04%	110	68.32 %
Transgender	***	***	33.33 %	***	66.67 %	***	50.00 %	.	.	***	50.00 %
Other/Unknown	***	.	.	***	100.0%	***	100.0%	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity											
Totals	622	323	51.93%	299	48.07 %	51	17.06%	46	15.38%	202	67.56%
Hispanic	56	29	51.79%	27	48.21%	***	18.52%	***	11.11%	19	70.37%
Black	60	31	51.67%	29	48.33 %	***	13.79%	***	6.90%	23	79.31%
White	268	119	44.40 %	149	55.60%	27	18.12%	31	20.81%	91	61.07%
All Other	***	***	57.14%	***	42.86 %	.	.	.	.	***	100.0%
Unable to Determine	231	140	60.61 %	91	39.39%	15	16.48%	10	10.99%	66	72.53%

Question 1: The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations in Cecil.

Examination of Investigative Response/Alternative Response for State Fiscal Year 2025 in Cecil

		Investigative Response									
	Children	Alternative Response		Investigative Response		Indicated		Unsubstantiated		Ruled Out	
Age Groups	(A)	(B) n	(B/A) %	(C) n	(C/A) %	(D) n	(D/C) %	(I) n	(I/C) %	(O) n	(O/C) %
Totals	490	223	45.51%	267	54.49%	121	45.32%	65	24.34%	81	30.34%
Ages 0 to 4	156	57	36.54%	99	63.46%	57	57.58%	19	19.19%	23	23.23%
Ages 5 to 11	231	116	50.22%	115	49.78%	43	37.39%	34	29.57%	38	33.04%
Ages 12 to 15	70	34	48.57%	36	51.43%	14	38.89%	***	25.00%	13	36.11%
Ages 16 to 18	33	16	48.48%	17	51.52%	***	41.18%	***	17.65%	***	41.18%
Age Invalid	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender											
Totals	490	223	45.51%	267	54.49%	121	45.32%	65	24.34%	81	30.34%
Female	229	92	40.17%	137	59.83%	66	48.18%	27	19.71%	44	32.12%
Male	255	129	50.59%	126	49.41%	52	41.27%	37	29.37%	37	29.37%
Transgender	***	***	50.00%	***	50.00%	***	100.0%	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	***	***	25.00%	***	75.00%	***	66.67%	***	33.33%	.	.

Race/Ethnicity											
Totals	490	223	45.51%	267	54.49 %	121	45.32%	65	24.34%	81	30.34%
Hispanic	25	***	36.00 %	16	64.00 %	***	25.00%	***	25.00%	***	50.00 %
Black	89	48	53.93 %	41	46.07 %	14	34.15%	14	34.15%	13	31.71%
White	241	90	37.34 %	151	62.66%	77	50.99%	33	21.85%	41	27.15%
All Other	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to Determine	135	76	56.30 %	59	43.70%	26	44.07 %	14	23.73%	19	32.20%

Question 1: The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations in Charles.

Examination of Investigative Response/Alternative Response for State Fiscal Year 2025 in Charles

		Investigative Response									
	Children	Alternative Response		Investigative Response		Indicated		Unsubstantiated		Ruled Out	
Age Groups	(A)	(B) n	(B/A) %	(C) n	(C/A) %	(D) n	(D/C) %	(I) n	(I/C) %	(O) n	(O/C) %
Totals	442	209	47.29 %	233	52.71%	40	17.17%	51	21.89%	142	60.94 %
Ages 0 to 4	70	34	48.57 %	36	51.43%	***	8.33%	11	30.56%	22	61.11%
Ages 5 to 11	203	99	48.77 %	104	51.23%	16	15.38%	18	17.31%	70	67.31%
Ages 12 to 15	118	56	47.46 %	62	52.54%	14	22.58%	14	22.58%	34	54.84 %
Ages 16 to 18	50	19	38.00 %	31	62.00 %	***	22.58%	***	25.81%	16	51.61%
Age Invalid	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	***	***	100.0 %	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender											
Totals	442	209	47.29 %	233	52.71%	40	17.17%	51	21.89%	142	60.94 %
Female	221	101	45.70 %	120	54.30 %	23	19.17%	28	23.33%	69	57.50%
Male	218	106	48.62 %	112	51.38%	17	15.18%	22	19.64%	73	65.18%
Transgender	***	***	50.00 %	***	50.00 %	.	.	***	100.0%	.	.

Other/Unkn own	***	***	100.0 %	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity											
Totals	442	209	47.29 %	233	52.71%	40	17.17%	51	21.89%	142	60.94 %
Hispanic	61	37	60.66 %	24	39.34%	***	25.00%	***	20.83%	13	54.17%
Black	194	76	39.18%	118	60.82 %	21	17.80%	29	24.58%	68	57.63%
White	61	23	37.70 %	38	62.30%	***	21.05%	***	15.79%	24	63.16%
All Other	***	***	28.57 %	***	71.43%	.	.	***	40.00 %	***	60.00 %
Unable to Determine	119	71	59.66 %	48	40.34 %	***	10.42%	***	18.75%	34	70.83%

Question 1: The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations in Dorchester.

Examination of Investigative Response/Alternative Response for State Fiscal Year 2025 in Dorchester

		Investigative Response									
	Children	Alternative Response		Investigative Response		Indicated		Unsubstantiated		Ruled Out	
Age Groups	(A)	(B) n	(B/A) %	(C) n	(C/A) %	(D) n	(D/C) %	(I) n	(I/C) %	(O) n	(O/C) %
Totals	239	136	56.90 %	103	43.10%	41	39.81%	15	14.56%	47	45.63%
Ages 0 to 4	76	44	57.89 %	32	42.11%	17	53.13%	***	18.75%	***	28.13%
Ages 5 to 11	107	58	54.21%	49	45.79%	17	34.69%	***	12.24%	26	53.06%
Ages 12 to 15	43	29	67.44 %	14	32.56%	***	28.57%	***	7.14%	***	64.29 %
Ages 16 to 18	13	***	38.46 %	***	61.54%	***	37.50%	***	25.00%	***	37.50%
Age Invalid	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender											
Totals	239	136	56.90 %	103	43.10%	41	39.81%	15	14.56%	47	45.63%
Female	106	59	55.66 %	47	44.34 %	17	36.17%	***	10.64%	25	53.19%
Male	132	76	57.58 %	56	42.42 %	24	42.86 %	10	17.86%	22	39.29%
Transgender	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	***	***	100.0 %	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Race/Ethnicity											
Totals	239	136	56.90 %	103	43.10%	41	39.81%	15	14.56%	47	45.63%
Hispanic	17	***	41.18%	10	58.82%	***	60.00 %	***	10.00%	***	30.00 %
Black	95	50	52.63 %	45	47.37%	21	46.67%	***	13.33%	18	40.00 %
White	48	27	56.25 %	21	43.75%	***	28.57%	***	4.76%	14	66.67%
All Other	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to Determine	79	52	65.82 %	27	34.18%	***	29.63%	***	25.93%	12	44.44 %

Question 1: The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations in Frederick.

Examination of Investigative Response/Alternative Response for State Fiscal Year 2025 in Frederick

		Investigative Response									
	Children	Alternative Response		Investigative Response		Indicated		Unsubstantiated		Ruled Out	
Age Groups	(A)	(B) n	(B/A) %	(C) n	(C/A) %	(D) n	(D/C) %	(I) n	(I/C) %	(O) n	(O/C) %
Totals	1,026	454	44.25%	572	55.75%	156	27.27%	154	26.92%	262	45.80%
Ages 0 to 4	220	98	44.55%	122	55.45%	35	28.69%	36	29.51%	51	41.80%
Ages 5 to 11	434	206	47.47%	228	52.53%	54	23.68%	61	26.75%	113	49.56%
Ages 12 to 15	260	118	45.38%	142	54.62%	44	30.99%	37	26.06%	61	42.96%
Ages 16 to 18	112	32	28.57%	80	71.43%	23	28.75%	20	25.00%	37	46.25%
Age Invalid	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender											
Totals	1,026	454	44.25%	572	55.75%	156	27.27%	154	26.92%	262	45.80%
Female	540	223	41.30%	317	58.70%	92	29.02%	78	24.61%	147	46.37%
Male	476	228	47.90%	248	52.10%	63	25.40%	76	30.65%	109	43.95%
Transgender	10	***	30.00%	***	70.00%	***	14.29%	.	.	***	85.71%
Other/Unknown	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Race/Ethnicity											
Totals	1,026	454	44.25 %	572	55.75%	156	27.27%	154	26.92%	262	45.80 %
Hispanic	223	91	40.81 %	132	59.19%	37	28.03%	28	21.21%	67	50.76%
Black	353	139	39.38 %	214	60.62%	61	28.50%	63	29.44%	90	42.06 %
White	390	181	46.41%	209	53.59%	51	24.40 %	63	30.14%	95	45.45%
All Other	44	31	70.45 %	13	29.55%	***	38.46%	.	.	***	61.54%
Unable to Determine	16	12	75.00 %	***	25.00%	***	50.00 %	.	.	***	50.00 %

Question 1: The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations in Garrett.

Examination of Investigative Response/Alternative Response for State Fiscal Year 2025 in Garrett

		Investigative Response									
	Children	Alternative Response		Investigative Response		Indicated		Unsubstantiated		Ruled Out	
Age Groups	(A)	(B) n	(B/A) %	(C) n	(C/A) %	(D) n	(D/C) %	(I) n	(I/C) %	(O) n	(O/C) %
Totals	285	67	23.51%	218	76.49%	80	36.70%	34	15.60%	104	47.71%
Ages 0 to 4	83	24	28.92%	59	71.08%	26	44.07%	***	13.56%	25	42.37%
Ages 5 to 11	127	30	23.62%	97	76.38%	36	37.11%	12	12.37%	49	50.52%
Ages 12 to 15	53	11	20.75%	42	79.25%	***	21.43%	10	23.81%	23	54.76%
Ages 16 to 18	22	***	9.09%	20	90.91%	***	45.00%	***	20.00%	***	35.00%
Age Invalid	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender											
Totals	285	67	23.51%	218	76.49%	80	36.70%	34	15.60%	104	47.71%
Female	140	28	20.00%	112	80.00%	45	40.18%	13	11.61%	54	48.21%
Male	144	39	27.08%	105	72.92%	35	33.33%	21	20.00%	49	46.67%
Transgender	***	.	.	***	100.0%	.	.	.	.	***	100.0%
Other/Unknown	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity											

Totals	285	67	23.51%	218	76.49%	80	36.70%	34	15.60%	104	47.71%
Hispanic	***	.	.	***	100.0%	***	33.33%	***	33.33%	***	33.33%
Black	21	***	23.81%	16	76.19%	***	25.00%	***	18.75%	***	56.25%
White	227	53	23.35%	174	76.65%	63	36.21%	26	14.94%	85	48.85%
All Other	***	.	.	***	100.0%	.	.	.	.	***	100.0%
Unable to Determine	33	***	27.27%	24	72.73%	12	50.00%	***	16.67%	***	33.33%

Question 1: The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations in Harford.

Examination of Investigative Response/Alternative Response for State Fiscal Year 2025 in Harford

		Investigative Response									
	Children	Alternative Response		Investigative Response		Indicated		Unsubstantiated		Ruled Out	
Age Groups	(A)	(B) n	(B/A) %	(C) n	(C/A) %	(D) n	(D/C) %	(I) n	(I/C) %	(O) n	(O/C) %
Totals	1,480	586	39.59%	894	60.41%	175	19.57%	233	26.06%	486	54.36%
Ages 0 to 4	369	145	39.30%	224	60.70%	56	25.00%	66	29.46%	102	45.54%
Ages 5 to 11	705	287	40.71%	418	59.29%	62	14.83%	101	24.16%	255	61.00%
Ages 12 to 15	273	103	37.73%	170	62.27%	38	22.35%	44	25.88%	88	51.76%
Ages 16 to 18	131	49	37.40%	82	62.60%	19	23.17%	22	26.83%	41	50.00%
Age Invalid	***	***	100.0%	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	***	***	100.0%	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender											
Totals	1,480	586	39.59%	894	60.41%	175	19.57%	233	26.06%	486	54.36%
Female	722	275	38.09%	447	61.91%	88	19.69%	109	24.38%	250	55.93%
Male	752	309	41.09%	443	58.91%	86	19.41%	123	27.77%	234	52.82%
Transgender	***	.	.	***	100.0%	***	50.00%	.	.	***	50.00%
Other/Unknown	***	***	50.00%	***	50.00%	.	.	***	50.00%	***	50.00%

Race/Ethnicity											
Totals	1,480	586	39.59 %	894	60.41%	175	19.57%	233	26.06%	486	54.36%
Hispanic	58	22	37.93%	36	62.07%	***	19.44%	***	16.67%	23	63.89%
Black	511	174	34.05 %	337	65.95%	71	21.07%	90	26.71%	176	52.23%
White	571	212	37.13%	359	62.87%	74	20.61%	90	25.07%	195	54.32%
All Other	21	***	38.10%	13	61.90%	.	.	***	23.08%	10	76.92%
Unable to Determine	319	170	53.29 %	149	46.71%	23	15.44%	44	29.53%	82	55.03%

Question 1: The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations in Howard.

Examination of Investigative Response/Alternative Response for State Fiscal Year 2025 in Howard

		Investigative Response									
	Children	Alternative Response		Investigative Response		Indicated		Unsubstantiated		Ruled Out	
Age Groups	(A)	(B) n	(B/A) %	(C) n	(C/A) %	(D) n	(D/C) %	(I) n	(I/C) %	(O) n	(O/C) %
Totals	696	364	52.30 %	332	47.70%	79	23.80%	59	17.77%	194	58.43%
Ages 0 to 4	121	66	54.55 %	55	45.45%	13	23.64%	12	21.82%	30	54.55%
Ages 5 to 11	298	169	56.71%	129	43.29%	27	20.93%	23	17.83%	79	61.24%
Ages 12 to 15	178	80	44.94 %	98	55.06%	23	23.47%	20	20.41%	55	56.12%
Ages 16 to 18	98	49	50.00 %	49	50.00 %	16	32.65%	***	8.16%	29	59.18%
Age Invalid	***	.	.	***	100.0%	.	.	.	.	***	100.0%
Age Missing	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender											
Totals	696	364	52.30 %	332	47.70%	79	23.80%	59	17.77%	194	58.43%
Female	375	180	48.00 %	195	52.00%	40	20.51%	37	18.97%	118	60.51%
Male	317	183	57.73%	134	42.27%	39	29.10%	22	16.42%	73	54.48 %
Transgender	***	***	25.00 %	***	75.00%	.	.	.	.	***	100.0%
Other/Unknown	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Race/Ethnicity											
Totals	696	364	52.30 %	332	47.70%	79	23.80%	59	17.77%	194	58.43%
Hispanic	130	69	53.08 %	61	46.92 %	14	22.95%	10	16.39%	37	60.66%
Black	339	176	51.92%	163	48.08 %	48	29.45%	22	13.50%	93	57.06%
White	109	61	55.96 %	48	44.04 %	10	20.83%	16	33.33%	22	45.83%
All Other	58	22	37.93%	36	62.07%	***	5.56%	***	19.44%	27	75.00%
Unable to Determine	60	36	60.00 %	24	40.00 %	***	20.83%	***	16.67%	15	62.50%

Question 1: The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations in Kent.

Examination of Investigative Response/Alternative Response for State Fiscal Year 2025 in Kent

		Investigative Response									
	Children	Alternative Response		Investigative Response		Indicated		Unsubstantiated		Ruled Out	
Age Groups	(A)	(B) n	(B/A) %	(C) n	(C/A) %	(D) n	(D/C) %	(I) n	(I/C) %	(O) n	(O/C) %
Totals	70	31	44.29%	39	55.71%	20	51.28%	***	12.82%	14	35.90%
Ages 0 to 4	23	10	43.48%	13	56.52%	***	30.77%	***	23.08%	***	46.15%
Ages 5 to 11	26	14	53.85%	12	46.15%	***	58.33%	***	8.33%	***	33.33%
Ages 12 to 15	16	***	31.25%	11	68.75%	***	63.64%	***	9.09%	***	27.27%
Ages 16 to 18	***	***	40.00%	***	60.00%	***	66.67%	.	.	***	33.33%
Age Invalid	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender											
Totals	70	31	44.29%	39	55.71%	20	51.28%	***	12.82%	14	35.90%
Female	39	18	46.15%	21	53.85%	12	57.14%	***	4.76%	***	38.10%
Male	31	13	41.94%	18	58.06%	***	44.44%	***	22.22%	***	33.33%
Transgender	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity											

Totals	70	31	44.29 %	39	55.71%	20	51.28%	***	12.82%	14	35.90%
Hispanic	***	***	75.00 %	***	25.00%	***	100.0%	.	.	.	.
Black	18	***	33.33%	12	66.67%	***	50.00 %	***	25.00%	***	25.00%
White	29	11	37.93%	18	62.07%	12	66.67%	***	5.56%	***	27.78%
All Other	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to Determine	19	11	57.89 %	***	42.11%	***	12.50%	***	12.50%	***	75.00%

Question 1: The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations in Montgomery.

Examination of Investigative Response/Alternative Response for State Fiscal Year 2025 in Montgomery

		Investigative Response									
	Children	Alternative Response		Investigative Response		Indicated		Unsubstantiated		Ruled Out	
Age Groups	(A)	(B) n	(B/A) %	(C) n	(C/A) %	(D) n	(D/C) %	(I) n	(I/C) %	(O) n	(O/C) %
Totals	2,118	1,015	47.92 %	1,103	52.08%	428	38.80 %	279	25.29%	396	35.90%
Ages 0 to 4	438	169	38.58 %	269	61.42%	109	40.52%	84	31.23%	76	28.25%
Ages 5 to 11	933	520	55.73%	413	44.27 %	159	38.50%	96	23.24%	158	38.26%
Ages 12 to 15	532	248	46.62 %	284	53.38%	112	39.44%	67	23.59%	105	36.97%
Ages 16 to 18	213	78	36.62 %	135	63.38%	47	34.81%	31	22.96%	57	42.22%
Age Invalid	***	.	.	***	100.0%	***	50.00 %	***	50.00 %	.	.
Age Missing	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender											
Totals	2,118	1,015	47.92 %	1,103	52.08%	428	38.80 %	279	25.29%	396	35.90%
Female	1,134	486	42.86 %	648	57.14%	254	39.20%	146	22.53%	248	38.27%
Male	967	524	54.19%	443	45.81%	165	37.25%	132	29.80 %	146	32.96%
Transgender	***	***	14.29%	***	85.71%	***	100.0%	.	.	.	.

Other/Unkn own	10	***	40.00 %	***	60.00 %	***	50.00 %	***	16.67%	***	33.33%
Race/Ethnicity											
Totals	2,118	1,015	47.92 %	1,103	52.08%	428	38.80 %	279	25.29%	396	35.90%
Hispanic	847	417	49.23 %	430	50.77%	189	43.95%	104	24.19%	137	31.86%
Black	689	298	43.25 %	391	56.75%	135	34.53%	113	28.90 %	143	36.57%
White	140	64	45.71%	76	54.29%	37	48.68 %	16	21.05%	23	30.26%
All Other	73	37	50.68 %	36	49.32%	12	33.33%	***	22.22%	16	44.44 %
Unable to Determine	369	199	53.93 %	170	46.07 %	55	32.35%	38	22.35%	77	45.29%

Question 1: The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations in Prince George's.

Examination of Investigative Response/Alternative Response for State Fiscal Year 2025 in Prince George's

		Investigative Response									
	Children	Alternative Response		Investigative Response		Indicated		Unsubstantiated		Ruled Out	
Age Groups	(A)	(B) n	(B/A) %	(C) n	(C/A) %	(D) n	(D/C) %	(I) n	(I/C) %	(O) n	(O/C) %
Totals	2,473	1,206	48.77%	1,267	51.23%	326	25.73%	489	38.60%	452	35.67%
Ages 0 to 4	607	248	40.86%	359	59.14%	74	20.61%	157	43.73%	128	35.65%
Ages 5 to 11	1,027	559	54.43%	468	45.57%	125	26.71%	180	38.46%	163	34.83%
Ages 12 to 15	620	302	48.71%	318	51.29%	94	29.56%	96	30.19%	128	40.25%
Ages 16 to 18	211	92	43.60%	119	56.40%	33	27.73%	53	44.54%	33	27.73%
Age Invalid	***	***	57.14%	***	42.86%	.	.	***	100.0%	.	.
Age Missing	***	***	100.0%	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender											
Totals	2,473	1,206	48.77%	1,267	51.23%	326	25.73%	489	38.60%	452	35.67%
Female	1,263	567	44.89%	696	55.11%	204	29.31%	259	37.21%	233	33.48%
Male	1,202	636	52.91%	566	47.09%	121	21.38%	227	40.11%	218	38.52%
Transgender	***	***	25.00%	***	75.00%	***	33.33%	***	33.33%	***	33.33%

Other/Unkn own	***	***	50.00 %	***	50.00 %	.	.	***	100.0%	.	.
Race/Ethnicity											
Totals	2,473	1,206	48.77 %	1,267	51.23%	326	25.73%	489	38.60 %	452	35.67%
Hispanic	423	182	43.03 %	241	56.97%	89	36.93%	82	34.02 %	70	29.05%
Black	767	311	40.55 %	456	59.45%	109	23.90%	171	37.50%	176	38.60 %
White	44	24	54.55 %	20	45.45%	.	.	***	40.00 %	12	60.00 %
All Other	12	***	33.33%	***	66.67%	***	12.50%	***	37.50%	***	50.00 %
Unable to Determine	1,227	685	55.83 %	542	44.17%	127	23.43%	225	41.51%	190	35.06%

Question 1: The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations in Queen Anne's.

Examination of Investigative Response/Alternative Response for State Fiscal Year 2025 in Queen Anne's

		Investigative Response									
	Children	Alternative Response		Investigative Response		Indicated		Unsubstantiated		Ruled Out	
Age Groups	(A)	(B) n	(B/A) %	(C) n	(C/A) %	(D) n	(D/C) %	(I) n	(I/C) %	(O) n	(O/C) %
Totals	141	56	39.72 %	85	60.28 %	33	38.82%	20	23.53%	32	37.65%
Ages 0 to 4	36	12	33.33%	24	66.67%	15	62.50%	***	8.33%	***	29.17%
Ages 5 to 11	47	20	42.55 %	27	57.45%	***	29.63%	***	29.63%	11	40.74%
Ages 12 to 15	43	15	34.88 %	28	65.12%	***	25.00%	10	35.71%	11	39.29%
Ages 16 to 18	15	***	60.00 %	***	40.00 %	***	50.00 %	.	.	***	50.00 %
Age Invalid	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender											
Totals	141	56	39.72 %	85	60.28 %	33	38.82%	20	23.53%	32	37.65%
Female	83	36	43.37 %	47	56.63%	22	46.81%	10	21.28%	15	31.91%
Male	57	20	35.09 %	37	64.91%	11	29.73%	***	24.32%	17	45.95%
Transgender	***	.	.	***	100.0%	.	.	***	100.0%	.	.
Other/Unknown	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Race/Ethnicity											
Totals	141	56	39.72 %	85	60.28 %	33	38.82%	20	23.53%	32	37.65%
Hispanic	***	***	14.29%	***	85.71%	***	83.33%	.	.	***	16.67%
Black	15	10	66.67 %	***	33.33%	.	.	***	40.00 %	***	60.00 %
White	61	23	37.70 %	38	62.30%	12	31.58%	***	21.05%	18	47.37%
All Other	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to Determine	58	22	37.93%	36	62.07%	16	44.44 %	10	27.78%	10	27.78%

Question 1: The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations in Somerset.

Examination of Investigative Response/Alternative Response for State Fiscal Year 2025 in Somerset

		Investigative Response									
	Children	Alternative Response		Investigative Response		Indicated		Unsubstantiated		Ruled Out	
Age Groups	(A)	(B) n	(B/A) %	(C) n	(C/A) %	(D) n	(D/C) %	(I) n	(I/C) %	(O) n	(O/C) %
Totals	213	88	41.31%	125	58.69%	66	52.80%	24	19.20%	35	28.00%
Ages 0 to 4	64	28	43.75%	36	56.25%	15	41.67%	10	27.78%	11	30.56%
Ages 5 to 11	90	37	41.11%	53	58.89%	28	52.83%	***	16.98%	16	30.19%
Ages 12 to 15	48	20	41.67%	28	58.33%	18	64.29%	***	7.14%	***	28.57%
Ages 16 to 18	11	***	27.27%	***	72.73%	***	62.50%	***	37.50%	.	.
Age Invalid	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender											
Totals	213	88	41.31%	125	58.69%	66	52.80%	24	19.20%	35	28.00%
Female	108	42	38.89%	66	61.11%	33	50.00%	10	15.15%	23	34.85%
Male	105	46	43.81%	59	56.19%	33	55.93%	14	23.73%	12	20.34%
Transgender	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Race/Ethnicity											
Totals	213	88	41.31%	125	58.69%	66	52.80%	24	19.20%	35	28.00%
Hispanic	***	***	66.67%	***	33.33%	***	100.0%	.	.	.	.
Black	104	39	37.50%	65	62.50%	33	50.77%	12	18.46%	20	30.77%
White	71	27	38.03%	44	61.97%	24	54.55%	***	20.45%	11	25.00%
All Other	***	***	100.0%	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to Determine	31	17	54.84%	14	45.16%	***	50.00%	***	21.43%	***	28.57%

Question 1: The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations in St. Mary's.

Examination of Investigative Response/Alternative Response for State Fiscal Year 2025 in St. Mary's

		Investigative Response									
	Children	Alternative Response		Investigative Response		Indicated		Unsubstantiated		Ruled Out	
Age Groups	(A)	(B) n	(B/A) %	(C) n	(C/A) %	(D) n	(D/C) %	(I) n	(I/C) %	(O) n	(O/C) %
Totals	403	207	51.36%	196	48.64%	61	31.12%	34	17.35%	101	51.53%
Ages 0 to 4	88	40	45.45%	48	54.55%	19	39.58%	***	12.50%	23	47.92%
Ages 5 to 11	174	94	54.02%	80	45.98%	25	31.25%	15	18.75%	40	50.00%
Ages 12 to 15	99	48	48.48%	51	51.52%	13	25.49%	***	17.65%	29	56.86%
Ages 16 to 18	42	25	59.52%	17	40.48%	***	23.53%	***	23.53%	***	52.94%
Age Invalid	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender											
Totals	403	207	51.36%	196	48.64%	61	31.12%	34	17.35%	101	51.53%
Female	208	85	40.87%	123	59.13%	43	34.96%	18	14.63%	62	50.41%
Male	195	122	62.56%	73	37.44%	18	24.66%	16	21.92%	39	53.42%
Transgender	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Other/Unkn own	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity											
Totals	403	207	51.36%	196	48.64 %	61	31.12%	34	17.35%	101	51.53%
Hispanic	15	***	46.67 %	***	53.33%	***	12.50%	***	62.50%	***	25.00%
Black	85	39	45.88 %	46	54.12%	15	32.61%	***	6.52%	28	60.87 %
White	109	43	39.45 %	66	60.55%	24	36.36%	13	19.70%	29	43.94%
All Other	***	.	.	***	100.0%	***	33.33%	.	.	***	66.67%
Unable to Determine	191	118	61.78%	73	38.22%	20	27.40%	13	17.81%	40	54.79%

Question 1: The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations in Talbot.

Examination of Investigative Response/Alternative Response for State Fiscal Year 2025 in Talbot

		Investigative Response									
	Children	Alternative Response		Investigative Response		Indicated		Unsubstantiated		Ruled Out	
Age Groups	(A)	(B) n	(B/A) %	(C) n	(C/A) %	(D) n	(D/C) %	(I) n	(I/C) %	(O) n	(O/C) %
Totals	124	46	37.10%	78	62.90%	30	38.46%	12	15.38%	36	46.15%
Ages 0 to 4	35	11	31.43%	24	68.57%	10	41.67%	***	12.50%	11	45.83%
Ages 5 to 11	46	20	43.48%	26	56.52%	***	30.77%	***	30.77%	10	38.46%
Ages 12 to 15	30	12	40.00%	18	60.00%	***	38.89%	***	5.56%	10	55.56%
Ages 16 to 18	13	***	23.08%	10	76.92%	***	50.00%	.	.	***	50.00%
Age Invalid	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender											
Totals	124	46	37.10%	78	62.90%	30	38.46%	12	15.38%	36	46.15%
Female	58	21	36.21%	37	63.79%	16	43.24%	***	16.22%	15	40.54%
Male	66	25	37.88%	41	62.12%	14	34.15%	***	14.63%	21	51.22%
Transgender	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity											
Totals	124	46	37.10%	78	62.90%	30	38.46%	12	15.38%	36	46.15%

Hispanic	25	11	44.00 %	14	56.00 %	12	85.71%	***	7.14%	***	7.14%
Black	17	***	41.18%	10	58.82%	***	60.00 %	***	10.00%	***	30.00 %
White	50	16	32.00 %	34	68.00 %	***	23.53%	***	23.53%	18	52.94%
All Other	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to Determine	32	12	37.50 %	20	62.50%	***	20.00 %	***	10.00%	14	70.00 %

Question 1: The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations in Washington.

Examination of Investigative Response/Alternative Response for State Fiscal Year 2025 in Washington

		Investigative Response									
	Children	Alternative Response		Investigative Response		Indicated		Unsubstantiated		Ruled Out	
Age Groups	(A)	(B) n	(B/A) %	(C) n	(C/A) %	(D) n	(D/C) %	(I) n	(I/C) %	(O) n	(O/C) %
Totals	1,147	323	28.16%	824	71.84%	292	35.44%	152	18.45%	380	46.12%
Ages 0 to 4	305	92	30.16%	213	69.84%	96	45.07%	45	21.13%	72	33.80%
Ages 5 to 11	486	153	31.48%	333	68.52%	106	31.83%	56	16.82%	171	51.35%
Ages 12 to 15	267	56	20.97%	211	79.03%	67	31.75%	43	20.38%	101	47.87%
Ages 16 to 18	89	22	24.72%	67	75.28%	23	34.33%	***	11.94%	36	53.73%
Age Invalid	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender											
Totals	1,147	323	28.16%	824	71.84%	292	35.44%	152	18.45%	380	46.12%
Female	583	156	26.76%	427	73.24%	144	33.72%	85	19.91%	198	46.37%
Male	562	167	29.72%	395	70.28%	147	37.22%	66	16.71%	182	46.08%
Transgender	***	.	.	***	100.0%	***	50.00%	***	50.00%	.	.
Other/Unknown	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity											
Totals	1,147	323	28.16%	824	71.84%	292	35.44%	152	18.45%	380	46.12%

Hispanic	125	37	29.60 %	88	70.40 %	35	39.77%	16	18.18%	37	42.05%
Black	429	116	27.04 %	313	72.96%	101	32.27%	59	18.85%	153	48.88 %
White	570	161	28.25 %	409	71.75%	151	36.92%	74	18.09%	184	44.99 %
All Other	10	***	30.00 %	***	70.00 %	***	42.86 %	***	42.86 %	***	14.29%
Unable to Determine	13	***	46.15%	***	53.85%	***	28.57%	.	.	***	71.43%

Question 1: The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations in Wicomico.

Examination of Investigative Response/Alternative Response for State Fiscal Year 2025 in Wicomico

		Investigative Response									
	Children	Alternative Response		Investigative Response		Indicated		Unsubstantiated		Ruled Out	
Age Groups	(A)	(B) n	(B/A) %	(C) n	(C/A) %	(D) n	(D/C) %	(I) n	(I/C) %	(O) n	(O/C) %
Totals	431	173	40.14%	258	59.86%	104	40.31%	40	15.50%	114	44.19%
Ages 0 to 4	121	56	46.28%	65	53.72%	26	40.00%	17	26.15%	22	33.85%
Ages 5 to 11	189	78	41.27%	111	58.73%	48	43.24%	12	10.81%	51	45.95%
Ages 12 to 15	89	30	33.71%	59	66.29%	24	40.68%	***	13.56%	27	45.76%
Ages 16 to 18	32	***	28.13%	23	71.88%	***	26.09%	***	13.04%	14	60.87%
Age Invalid	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender											
Totals	431	173	40.14%	258	59.86%	104	40.31%	40	15.50%	114	44.19%
Female	221	77	34.84%	144	65.16%	56	38.89%	20	13.89%	68	47.22%
Male	210	96	45.71%	114	54.29%	48	42.11%	20	17.54%	46	40.35%
Transgender	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity											

Totals	431	173	40.14 %	258	59.86%	104	40.31%	40	15.50%	114	44.19%
Hispanic	36	17	47.22 %	19	52.78%	***	42.11%	***	10.53%	***	47.37%
Black	189	70	37.04 %	119	62.96%	57	47.90%	12	10.08%	50	42.02 %
White	133	49	36.84 %	84	63.16%	29	34.52%	17	20.24%	38	45.24%
All Other	***	***	66.67 %	***	33.33%	.	.	.	.	***	100.0%
Unable to Determine	70	35	50.00 %	35	50.00 %	10	28.57%	***	25.71%	16	45.71%

Question 1: The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations in Worcester.

Examination of Investigative Response/Alternative Response for State Fiscal Year 2025 in Worcester

		Investigative Response									
	Children	Alternative Response		Investigative Response		Indicated		Unsubstantiated		Ruled Out	
Age Groups	(A)	(B) n	(B/A) %	(C) n	(C/A) %	(D) n	(D/C) %	(I) n	(I/C) %	(O) n	(O/C) %
Totals	308	135	43.83%	173	56.17%	89	51.45%	40	23.12%	44	25.43%
Ages 0 to 4	86	27	31.40%	59	68.60%	32	54.24%	13	22.03%	14	23.73%
Ages 5 to 11	144	76	52.78%	68	47.22%	31	45.59%	17	25.00%	20	29.41%
Ages 12 to 15	61	25	40.98%	36	59.02%	20	55.56%	***	25.00%	***	19.44%
Ages 16 to 18	17	***	41.18%	10	58.82%	***	60.00%	***	10.00%	***	30.00%
Age Invalid	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender											
Totals	308	135	43.83%	173	56.17%	89	51.45%	40	23.12%	44	25.43%
Female	132	60	45.45%	72	54.55%	36	50.00%	18	25.00%	18	25.00%
Male	176	75	42.61%	101	57.39%	53	52.48%	22	21.78%	26	25.74%
Transgender	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Race/Ethnicity											
Totals	308	135	43.83 %	173	56.17%	89	51.45%	40	23.12%	44	25.43%
Hispanic	12	***	8.33%	11	91.67%	***	45.45%	***	18.18%	***	36.36%
Black	84	43	51.19%	41	48.81%	28	68.29%	***	14.63%	***	17.07%
White	145	67	46.21%	78	53.79%	26	33.33%	29	37.18%	23	29.49%
All Other	***	***	100.0 %	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to Determine	66	23	34.85 %	43	65.15%	30	69.77%	***	6.98%	10	23.26%

Appendix 2: Question 2 – Jurisdictional Context

Question 2: The number of children and foster youth receiving In-Home services in Allegany.

This table contains the number of In-Home cases served during the fiscal year, and the number of children included in the cases served.

All In-Home Services - State Fiscal Year 2025

	All In-Home Services State Fiscal Year 2025	
Age Group	Cases	Children
All Ages	132	323
Ages 0 to 4	.	147
Ages 5 to 11	.	119
Ages 12 to 15	.	36
Ages 16 to 17	.	21
Age Unknown	.	***
Gender		
Totals	132	323
Female	.	167
Male	.	156
Transgender	.	***
Other/Unknown	.	***
Race/Ethnicity		
Totals	132	323
Hispanic	.	***
Black	.	35
White	.	208
All Other	.	29
Unable to Determine	.	42

Question 2: The number of children and foster youth receiving In-Home services in Anne Arundel.

This table contains the number of In-Home cases served during the fiscal year, and the number of children included in the cases served.

All In-Home Services - State Fiscal Year 2025

	All In-Home Services State Fiscal Year 2025	
Age Group	Cases	Children
All Ages	519	1,164
Ages 0 to 4	.	398
Ages 5 to 11	.	449
Ages 12 to 15	.	209
Ages 16 to 17	.	97
Age Unknown	.	11
Gender		
Totals	519	1,164
Female	.	584
Male	.	576
Transgender	.	***
Other/Unknown	.	***
Race/Ethnicity		
Totals	519	1,164
Hispanic	.	131
Black	.	352
White	.	399
All Other	.	74
Unable to Determine		.

Question 2: The number of children and foster youth receiving In-Home services in Baltimore City.

This table contains the number of In-Home cases served during the fiscal year, and the number of children included in the cases served.

All In-Home Services - State Fiscal Year 2025

	All In-Home Services State Fiscal Year 2025	
Age Group	Cases	Children
All Ages	1,039	2,316
Ages 0 to 4	.	886
Ages 5 to 11	.	803
Ages 12 to 15	.	426
Ages 16 to 17	.	191
Age Unknown	.	10
Gender		
Totals	1,039	2,316
Female	.	1,124
Male	.	1,190
Transgender	.	***
Other/Unknown	.	***
Race/Ethnicity		
Totals	1,039	2,316
Hispanic	.	90
Black	.	1,550
White	.	170
All Other	.	51
Unable to Determine	.	455

Question 2: The number of children and foster youth receiving In-Home services in Baltimore County.

This table contains the number of In-Home cases served during the fiscal year, and the number of children included in the cases served.

All In-Home Services - State Fiscal Year 2025

	All In-Home Services State Fiscal Year 2025	
Age Group	Cases	Children
All Ages	486	1,049
Ages 0 to 4	.	438
Ages 5 to 11	.	359
Ages 12 to 15	.	179
Ages 16 to 17	.	68
Age Unknown	.	***
Gender		
Totals	486	1,049
Female	.	525
Male	.	520
Transgender	.	***
Other/Unknown	.	***
Race/Ethnicity		
Totals	486	1,049
Hispanic	.	93
Black	.	422
White	.	266
All Other	.	78
Unable to Determine	.	190

Question 2: The number of children and foster youth receiving In-Home services in Calvert.

This table contains the number of In-Home cases served during the fiscal year, and the number of children included in the cases served.

All In-Home Services - State Fiscal Year 2025

	All In-Home Services State Fiscal Year 2025	
Age Group	Cases	Children
All Ages	58	132
Ages 0 to 4	.	48
Ages 5 to 11	.	53
Ages 12 to 15	.	23
Ages 16 to 17	.	***
Age Unknown	.	***
Gender		
Totals	58	132
Female	.	73
Male	.	59
Transgender	.	***
Other/Unknown	.	***
Race/Ethnicity		
Totals	58	132
Hispanic	.	14
Black	.	29
White	.	52
All Other	.	***
Unable to Determine	.	30

Question 2: The number of children and foster youth receiving In-Home services in Caroline.

This table contains the number of In-Home cases served during the fiscal year, and the number of children included in the cases served.

All In-Home Services - State Fiscal Year 2025

	All In-Home Services State Fiscal Year 2025	
Age Group	Cases	Children
All Ages	60	137
Ages 0 to 4	.	58
Ages 5 to 11	.	42
Ages 12 to 15	.	24
Ages 16 to 17	.	12
Age Unknown	.	***
Gender		
Totals	60	137
Female	.	63
Male	.	74
Transgender	.	***
Other/Unknown	.	***
Race/Ethnicity		
Totals	60	137
Hispanic	.	***
Black	.	26
White	.	70
All Other	.	***
Unable to Determine	.	32

Question 2: The number of children and foster youth receiving In-Home services in Carroll.

This table contains the number of In-Home cases served during the fiscal year, and the number of children included in the cases served.

All In-Home Services - State Fiscal Year 2025

	All In-Home Services State Fiscal Year 2025	
Age Group	Cases	Children
All Ages	220	457
Ages 0 to 4	.	140
Ages 5 to 11	.	168
Ages 12 to 15	.	99
Ages 16 to 17	.	44
Age Unknown	.	***
Gender		
Totals	220	457
Female	.	221
Male	.	232
Transgender	.	***
Other/Unknown	.	***
Race/Ethnicity		
Totals	220	457
Hispanic	.	35
Black	.	36
White	.	176
All Other	.	18
Unable to Determine	.	192

Question 2: The number of children and foster youth receiving In-Home services in Cecil.

This table contains the number of In-Home cases served during the fiscal year, and the number of children included in the cases served.

All In-Home Services - State Fiscal Year 2025

	All In-Home Services State Fiscal Year 2025	
Age Group	Cases	Children
All Ages	114	258
Ages 0 to 4	.	124
Ages 5 to 11	.	86
Ages 12 to 15	.	30
Ages 16 to 17	.	17
Age Unknown	.	***
Gender		
Totals	114	258
Female	.	127
Male	.	128
Transgender	.	***
Other/Unknown	.	***
Race/Ethnicity		
Totals	114	258
Hispanic	.	12
Black	.	20
White	.	141
All Other	.	***
Unable to Determine	.	79

Question 2: The number of children and foster youth receiving In-Home services in Charles.

This table contains the number of In-Home cases served during the fiscal year, and the number of children included in the cases served.

All In-Home Services - State Fiscal Year 2025

	All In-Home Services State Fiscal Year 2025	
Age Group	Cases	Children
All Ages	102	220
Ages 0 to 4	.	63
Ages 5 to 11	.	74
Ages 12 to 15	.	55
Ages 16 to 17	.	24
Age Unknown	.	***
Gender		
Totals	102	220
Female	.	117
Male	.	103
Transgender	.	***
Other/Unknown	.	***
Race/Ethnicity		
Totals	102	220
Hispanic	.	19
Black	.	109
White	.	46
All Other	.	***
Unable to Determine	.	39

Question 2: The number of children and foster youth receiving In-Home services in Dorchester.

This table contains the number of In-Home cases served during the fiscal year, and the number of children included in the cases served.

All In-Home Services - State Fiscal Year 2025

	All In-Home Services State Fiscal Year 2025	
Age Group	Cases	Children
All Ages	94	235
Ages 0 to 4	.	86
Ages 5 to 11	.	79
Ages 12 to 15	.	49
Ages 16 to 17	.	19
Age Unknown	.	***
Gender		
Totals	94	235
Female	.	107
Male	.	128
Transgender	.	***
Other/Unknown	.	***
Race/Ethnicity		
Totals	94	235
Hispanic	.	***
Black	.	109
White	.	44
All Other	.	17
Unable to Determine	.	58

Question 2: The number of children and foster youth receiving In-Home services in Frederick.

This table contains the number of In-Home cases served during the fiscal year, and the number of children included in the cases served.

All In-Home Services - State Fiscal Year 2025

	All In-Home Services State Fiscal Year 2025	
Age Group	Cases	Children
All Ages	198	407
Ages 0 to 4	.	172
Ages 5 to 11	.	138
Ages 12 to 15	.	64
Ages 16 to 17	.	32
Age Unknown	.	***
Gender		
Totals	198	407
Female	.	198
Male	.	208
Transgender	.	***
Other/Unknown	.	***
Race/Ethnicity		
Totals	198	407
Hispanic	.	62
Black	.	95
White	.	191
All Other	.	53
Unable to Determine	.	***

Question 2: The number of children and foster youth receiving In-Home services in Garrett.

This table contains the number of In-Home cases served during the fiscal year, and the number of children included in the cases served.

All In-Home Services - State Fiscal Year 2025

	All In-Home Services State Fiscal Year 2025	
Age Group	Cases	Children
All Ages	82	173
Ages 0 to 4	.	72
Ages 5 to 11	.	53
Ages 12 to 15	.	32
Ages 16 to 17	.	15
Age Unknown	.	***
Gender		
Totals	82	173
Female	.	92
Male	.	80
Transgender	.	***
Other/Unknown	.	***
Race/Ethnicity		
Totals	82	173
Hispanic	.	***
Black	.	***
White	.	143
All Other	.	***
Unable to Determine	.	17

Question 2: The number of children and foster youth receiving In-Home services in Harford.

This table contains the number of In-Home cases served during the fiscal year, and the number of children included in the cases served.

All In-Home Services - State Fiscal Year 2025

	All In-Home Services State Fiscal Year 2025	
Age Group	Cases	Children
All Ages	174	375
Ages 0 to 4	.	178
Ages 5 to 11	.	125
Ages 12 to 15	.	49
Ages 16 to 17	.	23
Age Unknown	.	***
Gender		
Totals	174	375
Female	.	174
Male	.	201
Transgender	.	***
Other/Unknown	.	***
Race/Ethnicity		
Totals	174	375
Hispanic	.	11
Black	.	97
White	.	116
All Other	.	17
Unable to Determine	.	134

Question 2: The number of children and foster youth receiving In-Home services in Howard.

This table contains the number of In-Home cases served during the fiscal year, and the number of children included in the cases served.

All In-Home Services - State Fiscal Year 2025

	All In-Home Services State Fiscal Year 2025	
Age Group	Cases	Children
All Ages	128	304
Ages 0 to 4	.	93
Ages 5 to 11	.	109
Ages 12 to 15	.	70
Ages 16 to 17	.	26
Age Unknown	.	***
Gender		
Totals	128	304
Female	.	158
Male	.	145
Transgender	.	***
Other/Unknown	.	***
Race/Ethnicity		
Totals	128	304
Hispanic	.	38
Black	.	120
White	.	56
All Other	.	20
Unable to Determine	.	70

Question 2: The number of children and foster youth receiving In-Home services in Kent.

This table contains the number of In-Home cases served during the fiscal year, and the number of children included in the cases served.

All In-Home Services - State Fiscal Year 2025

	All In-Home Services State Fiscal Year 2025	
Age Group	Cases	Children
All Ages	26	55
Ages 0 to 4	.	27
Ages 5 to 11	.	13
Ages 12 to 15	.	12
Ages 16 to 17	.	***
Age Unknown	.	***
Gender		
Totals	26	55
Female	.	30
Male	.	25
Transgender	.	***
Other/Unknown	.	***
Race/Ethnicity		
Totals	26	55
Hispanic	.	***
Black	.	10
White	.	23
All Other	.	***
Unable to Determine	.	11

Question 2: The number of children and foster youth receiving In-Home services in Montgomery.

This table contains the number of In-Home cases served during the fiscal year, and the number of children included in the cases served.

All In-Home Services - State Fiscal Year 2025

	All In-Home Services State Fiscal Year 2025	
Age Group	Cases	Children
All Ages	140	321
Ages 0 to 4	.	120
Ages 5 to 11	.	124
Ages 12 to 15	.	55
Ages 16 to 17	.	21
Age Unknown	.	***
Gender		
Totals	140	321
Female	.	149
Male	.	169
Transgender	.	***
Other/Unknown	.	***
Race/Ethnicity		
Totals	140	321
Hispanic	.	109
Black	.	117
White	.	16
All Other	.	41
Unable to Determine	.	38

Question 2: The number of children and foster youth receiving In-Home services in Prince George's.

This table contains the number of In-Home cases served during the fiscal year, and the number of children included in the cases served.

All In-Home Services - State Fiscal Year 2025

	All In-Home Services State Fiscal Year 2025	
Age Group	Cases	Children
All Ages	373	744
Ages 0 to 4	.	230
Ages 5 to 11	.	267
Ages 12 to 15	.	140
Ages 16 to 17	.	95
Age Unknown	.	12
Gender		
Totals	373	744
Female	.	386
Male	.	358
Transgender	.	***
Other/Unknown	.	***
Race/Ethnicity		
Totals	373	744
Hispanic	.	136
Black	.	267
White	.	14
All Other	.	***
Unable to Determine	.	323

Question 2: The number of children and foster youth receiving In-Home services in Queen Anne's.

This table contains the number of In-Home cases served during the fiscal year, and the number of children included in the cases served.

All In-Home Services - State Fiscal Year 2025

	All In-Home Services State Fiscal Year 2025	
Age Group	Cases	Children
All Ages	32	75
Ages 0 to 4	.	29
Ages 5 to 11	.	32
Ages 12 to 15	.	***
Ages 16 to 17	.	***
Age Unknown	.	***
Gender		
Totals	32	75
Female	.	39
Male	.	36
Transgender	.	***
Other/Unknown	.	***
Race/Ethnicity		
Totals	32	75
Hispanic	.	***
Black	.	19
White	.	19
All Other	.	***
Unable to Determine	.	29

Question 2: The number of children and foster youth receiving In-Home services in Somerset.

This table contains the number of In-Home cases served during the fiscal year, and the number of children included in the cases served.

All In-Home Services - State Fiscal Year 2025

	All In-Home Services State Fiscal Year 2025	
Age Group	Cases	Children
All Ages	87	226
Ages 0 to 4	.	93
Ages 5 to 11	.	93
Ages 12 to 15	.	26
Ages 16 to 17	.	10
Age Unknown	.	***
Gender		
Totals	87	226
Female	.	101
Male	.	125
Transgender	.	***
Other/Unknown	.	***
Race/Ethnicity		
Totals	87	226
Hispanic	.	***
Black	.	96
White	.	62
All Other	.	32
Unable to Determine	.	32

Question 2: The number of children and foster youth receiving In-Home services in St. Mary's.

This table contains the number of In-Home cases served during the fiscal year, and the number of children included in the cases served.

All In-Home Services - State Fiscal Year 2025

	All In-Home Services State Fiscal Year 2025	
Age Group	Cases	Children
All Ages	120	290
Ages 0 to 4	.	88
Ages 5 to 11	.	133
Ages 12 to 15	.	45
Ages 16 to 17	.	22
Age Unknown	.	***
Gender		
Totals	120	290
Female	.	142
Male	.	147
Transgender	.	***
Other/Unknown	.	***
Race/Ethnicity		
Totals	120	290
Hispanic	.	14
Black	.	103
White	.	77
All Other	.	23
Unable to Determine	.	73

Question 2: The number of children and foster youth receiving In-Home services in Talbot.

This table contains the number of In-Home cases served during the fiscal year, and the number of children included in the cases served.

All In-Home Services - State Fiscal Year 2025

	All In-Home Services State Fiscal Year 2025	
Age Group	Cases	Children
All Ages	44	94
Ages 0 to 4	.	31
Ages 5 to 11	.	37
Ages 12 to 15	.	16
Ages 16 to 17	.	***
Age Unknown	.	***
Gender		
Totals	44	94
Female	.	37
Male	.	57
Transgender	.	***
Other/Unknown	.	***
Race/Ethnicity		
Totals	44	94
Hispanic	.	18
Black	.	14
White	.	27
All Other	.	***
Unable to Determine	.	27

Question 2: The number of children and foster youth receiving In-Home services in Washington.

This table contains the number of In-Home cases served during the fiscal year, and the number of children included in the cases served.

All In-Home Services - State Fiscal Year 2025

	All In-Home Services State Fiscal Year 2025	
Age Group	Cases	Children
All Ages	270	591
Ages 0 to 4	.	250
Ages 5 to 11	.	195
Ages 12 to 15	.	101
Ages 16 to 17	.	39
Age Unknown	.	***
Gender		
Totals	270	591
Female	.	300
Male	.	291
Transgender	.	***
Other/Unknown	.	***
Race/Ethnicity		
Totals	270	591
Hispanic	.	35
Black	.	141
White	.	315
All Other	.	71
Unable to Determine	.	29

Question 2: The number of children and foster youth receiving In-Home services in Wicomico.

This table contains the number of In-Home cases served during the fiscal year, and the number of children included in the cases served.

All In-Home Services - State Fiscal Year 2025

	All In-Home Services State Fiscal Year 2025	
Age Group	Cases	Children
All Ages	194	466
Ages 0 to 4	.	199
Ages 5 to 11	.	143
Ages 12 to 15	.	87
Ages 16 to 17	.	34
Age Unknown	.	***
Gender		
Totals	194	466
Female	.	224
Male	.	241
Transgender	.	***
Other/Unknown	.	***
Race/Ethnicity		
Totals	194	466
Hispanic	.	17
Black	.	209
White	.	80
All Other	.	30
Unable to Determine	.	130

Question 2: The number of children and foster youth receiving In-Home services in Worcester.

This table contains the number of In-Home cases served during the fiscal year, and the number of children included in the cases served.

All In-Home Services - State Fiscal Year 2025

	All In-Home Services State Fiscal Year 2025	
Age Group	Cases	Children
All Ages	113	234
Ages 0 to 4	.	84
Ages 5 to 11	.	94
Ages 12 to 15	.	36
Ages 16 to 17	.	19
Age Unknown	.	***
Gender		
Totals	113	234
Female	.	109
Male	.	125
Transgender	.	***
Other/Unknown	.	***
Race/Ethnicity		
Totals	113	234
Hispanic	.	***
Black	.	64
White	.	103
All Other	.	20
Unable to Determine	.	44

Appendix 3: Question 3 – Jurisdictional Context

Question 3: The number of new out-of-home placements by placement type in Allegany

This report lists the number of first time (new) entries into Maryland State Supervised out of home care during the State Fiscal Year.

New out-of-home placements by placement type between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

		Removals by placement type							
		Community Based Residential Placement		Family Home Settings		Non-Community Based Residential Placement		All Other Settings	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)
Totals	21	***	4.8%	19	90.5%	***	4.8%	***	0.0%
Ages 0 to 4	14	***	0.0%	14	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	50.0%	***	0.0%	***	50.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 16 to 17	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Gender									
Totals	21	***	4.8%	19	90.5%	***	4.8%	***	0.0%
Female	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Male	12	***	8.3%	10	83.3%	***	8.3%	***	0.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity									
Totals	21	***	4.8%	19	90.5%	***	4.8%	***	0.0%
Hispanic	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Black	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
White	14	***	7.1%	12	85.7%	***	7.1%	***	0.0%
All other	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Question 3: The number of new out-of-home placements by placement type in Anne Arundel

This report lists the number of first time (new) entries into Maryland State Supervised out of home care during the State Fiscal Year.

New out-of-home placements by placement type between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

		Removals by placement type							
		Community-Based Residential Placement		Family Home Settings		Non-Community Based Residential Placement		All Other Settings	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)
Totals	75	***	4.0%	68	90.7%	***	5.3%	***	0.0%
Ages 0 to 4	33	***	0.0%	33	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 5 to 11	28	***	7.1%	26	92.9%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 12 to 15	10	***	0.0%	***	60.0%	***	40.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 16 to 17	***	***	25.0%	***	75.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Gender									
Totals	75	***	4.0%	68	90.7%	***	5.3%	***	0.0%
Female	36	***	2.8%	32	88.9%	***	8.3%	***	0.0%
Male	39	***	5.1%	36	92.3%	***	2.6%	***	0.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity									
Totals	75	***	4.0%	68	90.7%	***	5.3%	***	0.0%
Hispanic	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Black	21	***	9.5%	18	85.7%	***	4.8%	***	0.0%
White	32	***	3.1%	29	90.6%	***	6.3%	***	0.0%

All other	12	***	0.0%	11	91.7%	***	8.3%	***	0.0%
Unable to determine	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

Question 3: The number of new out-of-home placements by placement type in Baltimore City

This report lists the number of first time (new) entries into Maryland State Supervised out of home care during the State Fiscal Year.

New out-of-home placements by placement type between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

		Removals by placement type							
		Community-Based Residential Placement		Family Home Settings		Non-Community Based Residential Placement		All Other Settings	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)
Totals	434	***	2.1%	415	95.6%	***	1.4%	***	0.9%
Ages 0 to 4	244	***	0.0%	243	99.6%	***	0.0%	***	0.4%
Ages 5 to 11	104	***	1.0%	102	98.1%	***	0.0%	***	1.0%
Ages 12 to 15	58	***	5.2%	48	82.8%	***	8.6%	***	3.4%
Ages 16 to 17	28	***	17.9%	22	78.6%	***	3.6%	***	0.0%
Gender									
Totals	434	***	2.1%	415	95.6%	***	1.4%	***	0.9%
Female	222	***	1.8%	214	96.4%	***	0.9%	***	0.9%
Male	212	***	2.4%	201	94.8%	***	1.9%	***	0.9%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity									
Totals	434	***	2.1%	415	95.6%	***	1.4%	***	0.9%
Hispanic	13	***	7.7%	12	92.3%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Black	329	***	2.1%	312	94.8%	***	1.8%	***	1.2%
White	56	***	0.0%	56	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

All other	30	***	3.3%	29	96.7%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Unable to determine	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

Question 3: The number of new out-of-home placements by placement type in Baltimore County

This report lists the number of first time (new) entries into Maryland State Supervised out of home care during the State Fiscal Year.

New out-of-home placements by placement type between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

		Removals by placement type							
		Community-Based Residential Placement		Family Home Settings		Non-Community Based Residential Placement		All Other Settings	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)
Totals	195	15	7.7%	168	86.2%	***	3.1%	***	3.1%
Ages 0 to 4	81	***	0.0%	79	97.5%	***	0.0%	***	2.5%
Ages 5 to 11	60	***	8.3%	54	90.0%	***	0.0%	***	1.7%
Ages 12 to 15	37	***	13.5%	26	70.3%	***	13.5%	***	2.7%
Ages 16 to 17	17	***	29.4%	***	52.9%	***	5.9%	***	11.8%
Gender									
Totals	195	15	7.7%	168	86.2%	***	3.1%	***	3.1%
Female	90	***	3.3%	82	91.1%	***	3.3%	***	2.2%
Male	105	12	11.4%	86	81.9%	***	2.9%	***	3.8%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity									
Totals	195	15	7.7%	168	86.2%	***	3.1%	***	3.1%
Hispanic	20	***	10.0%	17	85.0%	***	0.0%	***	5.0%
Black	90	***	10.0%	76	84.4%	***	2.2%	***	3.3%
White	60	***	1.7%	54	90.0%	***	6.7%	***	1.7%

All other	16	***	18.8%	13	81.3%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Unable to determine	***	***	0.0%	***	88.9%	***	0.0%	***	11.1%

Question 3: The number of new out-of-home placements by placement type in Calvert

This report lists the number of first time (new) entries into Maryland State Supervised out of home care during the State Fiscal Year.

New out-of-home placements by placement type between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

		Removals by placement type							
		Community-Based Residential Placement		Family Home Settings		Non-Community Based Residential Placement		All Other Settings	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)
Totals	***	***	11.1%	***	88.9%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	50.0%	***	50.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 16 to 17	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender									
Totals	***	***	11.1%	***	88.9%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Female	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Male	***	***	25.0%	***	75.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity									
Totals	***	***	11.1%	***	88.9%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	***	***	33.3%	***	66.7%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
White	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

All other	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 3: The number of new out-of-home placements by placement type in Caroline

This report lists the number of first time (new) entries into Maryland State Supervised out of home care during the State Fiscal Year.

New out-of-home placements by placement type between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

		Removals by placement type							
		Community-Based Residential Placement		Family Home Settings		Non-Community Based Residential Placement		All Other Settings	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)
Totals	18	***	5.6%	17	94.4%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	16.7%	***	83.3%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 16 to 17	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Gender									
Totals	18	***	5.6%	17	94.4%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Female	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Male	***	***	11.1%	***	88.9%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity									
Totals	18	***	5.6%	17	94.4%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
White	11	***	9.1%	10	90.9%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

All other	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Unable to determine	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

Question 3: The number of new out-of-home placements by placement type in Carroll

This report lists the number of first time (new) entries into Maryland State Supervised out of home care during the State Fiscal Year.

New out-of-home placements by placement type between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

		Removals by placement type							
		Community-Based Residential Placement		Family Home Settings		Non-Community Based Residential Placement		All Other Settings	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)
Totals	13	***	15.4%	11	84.6%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	50.0%	***	50.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	25.0%	***	75.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 16 to 17	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender									
Totals	13	***	15.4%	11	84.6%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Female	***	***	14.3%	***	85.7%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Male	***	***	16.7%	***	83.3%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity									
Totals	13	***	15.4%	11	84.6%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Hispanic	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Black	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
White	***	***	12.5%	***	87.5%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

All other	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Unable to determine	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

Question 3: The number of new out-of-home placements by placement type in Cecil

This report lists the number of first time (new) entries into Maryland State Supervised out of home care during the State Fiscal Year.

New out-of-home placements by placement type between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

		Removals by placement type							
		Community-Based Residential Placement		Family Home Settings		Non-Community Based Residential Placement		All Other Settings	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)
Totals	19	***	5.3%	18	94.7%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 0 to 4	13	***	0.0%	13	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	33.3%	***	66.7%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 16 to 17	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender									
Totals	19	***	5.3%	18	94.7%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Female	15	***	6.7%	14	93.3%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Male	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity									
Totals	19	***	5.3%	18	94.7%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
White	14	***	7.1%	13	92.9%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
All other	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Question 3: The number of new out-of-home placements by placement type in Charles

This report lists the number of first time (new) entries into Maryland State Supervised out of home care during the State Fiscal Year.

New out-of-home placements by placement type between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

		Removals by placement type							
		Community-Based Residential Placement		Family Home Settings		Non-Community Based Residential Placement		All Other Settings	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)
Totals	18	***	5.6%	17	94.4%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	14.3%	***	85.7%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 16 to 17	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Gender									
Totals	18	***	5.6%	17	94.4%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Female	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Male	10	***	10.0%	***	90.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity									
Totals	18	***	5.6%	17	94.4%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Hispanic	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Black	10	***	0.0%	10	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
White	***	***	50.0%	***	50.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
All other	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

Unable to determine	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
------------------------	-----	-----	------	-----	--------	-----	------	-----	------

Question 3: The number of new out-of-home placements by placement type in Dorchester

This report lists the number of first time (new) entries into Maryland State Supervised out of home care during the State Fiscal Year.

New out-of-home placements by placement type between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

		Removals by placement type							
		Community-Based Residential Placement		Family Home Settings		Non-Community Based Residential Placement		All Other Settings	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)
Totals	11	***	0.0%	11	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 0 to 4	10	***	0.0%	10	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 12 to 15	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Ages 16 to 17	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender									
Totals	11	***	0.0%	11	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Female	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Male	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity									
Totals	11	***	0.0%	11	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Hispanic	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Black	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
White	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
All other	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

Unable to determine	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
------------------------	-----	-----	------	-----	--------	-----	------	-----	------

Question 3: The number of new out-of-home placements by placement type in Frederick

This report lists the number of first time (new) entries into Maryland State Supervised out of home care during the State Fiscal Year.

New out-of-home placements by placement type between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

		Removals by placement type							
		Community-Based Residential Placement		Family Home Settings		Non-Community Based Residential Placement		All Other Settings	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)
Totals	26	***	7.7%	18	69.2%	***	11.5%	***	11.5%
Ages 0 to 4	11	***	0.0%	10	90.9%	***	0.0%	***	9.1%
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	28.6%	***	14.3%	***	42.9%	***	14.3%
Ages 16 to 17	***	***	0.0%	***	50.0%	***	0.0%	***	50.0%
Gender									
Totals	26	***	7.7%	18	69.2%	***	11.5%	***	11.5%
Female	15	***	6.7%	***	60.0%	***	20.0%	***	13.3%
Male	11	***	9.1%	***	81.8%	***	0.0%	***	9.1%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity									
Totals	26	***	7.7%	18	69.2%	***	11.5%	***	11.5%
Hispanic	***	***	0.0%	***	66.7%	***	16.7%	***	16.7%
Black	16	***	6.3%	12	75.0%	***	6.3%	***	12.5%
White	***	***	33.3%	***	66.7%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
All other	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%

Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Question 3: The number of new out-of-home placements by placement type in Garrett

This report lists the number of first time (new) entries into Maryland State Supervised out of home care during the State Fiscal Year.

New out-of-home placements by placement type between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

		Removals by placement type							
		Community-Based Residential Placement		Family Home Settings		Non-Community Based Residential Placement		All Other Settings	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)
Totals	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 16 to 17	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender									
Totals	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Female	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Male	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity									
Totals	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
White	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
All other	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Question 3: The number of new out-of-home placements by placement type in Harford

This report lists the number of first time (new) entries into Maryland State Supervised out of home care during the State Fiscal Year.

New out-of-home placements by placement type between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

		Removals by placement type							
		Community-Based Residential Placement		Family Home Settings		Non-Community Based Residential Placement		All Other Settings	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)
Totals	54	***	0.0%	52	96.3%	***	3.7%	***	0.0%
Ages 0 to 4	26	***	0.0%	26	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 5 to 11	11	***	0.0%	11	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 12 to 15	12	***	0.0%	11	91.7%	***	8.3%	***	0.0%
Ages 16 to 17	***	***	0.0%	***	80.0%	***	20.0%	***	0.0%
Gender									
Totals	54	***	0.0%	52	96.3%	***	3.7%	***	0.0%
Female	27	***	0.0%	27	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Male	27	***	0.0%	25	92.6%	***	7.4%	***	0.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity									
Totals	54	***	0.0%	52	96.3%	***	3.7%	***	0.0%
Hispanic	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Black	12	***	0.0%	12	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
White	29	***	0.0%	27	93.1%	***	6.9%	***	0.0%
All other	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Question 3: The number of new out-of-home placements by placement type in Howard

This report lists the number of first time (new) entries into Maryland State Supervised out of home care during the State Fiscal Year.

New out-of-home placements by placement type between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

		Removals by placement type							
		Community-Based Residential Placement		Family Home Settings		Non-Community Based Residential Placement		All Other Settings	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)
Totals	22	***	9.1%	17	77.3%	***	9.1%	***	4.5%
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	0.0%	***	66.7%	***	33.3%	***	0.0%
Ages 16 to 17	***	***	25.0%	***	50.0%	***	12.5%	***	12.5%
Gender									
Totals	22	***	9.1%	17	77.3%	***	9.1%	***	4.5%
Female	13	***	0.0%	11	84.6%	***	15.4%	***	0.0%
Male	***	***	12.5%	***	75.0%	***	0.0%	***	12.5%
Transgender	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Race/Ethnicity									
Totals	22	***	9.1%	17	77.3%	***	9.1%	***	4.5%
Hispanic	***	***	0.0%	***	33.3%	***	66.7%	***	0.0%
Black	15	***	13.3%	12	80.0%	***	0.0%	***	6.7%
White	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
All other	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Question 3: The number of new out-of-home placements by placement type in Kent

This report lists the number of first time (new) entries into Maryland State Supervised out of home care during the State Fiscal Year.

New out-of-home placements by placement type between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

		Removals by placement type							
		Community-Based Residential Placement		Family Home Settings		Non-Community Based Residential Placement		All Other Settings	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)
Totals	***	***	50.0%	***	25.0%	***	0.0%	***	25.0%
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	50.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	50.0%
Ages 16 to 17	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender									
Totals	***	***	50.0%	***	25.0%	***	0.0%	***	25.0%
Female	***	***	50.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	50.0%
Male	***	***	50.0%	***	50.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity									
Totals	***	***	50.0%	***	25.0%	***	0.0%	***	25.0%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	***	***	50.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	50.0%
White	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
All other	***	***	50.0%	***	50.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Question 3: The number of new out-of-home placements by placement type in Montgomery

This report lists the number of first time (new) entries into Maryland State Supervised out of home care during the State Fiscal Year.

New out-of-home placements by placement type between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

		Removals by placement type							
		Community-Based Residential Placement		Family Home Settings		Non-Community Based Residential Placement		All Other Settings	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)
Totals	93	***	1.1%	88	94.6%	***	2.2%	***	2.2%
Ages 0 to 4	51	***	0.0%	50	98.0%	***	0.0%	***	2.0%
Ages 5 to 11	21	***	0.0%	21	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 12 to 15	15	***	6.7%	12	80.0%	***	13.3%	***	0.0%
Ages 16 to 17	***	***	0.0%	***	83.3%	***	0.0%	***	16.7%
Gender									
Totals	93	***	1.1%	88	94.6%	***	2.2%	***	2.2%
Female	47	***	0.0%	46	97.9%	***	2.1%	***	0.0%
Male	45	***	2.2%	42	93.3%	***	2.2%	***	2.2%
Transgender	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	100.0%
Race/Ethnicity									
Totals	93	***	1.1%	88	94.6%	***	2.2%	***	2.2%
Hispanic	25	***	0.0%	24	96.0%	***	0.0%	***	4.0%
Black	35	***	0.0%	35	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
White	12	***	8.3%	10	83.3%	***	8.3%	***	0.0%
All other	11	***	0.0%	10	90.9%	***	9.1%	***	0.0%

Unable to determine	10	***	0.0%	***	90.0%	***	0.0%	***	10.0%
------------------------	----	-----	------	-----	-------	-----	------	-----	-------

Question 3: The number of new out-of-home placements by placement type in Prince George's

This report lists the number of first time (new) entries into Maryland State Supervised out of home care during the State Fiscal Year.

New out-of-home placements by placement type between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

		Removals by placement type							
		Community-Based Residential Placement		Family Home Settings		Non-Community Based Residential Placement		All Other Settings	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)
Totals	88	***	6.8%	79	89.8%	***	1.1%	***	2.3%
Ages 0 to 4	27	***	0.0%	25	92.6%	***	0.0%	***	7.4%
Ages 5 to 11	23	***	0.0%	23	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 12 to 15	25	***	12.0%	21	84.0%	***	4.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 16 to 17	13	***	23.1%	10	76.9%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Gender									
Totals	88	***	6.8%	79	89.8%	***	1.1%	***	2.3%
Female	50	***	8.0%	43	86.0%	***	2.0%	***	4.0%
Male	37	***	5.4%	35	94.6%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Transgender	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Race/Ethnicity									
Totals	88	***	6.8%	79	89.8%	***	1.1%	***	2.3%
Hispanic	14	***	14.3%	12	85.7%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Black	54	***	7.4%	48	88.9%	***	1.9%	***	1.9%
White	***	***	0.0%	***	50.0%	***	0.0%	***	50.0%
All other	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

Unable to determine	14	***	0.0%	14	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
------------------------	----	-----	------	----	--------	-----	------	-----	------

Question 3: The number of new out-of-home placements by placement type in Queen Anne's

This report lists the number of first time (new) entries into Maryland State Supervised out of home care during the State Fiscal Year.

New out-of-home placements by placement type between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

		Removals by placement type							
		Community-Based Residential Placement		Family Home Settings		Non-Community Based Residential Placement		All Other Settings	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)
Totals	***	***	25.0%	***	25.0%	***	50.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 0 to 4	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Ages 5 to 11	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	50.0%	***	0.0%	***	50.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 16 to 17	***	***	0.0%	***	50.0%	***	50.0%	***	0.0%
Gender									
Totals	***	***	25.0%	***	25.0%	***	50.0%	***	0.0%
Female	***	***	33.3%	***	33.3%	***	33.3%	***	0.0%
Male	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Transgender	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%
Race/Ethnicity									
Totals	***	***	25.0%	***	25.0%	***	50.0%	***	0.0%
Hispanic	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Black	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
White	***	***	0.0%	***	33.3%	***	66.7%	***	0.0%
All other	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Question 3: The number of new out-of-home placements by placement type in Somerset

This report lists the number of first time (new) entries into Maryland State Supervised out of home care during the State Fiscal Year.

New out-of-home placements by placement type between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

		Removals by placement type							
		Community-Based Residential Placement		Family Home Settings		Non-Community Based Residential Placement		All Other Settings	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)
Totals	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 12 to 15	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Ages 16 to 17	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender									
Totals	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Female	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Male	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity									
Totals	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
White	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
All other	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Question 3: The number of new out-of-home placements by placement type in St. Mary's

This report lists the number of first time (new) entries into Maryland State Supervised out of home care during the State Fiscal Year.

New out-of-home placements by placement type between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

		Removals by placement type							
		Community-Based Residential Placement		Family Home Settings		Non-Community Based Residential Placement		All Other Settings	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)
Totals	12	***	0.0%	12	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 16 to 17	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender									
Totals	12	***	0.0%	12	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Female	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Male	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity									
Totals	12	***	0.0%	12	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
White	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
All other	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Question 3: The number of new out-of-home placements by placement type in Talbot

This report lists the number of first time (new) entries into Maryland State Supervised out of home care during the State Fiscal Year.

New out-of-home placements by placement type between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

		Removals by placement type							
		Community-Based Residential Placement		Family Home Settings		Non-Community Based Residential Placement		All Other Settings	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)
Totals	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 16 to 17	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Gender									
Totals	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Female	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Male	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity									
Totals	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Hispanic	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Black	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
White	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
All other	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Unable to determine	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
------------------------	-----	-----	------	-----	--------	-----	------	-----	------

Question 3: The number of new out-of-home placements by placement type in Washington

This report lists the number of first time (new) entries into Maryland State Supervised out of home care during the State Fiscal Year.

New out-of-home placements by placement type between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

		Removals by placement type							
		Community-Based Residential Placement		Family Home Settings		Non-Community Based Residential Placement		All Other Settings	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)
Totals	102	***	2.9%	89	87.3%	***	3.9%	***	5.9%
Ages 0 to 4	41	***	0.0%	41	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 5 to 11	34	***	2.9%	30	88.2%	***	0.0%	***	8.8%
Ages 12 to 15	22	***	4.5%	16	72.7%	***	13.6%	***	9.1%
Ages 16 to 17	***	***	20.0%	***	40.0%	***	20.0%	***	20.0%
Gender									
Totals	102	***	2.9%	89	87.3%	***	3.9%	***	5.9%
Female	39	***	5.1%	30	76.9%	***	7.7%	***	10.3%
Male	63	***	1.6%	59	93.7%	***	1.6%	***	3.2%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity									
Totals	102	***	2.9%	89	87.3%	***	3.9%	***	5.9%
Hispanic	13	***	15.4%	***	53.8%	***	7.7%	***	23.1%
Black	18	***	0.0%	18	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
White	48	***	0.0%	44	91.7%	***	2.1%	***	6.3%
All other	21	***	4.8%	20	95.2%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

Unable to determine	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%
------------------------	-----	-----	------	-----	------	-----	--------	-----	------

Question 3: The number of new out-of-home placements by placement type in Wicomico

This report lists the number of first time (new) entries into Maryland State Supervised out of home care during the State Fiscal Year.

New out-of-home placements by placement type between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

		Removals by placement type							
		Community-Based Residential Placement		Family Home Settings		Non-Community Based Residential Placement		All Other Settings	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)
Totals	23	***	8.7%	19	82.6%	***	8.7%	***	0.0%
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	14.3%	***	85.7%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	25.0%	***	50.0%	***	25.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 16 to 17	***	***	0.0%	***	66.7%	***	33.3%	***	0.0%
Gender									
Totals	23	***	8.7%	19	82.6%	***	8.7%	***	0.0%
Female	10	***	10.0%	***	90.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Male	13	***	7.7%	10	76.9%	***	15.4%	***	0.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity									
Totals	23	***	8.7%	19	82.6%	***	8.7%	***	0.0%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	13	***	7.7%	12	92.3%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
White	***	***	0.0%	***	60.0%	***	40.0%	***	0.0%
All other	***	***	33.3%	***	66.7%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

Unable to determine	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
------------------------	-----	-----	------	-----	--------	-----	------	-----	------

Question 3: The number of new out-of-home placements by placement type in Worcester

This report lists the number of first time (new) entries into Maryland State Supervised out of home care during the State Fiscal Year.

New out-of-home placements by placement type between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

		Removals by placement type							
		Community-Based Residential Placement		Family Home Settings		Non-Community Based Residential Placement		All Other Settings	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)
Totals	12	***	0.0%	12	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 16 to 17	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender									
Totals	12	***	0.0%	12	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Female	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Male	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity									
Totals	12	***	0.0%	12	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
White	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
All other	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Appendix 4: Question 4 – Jurisdictional Context

Question 4: The number of exits from the child welfare system by exit type in Allegany

Exits by return reason code between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

		Exits by return type													
		Reunification		Adoption		Custody/ Guardianship Relative		Custody/ Guardianship Non-Relative		Emancipation		Court ordered return home against recommendat ion		Other	
Age Group	Childr en (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)	n (G)	% (G/A)	n (H)	% (H/A)
Totals	29	***	24.1%	***	20.7 %	10	34.5%	***	3.4%	***	13.8%	***	0.0%	***	3.4 %
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	44.4 %	***	22.2 %	***	33.3%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0 %
Ages 5 to 11	14	***	14.3 %	***	28.6 %	***	50.0%	***	7.1%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0 %
Ages 12 to 15	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Ages 16 to 18	***	***	25.0 %	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	75.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0 %
Over 18	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	50.0%	***	0.0%	***	50.0 %
Gender															
Totals	29	***	24.1%	***	20.7 %	10	34.5%	***	3.4%	***	13.8%	***	0.0%	***	3.4 %
Female	13	***	30.8 %	***	15.4%	***	30.8%	***	0.0%	***	15.4%	***	0.0%	***	7.7%
Male	16	***	18.8 %	***	25.0 %	***	37.5%	***	6.3%	***	12.5%	***	0.0%	***	0.0 %
Transgend er	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unk nown	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Race/Ethnicity															
Totals	29	***	24.1%	***	20.7%	10	34.5%	***	3.4%	***	13.8%	***	0.0%	***	3.4%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	***	***	33.3%	***	0.0%	***	33.3%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	33.3%
White	19	***	15.8%	***	31.6%	***	36.8%	***	5.3%	***	10.5%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
All other	***	***	42.9%	***	0.0%	***	28.6%	***	0.0%	***	28.6%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 4: The number of exits from the child welfare system by exit type in Anne Arundel

Exits by return reason code between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

		Exits by return type													
		Reunification		Adoption		Custody/ Guardianship Relative		Custody/ Guardianship Non-Relative		Emancipation		Court ordered return home against recommendation		Other	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)	n (G)	% (G/A)	n (H)	% (H/A)
Totals	54	25	46.3%	***	13.0%	10	18.5%	***	3.7%	***	11.1%	***	7.4%	***	0.0%
Ages 0 to 4	16	***	37.5%	***	18.8%	***	18.8%	***	6.3%	***	0.0%	***	18.8%	***	0.0%
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	42.9%	***	28.6%	***	28.6%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 12 to 15	16	11	68.8%	***	12.5%	***	18.8%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 16 to 18	***	***	55.6%	***	0.0%	***	11.1%	***	11.1%	***	11.1%	***	11.1%	***	0.0%
Over 18	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	16.7%	***	0.0%	***	83.3%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Gender															
Totals	54	25	46.3%	***	13.0%	10	18.5%	***	3.7%	***	11.1%	***	7.4%	***	0.0%
Female	24	***	33.3%	***	16.7%	***	20.8%	***	4.2%	***	12.5%	***	12.5%	***	0.0%
Male	30	17	56.7%	***	10.0%	***	16.7%	***	3.3%	***	10.0%	***	3.3%	***	0.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity															
Totals	54	25	46.3%	***	13.0%	10	18.5%	***	3.7%	***	11.1%	***	7.4%	***	0.0%
Hispanic	***	***	40.0%	***	0.0%	***	20.0%	***	0.0%	***	20.0%	***	20.0%	***	0.0%
Black	25	12	48.0%	***	4.0%	***	28.0%	***	8.0%	***	12.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

White	18	***	44.4 %	***	16.7%	***	11.1%	***	0.0%	***	11.1%	***	16.7%	***	0.0%
All other	***	***	50.0%	***	50.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 4: The number of exits from the child welfare system by exit type in Baltimore City

Exits by return reason code between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

		Exits by return type													
		Reunification		Adoption		Custody/ Guardianship Relative		Custody/ Guardianship Non-Relative		Emancipation		Court ordered return home against DSS recommendation		Other	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)	n (G)	% (G/A)	n (H)	% (H/A)
Totals	474	157	33.1%	70	14.8%	102	21.5%	35	7.4%	99	20.9%	***	1.7%	***	0.6%
Ages 0 to 4	154	56	36.4%	42	27.3%	42	27.3%	***	5.2%	***	0.0%	***	3.2%	***	0.6%
Ages 5 to 11	124	38	30.6%	21	16.9%	45	36.3%	19	15.3%	***	0.0%	***	0.8%	***	0.0%
Ages 12 to 15	69	40	58.0%	***	7.2%	15	21.7%	***	10.1%	***	1.4%	***	1.4%	***	0.0%
Ages 16 to 18	29	22	75.9%	***	6.9%	***	0.0%	***	3.4%	***	6.9%	***	3.4%	***	3.4%
Over 18	98	***	1.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	96	98.0%	***	0.0%	***	1.0%
Gender															
Totals	474	157	33.1%	70	14.8%	102	21.5%	35	7.4%	99	20.9%	***	1.7%	***	0.6%
Female	258	93	36.0%	41	15.9%	50	19.4%	15	5.8%	53	20.5%	***	1.9%	***	0.4%
Male	215	64	29.8%	29	13.5%	52	24.2%	20	9.3%	45	20.9%	***	1.4%	***	0.9%
Transgender	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity															
Totals	474	157	33.1%	70	14.8%	102	21.5%	35	7.4%	99	20.9%	***	1.7%	***	0.6%
Hispanic	21	***	28.6%	***	38.1%	***	9.5%	***	9.5%	***	14.3%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Black	384	130	33.9%	43	11.2%	86	22.4%	27	7.0%	88	22.9%	***	1.8%	***	0.8%
White	56	16	28.6%	13	23.2%	13	23.2%	***	10.7%	***	12.5%	***	1.8%	***	0.0%

All other	13	***	38.5%	***	46.2%	***	7.7%	***	0.0%	***	7.7%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 4: The number of exits from the child welfare system by exit type in Baltimore County

Exits by return reason code between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

		Exits by return type													
		Reunification		Adoption		Custody/ Guardianship Relative		Custody/ Guardianship Non-Relative		Emancipation		Court ordered return home against recommendation		Other	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)	n (G)	% (G/A)	n (H)	% (H/A)
Totals	224	85	37.9%	44	19.6%	45	20.1%	11	4.9%	24	10.7%	***	4.0%	***	2.7%
Ages 0 to 4	74	17	23.0%	25	33.8%	23	31.1%	***	4.1%	***	0.0%	***	6.8%	***	1.4%
Ages 5 to 11	58	28	48.3%	16	27.6%	***	13.8%	***	5.2%	***	0.0%	***	5.2%	***	0.0%
Ages 12 to 15	29	18	62.1%	***	6.9%	***	24.1%	***	3.4%	***	0.0%	***	3.4%	***	0.0%
Ages 16 to 18	36	21	58.3%	***	2.8%	***	16.7%	***	11.1%	***	8.3%	***	0.0%	***	2.8%
Over 18	27	***	3.7%	***	0.0%	***	3.7%	***	0.0%	21	77.8%	***	0.0%	***	14.8%
Gender															
Totals	224	85	37.9%	44	19.6%	45	20.1%	11	4.9%	24	10.7%	***	4.0%	***	2.7%
Female	115	47	40.9%	17	14.8%	23	20.0%	***	7.8%	14	12.2%	***	1.7%	***	2.6%
Male	107	37	34.6%	27	25.2%	22	20.6%	***	1.9%	10	9.3%	***	6.5%	***	1.9%
Transgender	***	***	50.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	50.0%
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity															
Totals	224	85	37.9%	44	19.6%	45	20.1%	11	4.9%	24	10.7%	***	4.0%	***	2.7%
Hispanic	11	***	36.4%	***	9.1%	***	9.1%	***	9.1%	***	18.2%	***	9.1%	***	9.1%
Black	94	36	38.3%	10	10.6%	23	24.5%	***	6.4%	14	14.9%	***	1.1%	***	4.3%
White	93	37	39.8%	21	22.6%	18	19.4%	***	4.3%	***	6.5%	***	6.5%	***	1.1%

All other	24	***	33.3%	10	41.7%	***	12.5%	***	0.0%	***	8.3%	***	4.2%	***	0.0%
Unable to determine	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

Question 4: The number of exits from the child welfare system by exit type in Calvert

Exits by return reason code between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

		Exits by return type													
		Reunification		Adoption		Custody/ Guardianship Relative		Custody/ Guardianship Non-Relative		Emancipation		Court ordered return home against DSS recommendation		Other	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)	n (G)	% (G/A)	n (H)	% (H/A)
Totals	23	***	26.1%	***	13.0%	***	26.1%	***	21.7%	***	13.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	0.0%	***	75.0%	***	0.0%	***	25.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	25.0%	***	0.0%	***	37.5%	***	37.5%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	50.0%	***	0.0%	***	33.3%	***	16.7%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 16 to 18	***	***	33.3%	***	0.0%	***	33.3%	***	0.0%	***	33.3%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Over 18	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Gender															
Totals	23	***	26.1%	***	13.0%	***	26.1%	***	21.7%	***	13.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Female	14	***	21.4%	***	7.1%	***	35.7%	***	14.3%	***	21.4%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Male	***	***	33.3%	***	22.2%	***	11.1%	***	33.3%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity															
Totals	23	***	26.1%	***	13.0%	***	26.1%	***	21.7%	***	13.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	***	***	20.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	60.0%	***	20.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
White	13	***	15.4%	***	7.7%	***	46.2%	***	15.4%	***	15.4%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

All other	***	***	60.0%	***	40.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 4: The number of exits from the child welfare system by exit type in Caroline

Exits by return reason code between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

		Exits by return type													
		Reunification		Adoption		Custody/ Guardianship Relative		Custody/ Guardianship Non-Relative		Emancipation		Court ordered return home against recommendation		Other	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)	n (G)	% (G/A)	n (H)	% (H/A)
Totals	***	***	12.5%	***	37.5%	***	12.5%	***	37.5%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	25.0%	***	25.0%	***	0.0%	***	50.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	0.0%	***	50.0%	***	50.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	0.0%	***	50.0%	***	0.0%	***	50.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 16 to 18	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Over 18	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender															
Totals	***	***	12.5%	***	37.5%	***	12.5%	***	37.5%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Female	***	***	0.0%	***	33.3%	***	0.0%	***	66.7%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Male	***	***	20.0%	***	40.0%	***	20.0%	***	20.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity															
Totals	***	***	12.5%	***	37.5%	***	12.5%	***	37.5%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Hispanic	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	50.0%	***	50.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Black	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
White	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

All other	***	***	0.0%	***	33.3%	***	0.0%	***	66.7%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Unable to determine	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

Question 4: The number of exits from the child welfare system by exit type in Carroll

Exits by return reason code between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

		Exits by return type													
		Reunification		Adoption		Custody/ Guardianship Relative		Custody/ Guardianship Non-Relative		Emancipation		Court ordered return home against DSS recommendation		Other	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)	n (G)	% (G/A)	n (H)	% (H/A)
Totals	14	***	50.0%	***	21.4%	***	21.4%	***	0.0%	***	7.1%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	60.0%	***	0.0%	***	40.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	0.0%	***	66.7%	***	33.3%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	75.0%	***	25.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 16 to 18	***	***	100%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Over 18	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Gender															
Totals	14	***	50.0%	***	21.4%	***	21.4%	***	0.0%	***	7.1%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Female	10	***	40.0%	***	20.0%	***	30.0%	***	0.0%	***	10.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Male	***	***	75.0%	***	25.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity															
Totals	14	***	50.0%	***	21.4%	***	21.4%	***	0.0%	***	7.1%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
White	***	***	25.0%	***	37.5%	***	25.0%	***	0.0%	***	12.5%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

All other	***	***	100%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Unable to determine	***	***	66.7%	***	0.0%	***	33.3%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

Question 4: The number of exits from the child welfare system by exit type in Cecil

Exits by return reason code between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

		Exits by return type													
		Reunification		Adoption		Custody/ Guardianship Relative		Custody/ Guardianship Non-Relative		Emancipation		Court ordered return home against DSS recommendation		Other	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)	n (G)	% (G/A)	n (H)	% (H/A)
Totals	41	21	51.2%	***	14.6%	***	14.6%	***	7.3%	***	4.9%	***	2.4%	***	4.9%
Ages 0 to 4	17	10	58.8%	***	11.8%	***	17.6%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	5.9%	***	5.9%
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	37.5%	***	37.5%	***	12.5%	***	12.5%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	60.0%	***	20.0%	***	20.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 16 to 18	***	***	57.1%	***	0.0%	***	14.3%	***	28.6%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Over 18	***	***	25.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	50.0%	***	0.0%	***	25.0%
Gender															
Totals	41	21	51.2%	***	14.6%	***	14.6%	***	7.3%	***	4.9%	***	2.4%	***	4.9%
Female	22	***	40.9%	***	13.6%	***	22.7%	***	9.1%	***	0.0%	***	4.5%	***	9.1%
Male	17	11	64.7%	***	17.6%	***	5.9%	***	5.9%	***	5.9%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Transgender	***	***	50.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	50.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Other/Unkn own	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity															
Totals	41	21	51.2%	***	14.6%	***	14.6%	***	7.3%	***	4.9%	***	2.4%	***	4.9%
Hispanic	***	***	66.7%	***	0.0%	***	33.3%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Black	***	***	60.0%	***	20.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	20.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
White	31	15	48.4%	***	16.1%	***	12.9%	***	9.7%	***	3.2%	***	3.2%	***	6.5%
All other	***	***	50.0%	***	0.0%	***	50.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Question 4: The number of exits from the child welfare system by exit type in Charles

Exits by return reason code between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

		Exits by return type													
		Reunification		Adoption		Custody/ Guardianship Relative		Custody/ Guardianship Non-Relative		Emancipation		Court ordered return home against recommendation		Other	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)	n (G)	% (G/A)	n (H)	% (H/A)
Totals	12	***	41.7%	***	0.0%	***	8.3%	***	16.7%	***	33.3%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	66.7%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	33.3%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	100%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	100%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 16 to 18	***	***	66.7%	***	0.0%	***	33.3%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Over 18	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	100%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Gender															
Totals	12	***	41.7%	***	0.0%	***	8.3%	***	16.7%	***	33.3%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Female	***	***	50.0%	***	0.0%	***	25.0%	***	25.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Male	***	***	37.5%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	12.5%	***	50.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity															
Totals	12	***	41.7%	***	0.0%	***	8.3%	***	16.7%	***	33.3%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	***	***	28.6%	***	0.0%	***	14.3%	***	0.0%	***	57.1%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
White	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	100%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
All other	***	***	100%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

Unable to determine	***	***	100%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
------------------------	-----	-----	------	-----	------	-----	------	-----	------	-----	------	-----	------	-----	------

Question 4: The number of exits from the child welfare system by exit type in Dorchester

Exits by return reason code between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

		Exits by return type													
		Reunification		Adoption		Custody/ Guardianship Relative		Custody/ Guardianship Non-Relative		Emancipation		Court ordered return home against recommendation		Other	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)	n (G)	% (G/A)	n (H)	% (H/A)
Totals	***	***	37.5%	***	25.0%	***	12.5%	***	12.5%	***	12.5%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	40.0%	***	40.0%	***	20.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	100%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 12 to 15	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Ages 16 to 18	***	***	100%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Over 18	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	100%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Gender															
Totals	***	***	37.5%	***	25.0%	***	12.5%	***	12.5%	***	12.5%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Female	***	***	0.0%	***	66.7%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	33.3%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Male	***	***	60.0%	***	0.0%	***	20.0%	***	20.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity															
Totals	***	***	37.5%	***	25.0%	***	12.5%	***	12.5%	***	12.5%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	***	***	20.0%	***	40.0%	***	20.0%	***	20.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
White	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	100%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

All other	***	***	100%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Unable to determine	***	***	100%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

Question 4: The number of exits from the child welfare system by exit type in Frederick

Exits by return reason code between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

		Exits by return type													
		Reunification		Adoption		Custody/ Guardianship Relative		Custody/ Guardianship Non-Relative		Emancipation		Court ordered return home against DSS recommendation		Other	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)	n (G)	% (G/A)	n (H)	% (H/A)
Totals	41	13	31.7%	16	39.0%	***	19.5%	***	0.0%	***	7.3%	***	2.4%	***	0.0%
Ages 0 to 4	20	***	30.0%	13	65.0%	***	5.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 5 to 11	12	***	25.0%	***	16.7%	***	58.3%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	66.7%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	33.3%	***	0.0%
Ages 16 to 18	***	***	50.0%	***	25.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	25.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Over 18	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Gender															
Totals	41	13	31.7%	16	39.0%	***	19.5%	***	0.0%	***	7.3%	***	2.4%	***	0.0%
Female	21	***	23.8%	***	33.3%	***	28.6%	***	0.0%	***	14.3%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Male	20	***	40.0%	***	45.0%	***	10.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	5.0%	***	0.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity															
Totals	41	13	31.7%	16	39.0%	***	19.5%	***	0.0%	***	7.3%	***	2.4%	***	0.0%
Hispanic	11	***	63.6%	***	27.3%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	9.1%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Black	12	***	16.7%	***	33.3%	***	41.7%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	8.3%	***	0.0%
White	***	***	22.2%	***	33.3%	***	22.2%	***	0.0%	***	22.2%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

All other	***	***	22.2%	***	66.7%	***	11.1%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 4: The number of exits from the child welfare system by exit type in Garrett

Exits by return reason code between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

		Exits by return type													
		Reunification		Adoption		Custody/ Guardianship Relative		Custody/ Guardianship Non-Relative		Emancipation		Court ordered return home against DSS recommendation		Other	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)	n (G)	% (G/A)	n (H)	% (H/A)
Totals	***	***	37.5%	***	25.0%	***	25.0%	***	0.0%	***	12.5%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	66.7%	***	0.0%	***	33.3%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 16 to 18	***	***	50.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	50.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Over 18	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender															
Totals	***	***	37.5%	***	25.0%	***	25.0%	***	0.0%	***	12.5%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Female	***	***	50.0%	***	50.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Male	***	***	33.3%	***	16.7%	***	33.3%	***	0.0%	***	16.7%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity															
Totals	***	***	37.5%	***	25.0%	***	25.0%	***	0.0%	***	12.5%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
White	***	***	37.5%	***	25.0%	***	25.0%	***	0.0%	***	12.5%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

All other	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 4: The number of exits from the child welfare system by exit type in Harford

Exits by return reason code between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

		Exits by return type													
		Reunification		Adoption		Custody/ Guardianship Relative		Custody/ Guardianship Non-Relative		Emancipation		Court ordered return home against recommendation		Other	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)	n (G)	% (G/A)	n (H)	% (H/A)
Totals	75	33	44.0%	16	21.3%	10	13.3%	***	5.3%	***	12.0%	***	1.3%	***	2.7%
Ages 0 to 4	21	***	42.9%	***	33.3%	***	19.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	4.8%	***	0.0%
Ages 5 to 11	27	13	48.1%	***	29.6%	***	14.8%	***	7.4%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 12 to 15	12	***	66.7%	***	8.3%	***	8.3%	***	16.7%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 16 to 18	***	***	60.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	40.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Over 18	10	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	10.0%	***	0.0%	***	70.0%	***	0.0%	***	20%
Gender															
Totals	75	33	44.0%	16	21.3%	10	13.3%	***	5.3%	***	12.0%	***	1.3%	***	2.7%
Female	32	13	40.6%	***	21.9%	***	12.5%	***	9.4%	***	12.5%	***	3.1%	***	0.0%
Male	43	20	46.5%	***	20.9%	***	14.0%	***	2.3%	***	11.6%	***	0.0%	***	4.7%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity															
Totals	75	33	44.0%	16	21.3%	10	13.3%	***	5.3%	***	12.0%	***	1.3%	***	2.7%
Hispanic	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	25.0%	***	0.0%	***	25.0%	***	0.0%	***	50%
Black	27	12	44.4%	***	18.5%	***	14.8%	***	7.4%	***	14.8%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
White	35	18	51.4%	***	22.9%	***	14.3%	***	0.0%	***	8.6%	***	2.9%	***	0.0%

All other	***	***	33.3%	***	33.3%	***	0.0%	***	22.2%	***	11.1%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 4: The number of exits from the child welfare system by exit type in Howard

Exits by return reason code between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

		Exits by return type													
		Reunification		Adoption		Custody/ Guardianship Relative		Custody/ Guardianship Non-Relative		Emancipation		Court ordered return home against DSS recommendation		Other	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)	n (G)	% (G/A)	n (H)	% (H/A)
Totals	24	***	25.0%	11	45.8%	***	25.0%	***	0.0%	***	4.2%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	20.0%	***	40.0%	***	40.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 5 to 11	17	***	23.5%	***	52.9%	***	23.5%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 12 to 15	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Ages 16 to 18	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Over 18	***	***	50.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	50.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Gender															
Totals	24	***	25.0%	11	45.8%	***	25.0%	***	0.0%	***	4.2%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Female	13	***	23.1%	***	61.5%	***	15.4%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Male	11	***	27.3%	***	27.3%	***	36.4%	***	0.0%	***	9.1%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity															
Totals	24	***	25.0%	11	45.8%	***	25.0%	***	0.0%	***	4.2%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Hispanic	***	***	0.0%	***	60.0%	***	40.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Black	***	***	55.6%	***	11.1%	***	33.3%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
White	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

All other	***	***	20.0%	***	40.0%	***	20.0%	***	0.0%	***	20.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 4: The number of exits from the child welfare system by exit type in Kent

Exits by return reason code between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

		Exits by return type													
		Reunification		Adoption		Custody/ Guardianship Relative		Custody/ Guardianship Non-Relative		Emancipation		Court ordered return home against recommendation		Other	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)	n (G)	% (G/A)	n (H)	% (H/A)
Totals	***	***	40.0%	***	0.0%	***	20.0%	***	0.0%	***	20.0%	***	0.0%	***	20.0%
Ages 0 to 4	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	100%
Ages 12 to 15	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Ages 16 to 18	***	***	66.7%	***	0.0%	***	33.3%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Over 18	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	100%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Gender															
Totals	***	***	40.0%	***	0.0%	***	20.0%	***	0.0%	***	20.0%	***	0.0%	***	20.0%
Female	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	50.0%	***	0.0%	***	50.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Male	***	***	66.7%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	33.3%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity															
Totals	***	***	40.0%	***	0.0%	***	20.0%	***	0.0%	***	20.0%	***	0.0%	***	20.0%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	50.0%	***	0.0%	***	50.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
White	***	***	100%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

All other	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	100%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 4: The number of exits from the child welfare system by exit type in Montgomery

Exits by return reason code between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

		Exits by return type													
		Reunification		Adoption		Custody/ Guardianship Relative		Custody/ Guardianship Non-Relative		Emancipation		Court ordered return home against recommendati on		Other	
Age Group	Childre n (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)	n (G)	% (G/A)	n (H)	% (H/A)
Totals	100	31	31.0%	26	26.0%	28	28.0%	***	1.0%	11	11.0%	***	0.0%	***	3.0%
Ages 0 to 4	32	***	28.1%	17	53.1%	***	15.6%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	3.1%
Ages 5 to 11	34	14	41.2%	***	20.6%	13	38.2%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 12 to 15	15	***	46.7%	***	6.7%	***	46.7%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 16 to 18	***	***	0.0%	***	12.5%	***	37.5%	***	12.5%	***	25.0%	***	0.0%	***	12.5%
Over 18	11	***	9.1%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	81.8%	***	0.0%	***	9.1%
Gender															
Totals	100	31	31.0%	26	26.0%	28	28.0%	***	1.0%	11	11.0%	***	0.0%	***	3.0%
Female	50	13	26.0%	13	26.0%	14	28.0%	***	2.0%	***	16.0%	***	0.0%	***	2.0%
Male	50	18	36.0%	13	26.0%	14	28.0%	***	0.0%	***	6.0%	***	0.0%	***	4.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unkno wn	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity															
Totals	100	31	31.0%	26	26.0%	28	28.0%	***	1.0%	11	11.0%	***	0.0%	***	3.0%
Hispanic	23	***	39.1%	***	21.7%	***	21.7%	***	4.3%	***	13.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Black	50	13	26.0%	16	32.0%	15	30.0%	***	0.0%	***	6.0%	***	0.0%	***	6.0%
White	***	***	33.3%	***	22.2%	***	22.2%	***	0.0%	***	22.2%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
All other	16	***	25.0%	***	18.8%	***	37.5%	***	0.0%	***	18.8%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Unable to determine	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

Question 4: The number of exits from the child welfare system by exit type in Prince George's

Exits by return reason code between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

		Exits by return type													
		Reunification		Adoption		Custody/ Guardianship Relative		Custody/ Guardianship Non-Relative		Emancipation		Court ordered return home against recommendation		Other	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)	n (G)	% (G/A)	n (H)	% (H/A)
Totals	139	48	34.5%	10	7.2%	20	14.4%	12	8.6%	41	29.5%	***	1.4%	***	4.3%
Ages 0 to 4	21	***	33.3%	***	28.6%	***	23.8%	***	9.5%	***	0.0%	***	4.8%	***	0.0%
Ages 5 to 11	39	25	64.1%	***	10.3%	***	12.8%	***	12.8%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 12 to 15	19	***	42.1%	***	0.0%	***	36.8%	***	15.8%	***	0.0%	***	5.3%	***	0.0%
Ages 16 to 18	15	***	46.7%	***	0.0%	***	20.0%	***	13.3%	***	20.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Over 18	45	***	2.2%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	38	84.4%	***	0.0%	***	13.3%
Gender															
Totals	139	48	34.5%	10	7.2%	20	14.4%	12	8.6%	41	29.5%	***	1.4%	***	4.3%
Female	72	18	25.0%	***	8.3%	***	12.5%	***	8.3%	27	37.5%	***	1.4%	***	6.9%
Male	67	30	44.8%	***	6.0%	11	16.4%	***	9.0%	14	20.9%	***	1.5%	***	1.5%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity															
Totals	139	48	34.5%	10	7.2%	20	14.4%	12	8.6%	41	29.5%	***	1.4%	***	4.3%
Hispanic	20	***	20.0%	***	10.0%	***	10.0%	***	20.0%	***	35.0%	***	0.0%	***	5.0%
Black	100	35	35.0%	***	5.0%	16	16.0%	***	7.0%	31	31.0%	***	2.0%	***	4.0%
White	***	***	33.3%	***	16.7%	***	16.7%	***	16.7%	***	16.7%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

All other	***	***	0.0%	***	20.0%	***	20.0%	***	0.0%	***	40.0%	***	0.0%	***	20.0%
Unable to determine	***	***	87.5%	***	12.5%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

Question 4: The number of exits from the child welfare system by exit type in Queen Anne's

Exits by return reason code between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

		Exits by return type													
		Reunification		Adoption		Custody/ Guardianship Relative		Custody/ Guardianship Non-Relative		Emancipation		Court ordered return home against recommendation		Other	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)	n (G)	% (G/A)	n (H)	% (H/A)
Totals	***	***	44.4%	***	22.2%	***	11.1%	***	0.0%	***	11.1%	***	0.0%	***	11.1%
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	0.0%	***	50.0%	***	50.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 16 to 18	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Over 18	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	50.0%	***	0.0%	***	50.0%
Gender															
Totals	***	***	44.4%	***	22.2%	***	11.1%	***	0.0%	***	11.1%	***	0.0%	***	11.1%
Female	***	***	57.1%	***	14.3%	***	14.3%	***	0.0%	***	14.3%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Male	***	***	0.0%	***	50.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	50.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity															
Totals	***	***	44.4%	***	22.2%	***	11.1%	***	0.0%	***	11.1%	***	0.0%	***	11.1%
Hispanic	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

Black	***	***	50.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	25.0%	***	0.0%	***	25.0%
White	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
All other	***	***	0.0%	***	50.0%	***	50.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Unable to determine	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

Question 4: The number of exits from the child welfare system by exit type in Somerset

Exits by return reason code between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

		Exits by return type													
		Reunification		Adoption		Custody/ Guardianship Relative		Custody/ Guardianship Non-Relative		Emancipation		Court ordered return home against DSS recommendation		Other	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)	n (G)	% (G/A)	n (H)	% (H/A)
Totals	***	***	37.5%	***	37.5%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	25.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	100%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	25.0%	***	75.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 12 to 15	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Ages 16 to 18	***	***	100%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Over 18	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Gender															
Totals	***	***	37.5%	***	37.5%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	25.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Female	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Male	***	***	50.0%	***	50.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unkn own	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity															
Totals	***	***	37.5%	***	37.5%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	25.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	***	***	100%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
White	***	***	28.6%	***	42.9%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	28.6%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

All other	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 4: The number of exits from the child welfare system by exit type in St. Mary's

Exits by return reason code between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

		Exits by return type													
		Reunification		Adoption		Custody/ Guardianship Relative		Custody/ Guardianship Non-Relative		Emancipation		Court ordered return home against recommendation		Other	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)	n (G)	% (G/A)	n (H)	% (H/A)
Totals	23	***	8.7%	***	34.8%	***	30.4%	***	4.3%	***	17.4%	***	0.0%	***	4.3%
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	16.7%	***	50.0%	***	16.7%	***	16.7%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	0.0%	***	37.5%	***	62.5%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	0.0%	***	66.7%	***	33.3%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 16 to 18	***	***	33.3%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	33.3%	***	0.0%	***	33.3%
Over 18	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Gender															
Totals	23	***	8.7%	***	34.8%	***	30.4%	***	4.3%	***	17.4%	***	0.0%	***	4.3%
Female	13	***	7.7%	***	30.8%	***	30.8%	***	0.0%	***	23.1%	***	0.0%	***	7.7%
Male	10	***	10.0%	***	40.0%	***	30.0%	***	10.0%	***	10.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity															
Totals	23	***	8.7%	***	34.8%	***	30.4%	***	4.3%	***	17.4%	***	0.0%	***	4.3%
Hispanic	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	100.0%
Black	***	***	12.5%	***	37.5%	***	50.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
White	13	***	7.7%	***	38.5%	***	15.4%	***	7.7%	***	30.8%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

All other	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 4: The number of exits from the child welfare system by exit type in Talbot

Exits by return reason code between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

		Exits by return type													
		Reunification		Adoption		Custody/ Guardianship Relative		Custody/ Guardianship Non-Relative		Emancipation		Court ordered return home against DSS recommendation		Other	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)	n (G)	% (G/A)	n (H)	% (H/A)
Totals	***	***	80.0%	***	20.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	0.0%	***	100%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	100%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	100%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 16 to 18	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Over 18	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender															
Totals	***	***	80.0%	***	20.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Female	***	***	66.7%	***	33.3%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Male	***	***	100%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity															
Totals	***	***	80.0%	***	20.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	***	***	0.0%	***	100%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
White	***	***	100%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

All other	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 4: The number of exits from the child welfare system by exit type in Washington

Exits by return reason code between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

		Exits by return type													
		Reunification		Adoption		Custody/ Guardianship Relative		Custody/ Guardianship Non-Relative		Emancipation		Court ordered return home against recommendation		Other	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)	n (G)	% (G/A)	n (H)	% (H/A)
Totals	53	25	47.2%	16	30.2%	***	7.5%	***	3.8%	***	5.7%	***	1.9%	***	3.8%
Ages 0 to 4	15	***	33.3%	***	53.3%	***	13.3%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 5 to 11	17	***	41.2%	***	35.3%	***	11.8%	***	11.8%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 12 to 15	14	***	64.3%	***	14.3%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	7.1%	***	14.3%
Ages 16 to 18	***	***	80.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	20.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Over 18	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Gender															
Totals	53	25	47.2%	16	30.2%	***	7.5%	***	3.8%	***	5.7%	***	1.9%	***	3.8%
Female	22	***	40.9%	***	27.3%	***	4.5%	***	4.5%	***	13.6%	***	4.5%	***	4.5%
Male	31	16	51.6%	10	32.3%	***	9.7%	***	3.2%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	3.2%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity															
Totals	53	25	47.2%	16	30.2%	***	7.5%	***	3.8%	***	5.7%	***	1.9%	***	3.8%
Hispanic	***	***	60.0%	***	20.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	20.0%
Black	12	***	75.0%	***	16.7%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	8.3%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
White	20	***	35.0%	***	40.0%	***	10.0%	***	0.0%	***	10.0%	***	5.0%	***	0.0%

All other	15	***	40.0 %	***	26.7%	***	13.3%	***	13.3%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	6.7%
Unable to determine	***	***	0.0%	***	100%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

Question 4: The number of exits from the child welfare system by exit type in Wicomico

Exits by return reason code between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

		Exits by return type													
		Reunification		Adoption		Custody/ Guardianship Relative		Custody/ Guardianship Non-Relative		Emancipation		Court ordered return home against recommendation		Other	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)	n (G)	% (G/A)	n (H)	% (H/A)
Totals	***	***	50.0%	***	16.7%	***	16.7%	***	0.0%	***	16.7%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	33.3%	***	33.3%	***	33.3%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 5 to 11	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Ages 12 to 15	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Ages 16 to 18	***	***	100%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Over 18	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Gender															
Totals	***	***	50.0%	***	16.7%	***	16.7%	***	0.0%	***	16.7%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Female	***	***	50.0%	***	0.0%	***	50.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Male	***	***	50.0%	***	25.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	25.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity															
Totals	***	***	50.0%	***	16.7%	***	16.7%	***	0.0%	***	16.7%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	***	***	100%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
White	***	***	50.0%	***	25.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	25.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
All other	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Unable to determine	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
------------------------	-----	-----	------	-----	------	-----	--------	-----	------	-----	------	-----	------	-----	------

Question 4: The number of exits from the child welfare system by exit type in Worcester

Exits by return reason code between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

		Exits by return type													
		Reunification		Adoption		Custody/ Guardianship Relative		Custody/ Guardianship Non-Relative		Emancipation		Court ordered return home against DSS recommendation		Other	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)	n (G)	% (G/A)	n (H)	% (H/A)
Totals	21	10	47.6%	***	23.8%	***	9.5%	***	4.8%	***	9.5%	***	4.8%	***	0.0%
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	50.0%	***	37.5%	***	0.0%	***	12.5%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	66.7%	***	16.7%	***	16.7%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	33.3%	***	0.0%	***	33.3%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	33.3%	***	0.0%
Ages 16 to 18	***	***	33.3%	***	33.3%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	33.3%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Over 18	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Gender															
Totals	21	10	47.6%	***	23.8%	***	9.5%	***	4.8%	***	9.5%	***	4.8%	***	0.0%
Female	11	***	36.4%	***	36.4%	***	0.0%	***	9.1%	***	9.1%	***	9.1%	***	0.0%
Male	10	***	60.0%	***	10.0%	***	20.0%	***	0.0%	***	10.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unkn own	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity															
Totals	21	10	47.6%	***	23.8%	***	9.5%	***	4.8%	***	9.5%	***	4.8%	***	0.0%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	***	***	42.9%	***	14.3%	***	28.6%	***	0.0%	***	14.3%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
White	***	***	55.6%	***	33.3%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	11.1%	***	0.0%

All other	***	***	40.0 %	***	20.0%	***	0.0%	***	20.0%	***	20.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Appendix 5: Question 5 – Jurisdictional Context

Question 5: The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 12 months after exit in Allegany

Exits to reunification between July 1, 2023 and June 30, 2024 and the number of reentries within 12 months after exit

	Exits to reunification	Reentries within 3 months		Reentries within 6 months		Reentries within 9 months		Reentries within 12 months	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Ages 12 to 15	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Ages 16 to 18	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Gender									
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Female	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Male	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity									
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Black	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
White	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
All other	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 5: The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 12 months after exit in Anne Arundel

Exits to reunification between July 1, 2023 and June 30, 2024 and the number of reentries within 12 months after exit

	Exits to reunification	Reentries within 3 months		Reentries within 6 months		Reentries within 9 months		Reentries within 12 months	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)
Totals	13	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Ages 16 to 18	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Gender									
Totals	13	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Female	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Male	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity									
Totals	13	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Hispanic	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Black	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
White	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
All other	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 5: The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 12 months after exit in Baltimore City

Exits to reunification between July 1, 2023 and June 30, 2024 and the number of reentries within 12 months after exit

	Exits to reunification	Reentries within 3 months		Reentries within 6 months		Reentries within 9 months		Reentries within 12 months	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)
Totals	226	21	9.29%	32	14.16%	34	15.04%	41	18.14%
Ages 0 to 4	81	10	12.35%	14	17.28%	15	18.52%	21	25.93%
Ages 5 to 11	75	***	9.33%	11	14.67%	11	14.67%	12	16.00%
Ages 12 to 15	48	***	6.25%	***	8.33%	***	10.42%	***	10.42%
Ages 16 to 18	22	***	4.55%	***	13.64%	***	13.64%	***	13.64%
Gender									
Totals	226	21	9.29%	32	14.16%	34	15.04%	41	18.14%
Female	104	12	11.54%	19	18.27%	20	19.23%	23	22.12%
Male	122	***	7.38%	13	10.66%	14	11.48%	18	14.75%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity									
Totals	226	21	9.29%	32	14.16%	34	15.04%	41	18.14%
Hispanic	11	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Black	178	19	10.67%	29	16.29%	31	17.42%	37	20.79%
White	29	***	6.90%	***	6.90%	***	6.90%	***	10.34%
All other	***	***	0.00%	***	14.29%	***	14.29%	***	14.29%
Unable to determine	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%

Question 5: The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 12 months after exit in Baltimore County

Exits to reunification between July 1, 2023 and June 30, 2024 and the number of reentries within 12 months after exit

	Exits to reunification	Reentries within 3 months		Reentries within 6 months		Reentries within 9 months		Reentries within 12 months	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)
Totals	74	***	2.70%	***	6.76%	***	8.11%	***	8.11%
Ages 0 to 4	17	***	0.00%	***	11.76%	***	11.76%	***	11.76%
Ages 5 to 11	25	***	4.00%	***	8.00%	***	8.00%	***	8.00%
Ages 12 to 15	20	***	5.00%	***	5.00%	***	10.00 %	***	10.00 %
Ages 16 to 18	12	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Gender									
Totals	74	***	2.70%	***	6.76%	***	8.11%	***	8.11%
Female	48	***	4.17%	***	10.42 %	***	12.50 %	***	12.50 %
Male	26	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity									
Totals	74	***	2.70%	***	6.76%	***	8.11%	***	8.11%
Hispanic	14	***	0.00%	***	14.29 %	***	14.29 %	***	14.29 %
Black	28	***	7.14%	***	10.71%	***	14.29 %	***	14.29 %
White	23	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
All other	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%

Unable to determine	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
---------------------	-----	-----	-------	-----	-------	-----	-------	-----	-------

Question 5: The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 12 months after exit in Calvert

Exits to reunification between July 1, 2023 and June 30, 2024 and the number of reentries within 12 months after exit

	Exits to reunification	Reentries within 3 months		Reentries within 6 months		Reentries within 9 months		Reentries within 12 months	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)
Totals	***	***	25.00 %	***	25.00 %	***	25.00 %	***	25.00 %
Ages 0 to 4	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	100.0 %	***	100.0 %	***	100.0 %	***	100.0 %
Ages 16 to 18	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Gender									
Totals	***	***	25.00 %	***	25.00 %	***	25.00 %	***	25.00 %
Female	***	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %
Male	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity									
Totals	***	***	25.00 %	***	25.00 %	***	25.00 %	***	25.00 %
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
White	***	***	25.00 %	***	25.00 %	***	25.00 %	***	25.00 %

All other	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 5: The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 12 months after exit in Caroline

Exits to reunification between July 1, 2023 and June 30, 2024 and the number of reentries within 12 months after exit

	Exits to reunification	Reentries within 3 months		Reentries within 6 months		Reentries within 9 months		Reentries within 12 months	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)
Totals	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Ages 5 to 11	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Ages 16 to 18	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Gender									
Totals	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Female	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Male	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity									
Totals	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
White	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
All other	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 5: The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 12 months after exit in Carroll

Exits to reunification between July 1, 2023 and June 30, 2024 and the number of reentries within 12 months after exit

	Exits to reunification	Reentries within 3 months		Reentries within 6 months		Reentries within 9 months		Reentries within 12 months	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)
Totals	13	***	7.69%	***	15.38%	***	15.38%	***	15.38%
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	0.00%	***	100.0 %	***	100.0 %	***	100.0 %
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	20.00 %	***	20.00 %	***	20.00 %	***	20.00 %
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Ages 16 to 18	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender									
Totals	13	***	7.69%	***	15.38%	***	15.38%	***	15.38%
Female	***	***	0.00%	***	16.67%	***	16.67%	***	16.67%
Male	***	***	14.29 %	***	14.29 %	***	14.29 %	***	14.29 %
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity									
Totals	13	***	7.69%	***	15.38%	***	15.38%	***	15.38%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	***	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %
White	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
All other	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Unable to determine	***	***	0.00%	***	50.00 %	***	50.00 %	***	50.00 %

Question 5: The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 12 months after exit in Cecil

Exits to reunification between July 1, 2023 and June 30, 2024 and the number of reentries within 12 months after exit

	Exits to reunification	Reentries within 3 months		Reentries within 6 months		Reentries within 9 months		Reentries within 12 months	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)
Totals	15	***	0.00%	***	20.00%	***	20.00%	***	20.00%
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	0.00%	***	33.33%	***	33.33%	***	33.33%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	0.00%	***	100.0%	***	100.0%	***	100.0%
Ages 16 to 18	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Gender									
Totals	15	***	0.00%	***	20.00%	***	20.00%	***	20.00%
Female	***	***	0.00%	***	25.00%	***	25.00%	***	25.00%
Male	***	***	0.00%	***	14.29%	***	14.29%	***	14.29%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity									
Totals	15	***	0.00%	***	20.00%	***	20.00%	***	20.00%
Hispanic	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Black	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%

White	12	***	0.00%	***	25.00 %	***	25.00 %	***	25.00 %
All other	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 5: The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 12 months after exit in Charles

Exits to reunification between July 1, 2023 and June 30, 2024 and the number of reentries within 12 months after exit

	Exits to reunification	Reentries within 3 months		Reentries within 6 months		Reentries within 9 months		Reentries within 12 months	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	14.29%	***	14.29%	***	14.29 %
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	0.00 %	***	33.33%	***	33.33%	***	33.33 %
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Ages 16 to 18	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender									
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	14.29%	***	14.29%	***	14.29 %
Female	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Male	***	***	0.00 %	***	50.00%	***	50.00%	***	50.00 %
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity									
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	14.29%	***	14.29%	***	14.29 %
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	***	***	0.00 %	***	33.33%	***	33.33%	***	33.33 %
White	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%

All other	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 5: The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 12 months after exit in Dorchester

Exits to reunification between July 1, 2023 and June 30, 2024 and the number of reentries within 12 months after exit

	Exits to reunification	Reentries within 3 months		Reentries within 6 months		Reentries within 9 months		Reentries within 12 months	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Ages 16 to 18	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender									
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Female	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Male	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity									
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Black	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
White	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
All other	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 5: The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 12 months after exit in Frederick

Exits to reunification between July 1, 2023 and June 30, 2024 and the number of reentries within 12 months after exit

	Exits to reunification	Reentries within 3 months		Reentries within 6 months		Reentries within 9 months		Reentries within 12 months	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Ages 5 to 11	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Ages 16 to 18	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Gender									
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Female	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Male	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity									
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Hispanic	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %

Black	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
White	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
All other	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 5: The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 12 months after exit in Garrett

Exits to reunification between July 1, 2023 and June 30, 2024 and the number of reentries within 12 months after exit

	Exits to reunification	Reentries within 3 months		Reentries within 6 months		Reentries within 9 months		Reentries within 12 months	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)
Totals	19	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Ages 16 to 18	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Gender									
Totals	19	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Female	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Male	11	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity									
Totals	19	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Black	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
White	19	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
All other	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 5: The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 12 months after exit in Harford

Exits to reunification between July 1, 2023 and June 30, 2024 and the number of reentries within 12 months after exit

	Exits to reunification	Reentries within 3 months		Reentries within 6 months		Reentries within 9 months		Reentries within 12 months	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)
Totals	27	***	0.00%	***	3.70%	***	7.41%	***	7.41%
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	0.00%	***	12.50%	***	12.50%	***	12.50%
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	16.67%	***	16.67%
Ages 16 to 18	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Gender									
Totals	27	***	0.00%	***	3.70%	***	7.41%	***	7.41%
Female	11	***	0.00%	***	9.09%	***	18.18%	***	18.18%
Male	15	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Transgender	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Race/Ethnicity									
Totals	27	***	0.00%	***	3.70%	***	7.41%	***	7.41%
Hispanic	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Black	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
White	14	***	0.00%	***	7.14%	***	7.14%	***	7.14%
All other	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 5: The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 12 months after exit in Howard

Exits to reunification between July 1, 2023 and June 30, 2024 and the number of reentries within 12 months after exit

	Exits to reunification	Reentries within 3 months		Reentries within 6 months		Reentries within 9 months		Reentries within 12 months	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)
Totals	22	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Ages 16 to 18	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Gender									
Totals	22	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Female	14	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Male	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity									
Totals	22	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Black	14	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
White	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
All other	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 5: The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 12 months after exit in Kent

Exits to reunification between July 1, 2023 and June 30, 2024 and the number of reentries within 12 months after exit

	Exits to reunification	Reentries within 3 months		Reentries within 6 months		Reentries within 9 months		Reentries within 12 months	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Ages 0 to 4	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Ages 5 to 11	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Ages 12 to 15	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Ages 16 to 18	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Gender									
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Female	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Male	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity									
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

White	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
All other	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 5: The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 12 months after exit in Montgomery

Exits to reunification between July 1, 2023 and June 30, 2024 and the number of reentries within 12 months after exit

	Exits to reunification	Reentries within 3 months		Reentries within 6 months		Reentries within 9 months		Reentries within 12 months	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)
Totals	38	***	2.63%	***	7.89%	***	7.89%	***	7.89%
Ages 0 to 4	16	***	6.25%	***	12.50%	***	12.50%	***	12.50%
Ages 5 to 11	15	***	0.00%	***	6.67%	***	6.67%	***	6.67%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Ages 16 to 18	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Gender									
Totals	38	***	2.63%	***	7.89%	***	7.89%	***	7.89%
Female	16	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Male	22	***	4.55%	***	13.64%	***	13.64%	***	13.64%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity									
Totals	38	***	2.63%	***	7.89%	***	7.89%	***	7.89%
Hispanic	***	***	0.00%	***	12.50%	***	12.50%	***	12.50%
Black	21	***	4.76%	***	9.52%	***	9.52%	***	9.52%
White	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
All other	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Unable to determine	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%

Question 5: The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 12 months after exit in Prince George's

Exits to reunification between July 1, 2023 and June 30, 2024 and the number of reentries within 12 months after exit

	Exits to reunification	Reentries within 3 months		Reentries within 6 months		Reentries within 9 months		Reentries within 12 months	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)
Totals	56	***	1.79%	***	3.57%	***	7.14%	***	8.93%
Ages 0 to 4	16	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Ages 5 to 11	24	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Ages 12 to 15	10	***	0.00%	***	10.00 %	***	30.00 %	***	40.00 %
Ages 16 to 18	***	***	16.67%	***	16.67%	***	16.67%	***	16.67%
Gender									
Totals	56	***	1.79%	***	3.57%	***	7.14%	***	8.93%
Female	26	***	0.00%	***	3.85%	***	3.85%	***	3.85%
Male	30	***	3.33%	***	3.33%	***	10.00 %	***	13.33%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity									
Totals	56	***	1.79%	***	3.57%	***	7.14%	***	8.93%
Hispanic	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Black	35	***	2.86%	***	5.71%	***	11.43%	***	14.29 %
White	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
All other	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Unable to determine	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%

Question 5: The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 12 months after exit in Queen Anne's

Exits to reunification between July 1, 2023 and June 30, 2024 and the number of reentries within 12 months after exit

	Exits to reunification	Reentries within 3 months		Reentries within 6 months		Reentries within 9 months		Reentries within 12 months	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)
Totals	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	50.00 %
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	100.0 %
Ages 5 to 11	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Ages 16 to 18	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender									
Totals	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	50.00 %
Female	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	50.00 %
Male	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity									
Totals	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	50.00 %
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
White	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
All other	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	100.0 %
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 5: The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 12 months after exit in Somerset

Exits to reunification between July 1, 2023 and June 30, 2024 and the number of reentries within 12 months after exit

	Exits to reunification	Reentries within 3 months		Reentries within 6 months		Reentries within 9 months		Reentries within 12 months	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Ages 5 to 11	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Ages 12 to 15	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Ages 16 to 18	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender									
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Female	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Male	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity									
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
White	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
All other	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 5: The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 12 months after exit in St. Mary's

Exits to reunification between July 1, 2023 and June 30, 2024 and the number of reentries within 12 months after exit

	Exits to reunification	Reentries within 3 months		Reentries within 6 months		Reentries within 9 months		Reentries within 12 months	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)
Totals	***	***	16.67%	***	16.67%	***	16.67%	***	16.67%
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33%	***	33.33 %
Ages 5 to 11	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Ages 16 to 18	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Gender									
Totals	***	***	16.67%	***	16.67%	***	16.67%	***	16.67%
Female	***	***	20.00 %	***	20.00 %	***	20.00%	***	20.00 %
Male	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity									
Totals	***	***	16.67%	***	16.67%	***	16.67%	***	16.67%
Hispanic	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Black	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
White	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
All other	***	***	100.0 %	***	100.0 %	***	100.0%	***	100.0 %
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 5: The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 12 months after exit in Talbot

Exits to reunification between July 1, 2023 and June 30, 2024 and the number of reentries within 12 months after exit

	Exits to reunification	Reentries within 3 months		Reentries within 6 months		Reentries within 9 months		Reentries within 12 months	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)
Totals	***	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %
Ages 0 to 4	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	100.0 %	***	100.0 %	***	100.0 %	***	100.0 %
Ages 16 to 18	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender									
Totals	***	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %
Female	***	***	50.00 %	***	50.00 %	***	50.00 %	***	50.00 %
Male	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity									
Totals	***	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %
Hispanic	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Black	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
White	***	***	100.0 %	***	100.0 %	***	100.0 %	***	100.0 %
All other	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 5: The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 12 months after exit in Washington

Exits to reunification between July 1, 2023 and June 30, 2024 and the number of reentries within 12 months after exit

	Exits to reunification	Reentries within 3 months		Reentries within 6 months		Reentries within 9 months		Reentries within 12 months	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)
Totals	20	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	5.00%
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	14.29 %
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Ages 16 to 18	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Gender									
Totals	20	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	5.00%
Female	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	11.11%
Male	11	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity									
Totals	19	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	5.26%
Hispanic	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Black	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
White	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	20.00 %
All other	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Unable to determine	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%

Question 5: The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 12 months after exit in Wicomico

Exits to reunification between July 1, 2023 and June 30, 2024 and the number of reentries within 12 months after exit

	Exits to reunification	Reentries within 3 months		Reentries within 6 months		Reentries within 9 months		Reentries within 12 months	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Ages 0 to 4	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Ages 5 to 11	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Ages 16 to 18	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Gender									
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Female	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Male	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity									
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %

White	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
All other	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 5: The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 12 months after exit in Worcester

Exits to reunification between July 1, 2023 and June 30, 2024 and the number of reentries within 12 months after exit

	Exits to reunification	Reentries within 3 months		Reentries within 6 months		Reentries within 9 months		Reentries within 12 months	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)
Totals	***	***	50.00 %	***	50.00 %	***	50.00 %	***	50.00 %
Ages 0 to 4	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	100.0 %	***	100.0 %	***	100.0 %	***	100.0 %
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Ages 16 to 18	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender									
Totals	***	***	50.00 %	***	50.00 %	***	50.00 %	***	50.00 %
Female	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Male	***	***	100.0 %	***	100.0 %	***	100.0 %	***	100.0 %
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity									
Totals	***	***	50.00 %	***	50.00 %	***	50.00 %	***	50.00 %
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	***	***	50.00 %	***	50.00 %	***	50.00 %	***	50.00 %
White	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
All other	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Appendix 6: Question 6 – Jurisdictional Context

Question 6: The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 24 months after exit in Allegany

Exits to reunification between July 1, 2022 and June 30, 2023

And the number of reentries up to 24 months after exit By age, gender and race

		Exits to Reunif-ication	Reentries within 3 months		Reentries within 6 months		Reentries within 9 months		Reentries within 12 months		Reentries within 15 months		Reentries within 18 months		Reentries within 21 months		Reentries within 24 months	
Age Group		Children	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
		(A)	(B)	(B/A)	(C)	(C/A)	(D)	(D/A)	(E)	(E/A)	(F)	(F/A)	(G)	(G/A)	(H)	(H/A)	(I)	(I/A)
	Totals	18	***	5.6%	***	5.6%	***	16.7%	***	33.3%	***	33.3%	***	33.3%	***	33.3%	***	33.3%
	Ages 0 to 4	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	33.3%	***	33.3%	***	33.3%	***	33.3%	***	33.3%	***	33.3%
	Ages 5 to 11	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	37.5%	***	37.5%	***	37.5%	***	37.5%	***	37.5%
	Ages 12 to 15	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
	Ages 16 to 18	***	***	50%	***	50%	***	50%	***	50%	***	50%	***	50%	***	50%	***	50%
Gender																		
	Totals	18	***	5.6%	***	5.6%	***	16.7%	***	33.3%	***	33.3%	***	33.3%	***	33.3%	***	33.3%
	Female	***	***	12.5%	***	12.5%	***	25.0%	***	25.0%	***	25.0%	***	25.0%	***	25.0%	***	25.0%
	Male	10	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	10.0%	***	40.0%	***	40.0%	***	40.0%	***	40.0%	***	40.0%
	Transgend er	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity																		
	Totals	18	***	5.6%	***	5.6%	***	16.7%	***	33.3%	***	33.3%	***	33.3%	***	33.3%	***	33.3%
	Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	Black	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	White	14	***	7.14%	***	7.14%	***	21.43%	***	42.86 %	***	42.86 %	***	42.86 %	***	42.86 %	***	42.86 %
	All other	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%

	Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
--	------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Question 6: The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 24 months after exit in Anne Arundel

Exits to reunification between July 1, 2022 and June 30, 2023

And the number of reentries up to 24 months after exit By age, gender and race

	Exits to Reunif-ication	Reentries within 3 months		Reentries within 6 months		Reentries within 9 months		Reentries within 12 months		Reentries within 15 months		Reentries within 18 months		Reentries within 21 months		Reentries within 24 months	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)	n (G)	% (G/A)	n (H)	% (H/A)	n (I)	% (I/A)
Totals	23	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	4.35%	***	4.35%
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Ages 12 to 15	14	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	7.14%	***	7.14%
Ages 16 to 18	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Gender																	
Totals	23	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	4.35%	***	4.35%
Female	11	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Male	12	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	8.33%	***	8.33%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity																	
Totals	23	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	4.35%	***	4.35%
Hispanic	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Black	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%

White	12	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	8.33%	***	8.33%
All other	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Unable to determine	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%

Question 6: The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 24 months after exit in Baltimore City

Exits to reunification between July 1, 2022 and June 30, 2023

And the number of reentries up to 24 months after exit By age, gender and race

	Exits to Reunif-ication	Reentries within 3 months		Reentries within 6 months		Reentries within 9 months		Reentries within 12 months		Reentries within 15 months		Reentries within 18 months		Reentries within 21 months		Reentries within 24 months	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)	n (G)	% (G/A)	n (H)	% (H/A)	n (I)	% (I/A)
Totals	274	13	4.7%	25	9.1%	30	10.9%	37	13.5%	39	14.2%	39	14.2%	41	14.9%	45	16.4%
Ages 0 to 4	85	***	3.5%	***	4.7%	***	7.1%	***	9.4%	***	9.4%	***	9.4%	***	10.6%	***	10.6%
Ages 5 to 11	106	***	3.7%	***	5.7%	***	5.7%	10	9.4%	10	9.4%	10	9.4%	11	10.4%	14	13.2%
Ages 12 to 15	63	***	6.4%	11	17.5%	14	22.2%	14	22.2%	15	23.8%	15	23.8%	15	23.8%	16	25.4%
Ages 16 to 18	20	***	10.0 %	***	20.0 %	***	20.0%	***	25.0%	***	30.0%	***	30.0%	***	30.0%	***	30.0%
Gender																	
Totals	274	13	4.74 %	25	9.12%	30	10.95 %	37	13.50 %	39	14.23 %	39	14.23%	41	14.96 %	45	16.42 %
Female	132	10	7.58 %	15	11.4%	19	14.39 %	21	15.91%	22	16.67 %	22	16.67%	24	18.18%	27	20.45 %
Male	141	***	2.13%	10	7.09 %	11	7.80%	16	11.35%	17	12.06 %	17	12.06%	17	12.06 %	18	12.77%
Transgender	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Race/Ethnicity																	
Totals	274	13	4.7%	25	9.1%	30	10.9%	37	13.5%	39	14.2%	39	14.2%	41	14.9%	45	16.4%
Hispanic	15	***	6.7%	***	13.3%	***	13.3%	***	13.3%	***	13.3%	***	13.3%	***	13.3%	***	13.3%
Black	214	***	4.2%	18	8.4%	23	10.8%	29	13.6%	30	14.0%	30	14.0%	32	14.9%	36	16.8%
White	30	***	6.7%	***	13.3%	***	13.3%	***	16.7%	***	16.7%	***	16.7%	***	16.7%	***	16.7%
All other	15	***	6.7%	***	6.7%	***	6.7%	***	6.7%	***	13.3%	***	13.3%	***	13.3%	***	13.3%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 6: The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 24 months after exit in Baltimore County

Exits to reunification between July 1, 2022 and June 30, 2023

And the number of reentries up to 24 months after exit By age, gender and race

	Exits to Reunif-ication	Reentries within 3 months		Reentries within 6 months		Reentries within 9 months		Reentries within 12 months		Reentries within 15 months		Reentries within 18 months		Reentries within 21 months		Reentries within 24 months	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)	n (G)	% (G/A)	n (H)	% (H/A)	n (I)	% (I/A)
Totals	70	***	1.43%	***	5.71%	***	7.14%	***	8.57%	***	10.0%	***	11.43%	***	11.4%	***	12.9%
Ages 0 to 4	15	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	6.67%	***	6.67%	***	6.67%	***	13.33%	***	13.3%	***	13.3%
Ages 5 to 11	21	***	0.00 %	***	9.52 %	***	9.52%	***	9.52%	***	9.52%	***	9.52%	***	9.52%	***	9.5%
Ages 12 to 15	20	***	5.00 %	***	10.0 %	***	10.0%	***	15.0%	***	20.0%	***	20.00 %	***	20.0%	***	25.0%
Ages 16 to 18	14	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Gender																	
Totals	70	***	1.43%	***	5.71%	***	7.14%	***	8.57%	***	10.0%	***	11.43%	***	11.4%	***	12.9%
Female	35	***	2.86 %	***	5.71%	***	8.57%	***	8.57%	***	8.57%	***	11.43%	***	11.4%	***	14.3%
Male	35	***	0.00 %	***	5.71%	***	5.71%	***	8.57%	***	11.4%	***	11.43%	***	11.4%	***	11.4%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity																	
Totals	70	***	1.43%	***	5.71%	***	7.14%	***	8.57%	***	10.0%	***	11.43%	***	11.4%	***	12.9%
Hispanic	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.0%
Black	35	***	0.00 %	***	5.71%	***	8.57%	***	11.4%	***	14.3%	***	17.14%	***	17.1%	***	20.0%
White	20	***	5.00 %	***	10.0 %	***	10.0 %	***	10.0%	***	10.0 %	***	10.00 %	***	10.0%	***	10.0%

All other	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 6: The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 24 months after exit in Calvert

Exits to reunification between July 1, 2022 and June 30, 2023

And the number of reentries up to 24 months after exit By age, gender and race

	Exits to Reunif-ication	Reentries within 3 months		Reentries within 6 months		Reentries within 9 months		Reentries within 12 months		Reentries within 15 months		Reentries within 18 months		Reentries within 21 months		Reentries within 24 months	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)	n (G)	% (G/A)	n (H)	% (H/A)	n (I)	% (I/A)
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Ages 0 to 4	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Ages 12 to 15	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Ages 16 to 18	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Gender																	
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Female	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Male	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity																	
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
White	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %

All other	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determin e	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 6: The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 24 months after exit in Caroline

Exits to reunification between July 1, 2022 and June 30, 2023

And the number of reentries up to 24 months after exit By age, gender and race

	Exits to Reunif-ication	Reentries within 3 months		Reentries within 6 months		Reentries within 9 months		Reentries within 12 months		Reentries within 15 months		Reentries within 18 months		Reentries within 21 months		Reentries within 24 months	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)	n (G)	% (G/A)	n (H)	% (H/A)	n (I)	% (I/A)
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	33.3 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	0.00 %	***	100%	***	100%	***	100%	***	100%	***	100%	***	100%	***	100%
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Ages 16 to 18	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Gender																	
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	33.3 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %
Female	***	***	0.00 %	***	33.3 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %
Male	***	***	0.00 %	***	33.3 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity																	
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	33.3 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %
Hispanic	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Black	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
White	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%

All other	***	***	0.00 %	***	100%	***	100%	***	100%	***	100%	***	100%	***	100%	***	100%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 6: The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 24 months after exit in Carroll

Exits to reunification between July 1, 2022 and June 30, 2023

And the number of reentries up to 24 months after exit By age, gender and race

	Exits to Reunif-ication	Reentries within 3 months		Reentries within 6 months		Reentries within 9 months		Reentries within 12 months		Reentries within 15 months		Reentries within 18 months		Reentries within 21 months		Reentries within 24 months	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)	n (G)	% (G/A)	n (H)	% (H/A)	n (I)	% (I/A)
Totals	***	***	20.0 %	***	20.0 %	***	20.00 %	***	20.00 %	***	20.00 %	***	20.00 %	***	20.00 %	***	20.00 %
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	50.0 %	***	50.0 %	***	50.00 %	***	50.00 %	***	50.00 %	***	50.00 %	***	50.00 %	***	50.00 %
Ages 5 to 11	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Ages 16 to 18	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Gender																	
Totals	***	***	20.0 %	***	20.0 %	***	20.00 %	***	20.00 %	***	20.00 %	***	20.00 %	***	20.00 %	***	20.00 %
Female	***	***	33.3 %	***	33.3 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %
Male	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity																	
Totals	***	***	20.0 %	***	20.0 %	***	20.00 %	***	20.00 %	***	20.00 %	***	20.00 %	***	20.00 %	***	20.00 %
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
White	***	***	25.0 %	***	25.0 %	***	25.00 %	***	25.00 %	***	25.00 %	***	25.00 %	***	25.00 %	***	25.00 %

All other	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 6: The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 24 months after exit in Cecil

Exits to reunification between July 1, 2022 and June 30, 2023

And the number of reentries up to 24 months after exit By age, gender and race

	Exits to Reunif-ication	Reentries within 3 months		Reentries within 6 months		Reentries within 9 months		Reentries within 12 months		Reentries within 15 months		Reentries within 18 months		Reentries within 21 months		Reentries within 24 months	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)	n (G)	% (G/A)	n (H)	% (H/A)	n (I)	% (I/A)
Totals	11	***	9.09 %	***	9.09 %	***	9.09 %	***	18.18 %	***	18.18 %	***	18.18 %	***	18.18 %	***	18.18 %
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	25.00 %	***	25.00 %	***	25.00 %	***	25.00 %	***	25.00 %
Ages 16 to 18	***	***	50.0 %	***	50.0 %	***	50.0 %	***	50.00 %	***	50.00 %	***	50.00 %	***	50.00 %	***	50.00 %
Gender																	
Totals	11	***	9.09 %	***	9.09 %	***	9.09 %	***	18.18 %	***	18.18 %	***	18.18 %	***	18.18 %	***	18.18 %
Female	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %
Male	***	***	12.5 %	***	12.5 %	***	12.50 %	***	12.50 %	***	12.50 %	***	12.50 %	***	12.50 %	***	12.50 %
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity																	
Totals	11	***	9.09 %	***	9.09 %	***	9.09 %	***	18.18 %	***	18.18 %	***	18.18 %	***	18.18 %	***	18.18 %
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
White	***	***	11.1 %	***	11.1 %	***	11.1 %	***	22.22 %	***	22.22 %	***	22.22 %	***	22.22 %	***	22.22 %

All other	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 6: The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 24 months after exit in Charles

Exits to reunification between July 1, 2022 and June 30, 2023

And the number of reentries up to 24 months after exit By age, gender and race

	Exits to Reunif-ication	Reentries within 3 months		Reentries within 6 months		Reentries within 9 months		Reentries within 12 months		Reentries within 15 months		Reentries within 18 months		Reentries within 21 months		Reentries within 24 months	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)	n (G)	% (G/A)	n (H)	% (H/A)	n (I)	% (I/A)
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Ages 5 to 11	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Ages 12 to 15	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Ages 16 to 18	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender																	
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Female	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Male	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity																	
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
White	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
All other	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Question 6: The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 24 months after exit in Dorchester

Exits to reunification between July 1, 2022 and June 30, 2023

And the number of reentries up to 24 months after exit By age, gender and race

	Exits to Reunif-ication	Reentries within 3 months		Reentries within 6 months		Reentries within 9 months		Reentries within 12 months		Reentries within 15 months		Reentries within 18 months		Reentries within 21 months		Reentries within 24 months	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)	n (G)	% (G/A)	n (H)	% (H/A)	n (I)	% (I/A)
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	33.3%	***	33.33 %	***	33.3%	***	33.3%
Ages 0 to 4	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	100%	***	100%	***	100%	***	100%
Ages 16 to 18	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Gender																	
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	33.3%	***	33.33 %	***	33.3%	***	33.3%
Female	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	50.0%	***	50.00 %	***	50.0%	***	50.0%
Male	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity																	
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	33.3%	***	33.33 %	***	33.3%	***	33.3%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
White	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	100%	***	100%	***	100%	***	100%

All other	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 6: The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 24 months after exit in Frederick

Exits to reunification between July 1, 2022 and June 30, 2023

And the number of reentries up to 24 months after exit By age, gender and race

	Exits to Reunif-ication	Reentries within 3 months		Reentries within 6 months		Reentries within 9 months		Reentries within 12 months		Reentries within 15 months		Reentries within 18 months		Reentries within 21 months		Reentries within 24 months	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)	n (G)	% (G/A)	n (H)	% (H/A)	n (I)	% (I/A)
Totals	12	***	0.00 %	***	8.33 %	***	8.33%	***	8.33%	***	8.33%	***	8.33%	***	8.33%	***	8.33%
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	0.00 %	***	33.3 %	***	33.3%	***	33.3%	***	33.3%	***	33.3%	***	33.3%	***	33.3%
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Ages 16 to 18	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Gender																	
Totals	12	***	0.00 %	***	8.33 %	***	8.33%	***	8.33%	***	8.33%	***	8.33%	***	8.33%	***	8.33%
Female	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Male	***	***	0.00 %	***	16.7%	***	16.7%	***	16.7%	***	16.7%	***	16.67%	***	16.7%	***	16.7%
Transgender	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Race/Ethnicity																	
Totals	12	***	0.00 %	***	8.33 %	***	8.33%	***	8.33%	***	8.33%	***	8.33%	***	8.33%	***	8.33%
Hispanic	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Black	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
White	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%

All other	***	***	0.00 %	***	100%	***	100%	***	100%	***	100%	***	100%	***	100%	***	100%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 6: The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 24 months after exit in Garrett

Exits to reunification between July 1, 2022 and June 30, 2023

And the number of reentries up to 24 months after exit By age, gender and race

	Exits to Reunification	Reentries within 3 months		Reentries within 6 months		Reentries within 9 months		Reentries within 12 months		Reentries within 15 months		Reentries within 18 months		Reentries within 21 months		Reentries within 24 months	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)	n (G)	% (G/A)	n (H)	% (H/A)	n (I)	% (I/A)
Totals	***	***	22.2 %	***	22.2 %	***	33.3%	***	33.3%	***	33.3%	***	33.3%	***	33.3%	***	33.3%
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	33.3%	***	33.3%	***	33.3%	***	33.3%	***	33.3%	***	33.3%
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	33.3 %	***	33.3 %	***	33.3%	***	33.3%	***	33.3%	***	33.3%	***	33.3%	***	33.3%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	50.0 %	***	50.0 %	***	50.0%	***	50.0%	***	50.0%	***	50.0%	***	50.0%	***	50.0%
Ages 16 to 18	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Gender																	
Totals	***	***	22.2 %	***	22.2 %	***	33.3%	***	33.3%	***	33.3%	***	33.3%	***	33.3%	***	33.3%
Female	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Male	***	***	28.6 %	***	28.6 %	***	42.7%	***	42.7%	***	42.7%	***	42.7%	***	42.7%	***	42.7%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity																	
Totals	***	***	22.2 %	***	22.2 %	***	33.3%	***	33.3%	***	33.3%	***	33.3%	***	33.3%	***	33.3%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
White	***	***	22.2 %	***	22.2 %	***	33.3%	***	33.3%	***	33.3%	***	33.3%	***	33.3%	***	33.3%

All other	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 6: The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 24 months after exit in Harford

Exits to reunification between July 1, 2022 and June 30, 2023

And the number of reentries up to 24 months after exit By age, gender and race

	Exits to Reunification	Reentries within 3 months		Reentries within 6 months		Reentries within 9 months		Reentries within 12 months		Reentries within 15 months		Reentries within 18 months		Reentries within 21 months		Reentries within 24 months	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)	n (G)	% (G/A)	n (H)	% (H/A)	n (I)	% (I/A)
Totals	32	***	15.6%	***	15.6%	***	15.6%	***	15.63%	***	15.63%	***	15.63%	***	15.63%	***	15.63%
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	25.0 %	***	25.0 %	***	25.0%	***	25.00 %	***	25.00 %	***	25.00 %	***	25.00 %	***	25.00 %
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	25.0 %	***	25.0 %	***	25.0%	***	25.00 %	***	25.00 %	***	25.00 %	***	25.00 %	***	25.00 %
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	12.5%	***	12.5%	***	12.5%	***	12.50 %	***	12.50 %	***	12.50%	***	12.50 %	***	12.50 %
Ages 16 to 18	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.0%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Gender																	
Totals	32	***	15.6%	***	15.6%	***	15.63%	***	15.63%	***	15.63%	***	15.63%	***	15.63%	***	15.63%
Female	10	***	10.0 %	***	10.0 %	***	10.00 %	***	10.00 %	***	10.00 %	***	10.00 %	***	10.00 %	***	10.00 %
Male	22	***	18.2%	***	18.2%	***	18.18%	***	18.18%	***	18.18%	***	18.18%	***	18.18%	***	18.18%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity																	
Totals	32	***	15.6%	***	15.6%	***	15.63%	***	15.63%	***	15.63%	***	15.63%	***	15.63%	***	15.63%
Hispanic	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Black	10	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
White	14	***	35.7 %	***	35.7 %	***	35.71%	***	35.71%	***	35.71%	***	35.71%	***	35.71%	***	35.71%
All other	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%

Unable to determine	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
------------------------	-----	-----	-----------	-----	-----------	-----	-------	-----	-------	-----	-------	-----	-------	-----	-------	-----	-------

Question 6: The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 24 months after exit in Howard

Exits to reunification between July 1, 2022 and June 30, 2023

And the number of reentries up to 24 months after exit By age, gender and race

	Exits to Reunif-ication	Reentries within 3 months		Reentries within 6 months		Reentries within 9 months		Reentries within 12 months		Reentries within 15 months		Reentries within 18 months		Reentries within 21 months		Reentries within 24 months	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)	n (G)	% (G/A)	n (H)	% (H/A)	n (I)	% (I/A)
Totals	19	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	5.26%	***	26.32 %	***	26.32 %	***	26.32 %	***	26.32 %	***	26.32 %
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	16.67 %	***	16.67 %	***	16.67%	***	16.67 %	***	16.67 %
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	40.00 %	***	40.00 %	***	40.00 %	***	40.00 %	***	40.00 %
Ages 16 to 18	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	25.00 %	***	50.00 %	***	50.00 %	***	50.00 %	***	50.00 %	***	50.00 %
Gender																	
Totals	19	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	5.26%	***	26.32 %	***	26.32 %	***	26.32 %	***	26.32 %	***	26.32 %
Female	14	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	7.14%	***	21.43%	***	21.43%	***	21.43%	***	21.43%	***	21.43%
Male	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	40.00 %	***	40.00 %	***	40.00 %	***	40.00 %	***	40.00 %
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity																	
Totals	19	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	5.26%	***	26.32 %	***	26.32 %	***	26.32 %	***	26.32 %	***	26.32 %
Hispanic	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Black	11	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	9.09%	***	36.36 %	***	36.36 %	***	36.36 %	***	36.36 %	***	36.36 %

White	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	100.0 %	***	100.0 %	***	100.0 %	***	100.0 %	***	100.0 %
All other	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 6: The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 24 months after exit in Kent

Exits to reunification between July 1, 2022 and June 30, 2023

And the number of reentries up to 24 months after exit By age, gender and race

	Exits to Reunification	Reentries within 3 months		Reentries within 6 months		Reentries within 9 months		Reentries within 12 months		Reentries within 15 months		Reentries within 18 months		Reentries within 21 months		Reentries within 24 months	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)	n (G)	% (G/A)	n (H)	% (H/A)	n (I)	% (I/A)
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Ages 5 to 11	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Ages 16 to 18	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender																	
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Female	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Male	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity																	
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
White	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %
All other	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Question 6: The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 24 months after exit in Montgomery

Exits to reunification between July 1, 2022 and June 30, 2023

And the number of reentries up to 24 months after exit By age, gender and race

	Exits to Reunification	Reentries within 3 months		Reentries within 6 months		Reentries within 9 months		Reentries within 12 months		Reentries within 15 months		Reentries within 18 months		Reentries within 21 months		Reentries within 24 months	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)	n (G)	% (G/A)	n (H)	% (H/A)	n (I)	% (I/A)
Totals	36	***	0.00 %	***	2.78 %	***	5.56%	***	5.56%	***	5.56%	***	11.11%	***	11.11%	***	11.11%
Ages 0 to 4	12	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	8.33%	***	8.33%	***	8.33%	***	8.33%	***	8.33%	***	8.33%
Ages 5 to 11	12	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	8.33%	***	8.33%	***	8.33%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	14.29 %	***	14.29 %	***	14.29 %
Ages 16 to 18	***	***	0.00 %	***	20.00 %	***	20.00 %	***	20.00 %	***	20.00 %	***	20.00 %	***	20.00 %	***	20.00 %
Gender																	
Totals	36	***	0.00 %	***	2.78 %	***	5.56%	***	5.56%	***	5.56%	***	11.11%	***	11.11%	***	11.11%
Female	23	***	0.00 %	***	4.35 %	***	4.35%	***	4.35%	***	4.35%	***	13.04%	***	13.04 %	***	13.04 %
Male	13	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	7.69%	***	7.69%	***	7.69%	***	7.69%	***	7.69%	***	7.69%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity																	
Totals	36	***	0.00 %	***	2.78 %	***	5.56%	***	5.56%	***	5.56%	***	11.11%	***	11.11%	***	11.11%
Hispanic	15	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Black	18	***	0.00 %	***	5.56 %	***	11.11%	***	11.11%	***	11.11%	***	22.22 %	***	22.22 %	***	22.22 %
White	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%

All other	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 6: The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 24 months after exit in Prince George's

Exits to reunification between July 1, 2022 and June 30, 2023

And the number of reentries up to 24 months after exit By age, gender and race

	Exits to Reunif-ication	Reentries within 3 months		Reentries within 6 months		Reentries within 9 months		Reentries within 12 months		Reentries within 15 months		Reentries within 18 months		Reentries within 21 months		Reentries within 24 months	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)	n (G)	% (G/A)	n (H)	% (H/A)	n (I)	% (I/A)
Totals	48	***	2.08 %	***	12.5%	***	14.58 %	***	16.67 %	11	22.92 %	11	22.92 %	11	22.92 %	11	22.92 %
Ages 0 to 4	15	***	0.00 %	***	6.67 %	***	13.33%	***	13.33%	***	26.67 %	***	26.67 %	***	26.67 %	***	26.67 %
Ages 5 to 11	15	***	0.00 %	***	20.0 %	***	20.00 %	***	20.00 %	***	26.67 %	***	26.67 %	***	26.67 %	***	26.67 %
Ages 12 to 15	10	***	0.00 %	***	10.0 %	***	10.00 %	***	20.00 %	***	20.00 %	***	20.00 %	***	20.00 %	***	20.00 %
Ages 16 to 18	***	***	12.5%	***	12.5%	***	12.50 %	***	12.50 %	***	12.50 %	***	12.50%	***	12.50 %	***	12.50 %
Gender																	
Totals	48	***	2.08 %	***	12.5%	***	14.58 %	***	16.67 %	11	22.92 %	11	22.92 %	11	22.92 %	11	22.92 %
Female	22	***	4.55 %	***	22.7 %	***	27.27 %	***	31.82 %	10	45.45 %	10	45.45 %	10	45.45 %	10	45.45 %
Male	26	***	0.00 %	***	3.85 %	***	3.85%	***	3.85%	***	3.85%	***	3.85%	***	3.85%	***	3.85%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity																	
Totals	48	***	2.08 %	***	12.5%	***	14.58 %	***	16.67 %	11	22.92 %	11	22.92 %	11	22.92 %	11	22.92 %
Hispanic	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	16.67 %	***	16.67 %	***	16.67 %	***	16.67%	***	16.67 %	***	16.67 %
Black	36	***	2.78 %	***	16.7%	***	16.67 %	***	19.44 %	10	27.78 %	10	27.78 %	10	27.78 %	10	27.78 %
White	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

All other	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%

Question 6: The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 24 months after exit in Queen Anne's

Exits to reunification between July 1, 2022 and June 30, 2023

And the number of reentries up to 24 months after exit By age, gender and race

	Exits to Reunification	Reentries within 3 months		Reentries within 6 months		Reentries within 9 months		Reentries within 12 months		Reentries within 15 months		Reentries within 18 months		Reentries within 21 months		Reentries within 24 months	
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	33.3 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	0.00 %	***	100%	***	100.0 %	***	100.0 %	***	100.0 %	***	100.0 %	***	100.0 %	***	100.0 %
Ages 5 to 11	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Ages 16 to 18	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender																	
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	33.3 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %
Female	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Male	***	***	0.00 %	***	33.3 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity																	
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	33.3 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
White	***	***	0.00 %	***	33.3 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %	***	33.33 %
All other	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 6: The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 24 months after exit in Somerset

Exits to reunification between July 1, 2022 and June 30, 2023

And the number of reentries up to 24 months after exit By age, gender and race

	Exits to Reunif-ication	Reentries within 3 months		Reentries within 6 months		Reentries within 9 months		Reentries within 12 months		Reentries within 15 months		Reentries within 18 months		Reentries within 21 months		Reentries within 24 months	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)	n (G)	% (G/A)	n (H)	% (H/A)	n (I)	% (I/A)
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Ages 0 to 4	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Ages 12 to 15	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Ages 16 to 18	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender																	
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Female	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Male	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity																	
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
White	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
All other	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Question 6: The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 24 months after exit in St. Mary's

Exits to reunification between July 1, 2022 and June 30, 2023

And the number of reentries up to 24 months after exit By age, gender and race

	Exits to Reunification	Reentries within 3 months		Reentries within 6 months		Reentries within 9 months		Reentries within 12 months		Reentries within 15 months		Reentries within 18 months		Reentries within 21 months		Reentries within 24 months	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)	n (G)	% (G/A)	n (H)	% (H/A)	n (I)	% (I/A)
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Ages 0 to 4	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Ages 16 to 18	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Gender																	
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Female	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Male	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity																	
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Hispanic	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Black	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
White	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

All other	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 6: The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 24 months after exit in Talbot

Exits to reunification between July 1, 2022 and June 30, 2023

And the number of reentries up to 24 months after exit By age, gender and race

	Exits to Reunif-ication	Reentries within 3 months		Reentries within 6 months		Reentries within 9 months		Reentries within 12 months		Reentries within 15 months		Reentries within 18 months		Reentries within 21 months		Reentries within 24 months	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)	n (G)	% (G/A)	n (H)	% (H/A)	n (I)	% (I/A)
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Ages 5 to 11	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Ages 16 to 18	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender																	
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Female	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Male	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity																	
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
White	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
All other	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%

Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Question 6: The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 24 months after exit in Washington

Exits to reunification between July 1, 2022 and June 30, 2023

And the number of reentries up to 24 months after exit By age, gender and race

	Exits to Reunif-ication	Reentries within 3 months		Reentries within 6 months		Reentries within 9 months		Reentries within 12 months		Reentries within 15 months		Reentries within 18 months		Reentries within 21 months		Reentries within 24 months	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)	n (G)	% (G/A)	n (H)	% (H/A)	n (I)	% (I/A)
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Ages 16 to 18	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Gender																	
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Female	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Male	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity																	
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
White	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%

All other	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 6: The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 24 months after exit in Wicomico

Exits to reunification between July 1, 2022 and June 30, 2023

And the number of reentries up to 24 months after exit By age, gender and race

	Exits to Reunif-ication	Reentries within 3 months		Reentries within 6 months		Reentries within 9 months		Reentries within 12 months		Reentries within 15 months		Reentries within 18 months		Reentries within 21 months		Reentries within 24 months	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)	n (G)	% (G/A)	n (H)	% (H/A)	n (I)	% (I/A)
Totals	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Ages 0 to 4	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Ages 5 to 11	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Ages 12 to 15	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Ages 16 to 18	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender																	
Totals	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Female	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Male	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity																	
Totals	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
White	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
All other	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 6: The number of exits to reunification and reentries within 24 months after exit in Worcester

Exits to reunification between July 1, 2022 and June 30, 2023

And the number of reentries up to 24 months after exit By age, gender and race

	Exits to Reunif-ication	Reentries within 3 months		Reentries within 6 months		Reentries within 9 months		Reentries within 12 months		Reentries within 15 months		Reentries within 18 months		Reentries within 21 months		Reentries within 24 months	
Age Group	Children (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)	n (D)	% (D/A)	n (E)	% (E/A)	n (F)	% (F/A)	n (G)	% (G/A)	n (H)	% (H/A)	n (I)	% (I/A)
Totals	***	***	12.5%	***	12.5%	***	12.50%	***	12.50%	***	12.50%	***	12.50%	***	12.50%	***	12.50%
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	16.7%	***	16.7%	***	16.67%	***	16.67%	***	16.67%	***	16.67%	***	16.67%	***	16.67%
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Ages 16 to 18	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender																	
Totals	***	***	12.5%	***	12.5%	***	12.50%	***	12.50%	***	12.50%	***	12.50%	***	12.50%	***	12.50%
Female	***	***	100%	***	100%	***	100.0%	***	100.0%	***	100.0%	***	100.0%	***	100.0%	***	100.0%
Male	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity																	
Totals	***	***	12.5%	***	12.50%	***	12.50%	***	12.50%	***	12.50%	***	12.50%	***	12.50%	***	12.50%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
White	***	***	14.3%	***	14.3%	***	14.29%	***	14.29%	***	14.29%	***	14.29%	***	14.29%	***	14.29%

All other	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Appendix 7: Question 7 – Jurisdictional Context

Question 7: The stability of out-of-home placements, including the number of placement changes in Allegany.

Stability of out-of-home placements for children entering care between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

Included are the total number of days in out-of-home care, the number of placements moves and the number of placement moves per 1,000 days

	Number of Entries	Total number of days in care	Number of placement moves	Moves per 1,000 days
Age Group	Children	n B	N C	rate C/B x 1000
Totals	22	3,892	20	5.14
Ages 0 to 4	14	2,520	10	3.97
Ages 5 to 11	***	678	7	10.32
Ages 12 to 15	***	379	2	5.28
Ages 16 to 18	***	315	1	3.17
Gender				
Totals	22	3,892	20	5.14
Female	***	1,342	8	5.96
Male	13	2,550	12	4.71
Transgender	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity				
Totals	22	3,892	20	5.14
Hispanic	***	38	0	0.00
Black	***	511	2	3.91
White	15	2,763	13	4.71
All other	***	580	5	8.62
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.

Question 7: The stability of out-of-home placements, including the number of placement changes in Anne Arundel.

Stability of out-of-home placements for children entering care between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

Included are the total number of days in out-of-home care, the number of placements moves and the number of placement moves per 1,000 days

	Number of Entries	Total number of days in care	Number of placement moves	Moves per 1,000 days
Age Group	Children	N B	N C	rate C/B x 1000
Totals	79	12,537	87	6.94
Ages 0 to 4	33	5,468	30	5.49
Ages 5 to 11	30	5,235	45	8.60
Ages 12 to 15	11	1,141	4	3.51
Ages 16 to 18	***	693	8	11.54
Gender				
Totals	79	12,537	87	6.94
Female	37	5,932	36	6.07
Male	42	6,605	51	7.72
Transgender	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity				
Totals	79	12,537	87	6.94
Hispanic	***	1,126	7	6.22
Black	22	3,482	26	7.47
White	35	5,178	46	8.88
All other	12	2,237	8	3.58
Unable to determine	***	514	0	0.00

Question 7: The stability of out-of-home placements, including the number of placement changes in Baltimore City.

Stability of out-of-home placements for children entering care between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

Included are the total number of days in out-of-home care, the number of placements moves and the number of placement moves per 1,000 days

	Number of Entries	Total number of days in care	Number of placement moves	Moves per 1,000 days
Age Group	Children	n B	N C	rate C/B x 1000
Totals	527	82,064	527	6.42
Ages 0 to 4	259	42,415	251	5.92
Ages 5 to 11	134	20,655	114	5.52
Ages 12 to 15	85	11,564	95	8.22
Ages 16 to 18	49	7,430	67	9.02
Gender				
Totals	527	82,064	527	6.42
Female	267	40,858	249	6.09
Male	260	41,206	278	6.75
Transgender	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity				
Totals	527	82,064	527	6.42
Hispanic	14	1,981	9	4.54
Black	405	64,014	404	6.31
White	65	8,906	62	6.96
All other	37	5,655	42	7.43
Unable to determine	***	1,508	10	6.63

Question 7: The stability of out-of-home placements, including the number of placement changes in Baltimore County.

Stability of out-of-home placements for children entering care between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

Included are the total number of days in out-of-home care, the number of placements moves and the number of placement moves per 1,000 days

	Number of Entries	Total number of days in care	Number of placement moves	Moves per 1,000 days
Age Group	Children	n B	N C	rate C/B x 1000
Totals	230	33,959	173	5.09
Ages 0 to 4	86	12,624	54	4.28
Ages 5 to 11	67	9,552	51	5.34
Ages 12 to 15	52	8,084	51	6.31
Ages 16 to 18	25	3,699	17	4.60
Gender				
Totals	230	33,959	173	5.09
Female	113	16,818	101	6.01
Male	117	17,141	72	4.20
Transgender	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity				
Totals	230	33,959	173	5.09
Hispanic	23	3,929	19	4.84
Black	108	14,745	78	5.29
White	70	11,303	54	4.78
All other	18	2,414	16	6.63
Unable to determine	11	1,568	6	3.83

Question 7: The stability of out-of-home placements, including the number of placement changes in Calvert.

Stability of out-of-home placements for children entering care between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

Included are the total number of days in out-of-home care, the number of placements moves and the number of placement moves per 1,000 days

	Number of Entries	Total number of days in care	Number of placement moves	Moves per 1,000 days
Age Group	Children	n B	N C	rate C/B x 1000
Totals	11	2,781	13	4.67
Ages 0 to 4	***	1,433	3	2.09
Ages 5 to 11	***	555	7	12.61
Ages 12 to 15	***	493	2	4.06
Ages 16 to 18	***	300	1	3.33
Gender				
Totals	11	2,781	13	4.67
Female	***	1,464	10	6.83
Male	***	1,317	3	2.28
Transgender	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity				
Totals	11	2,781	13	4.67
Hispanic	.	.	.	.
Black	***	792	6	7.58
White	***	1,689	6	3.55
All other	***	300	1	3.33
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.

Question 7: The stability of out-of-home placements, including the number of placement changes in Caroline.

Stability of out-of-home placements for children entering care between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

Included are the total number of days in out-of-home care, the number of placements moves and the number of placement moves per 1,000 days

	Number of Entries	Total number of days in care	Number of placement moves	Moves per 1,000 days
Age Group	Children	n B	N C	rate C/B x 1000
Totals	18	2,032	7	3.44
Ages 0 to 4	***	1,694	7	4.13
Ages 5 to 11	***	281	0	0.00
Ages 12 to 15	***	38	0	0.00
Ages 16 to 18	***	19	0	0.00
Gender				
Totals	18	2,032	7	3.44
Female	***	1,315	5	3.80
Male	***	717	2	2.79
Transgender	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity				
Totals	18	2,032	7	3.44
Hispanic	.	.	.	.
Black	***	818	3	3.67
White	11	929	4	4.31
All other	***	5	0	0.00
Unable to determine	***	280	0	0.00

Question 7: The stability of out-of-home placements, including the number of placement changes in Carroll.

Stability of out-of-home placements for children entering care between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

Included are the total number of days in out-of-home care, the number of placements moves and the number of placement moves per 1,000 days

	Number of Entries	Total number of days in care	Number of placement moves	Moves per 1,000 days
Age Group	Children	n B	N C	rate C/B x 1000
Totals	20	2,842	22	7.74
Ages 0 to 4	***	997	3	3.01
Ages 5 to 11	***	486	6	12.35
Ages 12 to 15	***	702	10	14.25
Ages 16 to 18	***	657	3	4.57
Gender				
Totals	20	2,842	22	7.74
Female	11	1,405	13	9.25
Male	***	1,437	9	6.26
Transgender	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity				
Totals	20	2,842	22	7.74
Hispanic	***	831	11	13.24
Black	***	254	0	0.00
White	10	1,459	11	7.54
All other	***	34	0	0.00
Unable to determine	***	264	0	0.00

Question 7: The stability of out-of-home placements, including the number of placement changes in Cecil.

Stability of out-of-home placements for children entering care between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

Included are the total number of days in out-of-home care, the number of placements moves and the number of placement moves per 1,000 days

	Number of Entries	Total number of days in care	Number of placement moves	Moves per 1,000 days
Age Group	Children	n B	N C	rate C/B x 1000
Totals	22	2,732	24	8.78
Ages 0 to 4	13	1,574	14	8.89
Ages 5 to 11	***	424	5	11.79
Ages 12 to 15	***	734	5	6.81
Ages 16 to 18	.	.	.	.
Gender				
Totals	22	2,732	24	8.78
Female	16	1,968	12	6.10
Male	***	499	8	16.03
Transgender	***	265	4	15.09
Race/Ethnicity				
Totals	22	2,732	24	8.78
Hispanic	.	.	.	.
Black	***	314	3	9.55
White	17	2,386	21	8.80
All other	***	32	0	0.00
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.

Question 7: The stability of out-of-home placements, including the number of placement changes in Charles.

Stability of out-of-home placements for children entering care between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

Included are the total number of days in out-of-home care, the number of placements moves and the number of placement moves per 1,000 days

	Number of Entries	Total number of days in care	Number of placement moves	Moves per 1,000 days
Age Group	Children	n B	N C	rate C/B x 1000
Totals	21	2,897	27	9.32
Ages 0 to 4	***	1,043	5	4.79
Ages 5 to 11	***	744	7	9.41
Ages 12 to 15	***	806	10	12.41
Ages 16 to 18	***	304	5	16.45
Gender				
Totals	21	2,897	27	9.32
Female	***	1,081	15	13.88
Male	12	1,816	12	6.61
Transgender	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity				
Totals	21	2,897	27	9.32
Hispanic	***	134	5	37.31
Black	12	1,237	12	9.70
White	***	469	1	2.13
All other	***	997	8	8.02
Unable to determine	***	60	1	16.67

Question 7: The stability of out-of-home placements, including the number of placement changes in Dorchester.

Stability of out-of-home placements for children entering care between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

Included are the total number of days in out-of-home care, the number of placements moves and the number of placement moves per 1,000 days

	Number of Entries	Total number of days in care	Number of placement moves	Moves per 1,000 days
Age Group	Children	n B	N C	rate C/B x 1000
Totals	13	2,490	17	6.83
Ages 0 to 4	10	1,886	15	7.95
Ages 5 to 11	***	321	1	3.12
Ages 12 to 15	***	283	1	3.53
Ages 16 to 18	.	.	.	.
Gender				
Totals	13	2,490	17	6.83
Female	***	267	4	14.98
Male	10	2,223	13	5.85
Transgender	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity				
Totals	13	2,490	17	6.83
Hispanic	***	963	1	1.04
Black	***	111	1	9.01
White	***	543	7	12.89
All other	***	823	7	8.51
Unable to determine	***	50	1	20.00

Question 7: The stability of out-of-home placements, including the number of placement changes in Frederick.

Stability of out-of-home placements for children entering care between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

Included are the total number of days in out-of-home care, the number of placements moves and the number of placement moves per 1,000 days

	Number of Entries	Total number of days in care	Number of placement moves	Moves per 1,000 days
Age Group	Children	n B	N C	rate C/B x 1000
Totals	28	3,738	19	5.08
Ages 0 to 4	12	1,413	7	4.95
Ages 5 to 11	***	1,132	8	7.07
Ages 12 to 15	***	834	4	4.80
Ages 16 to 18	***	359	0	0.00
Gender				
Totals	28	3,738	19	5.08
Female	16	2,596	13	5.01
Male	12	1,142	6	5.25
Transgender	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity				
Totals	28	3,738	19	5.08
Hispanic	***	921	1	1.09
Black	17	2,257	14	6.20
White	***	204	2	9.80
All other	***	356	2	5.62
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.

Question 7: The stability of out-of-home placements, including the number of placement changes in Garrett.

Stability of out-of-home placements for children entering care between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

Included are the total number of days in out-of-home care, the number of placements moves and the number of placement moves per 1,000 days

	Number of Entries	Total number of days in care	Number of placement moves	Moves per 1,000 days
Age Group	Children	n B	N C	rate C/B x 1000
Totals	***	1,160	5	4.31
Ages 0 to 4	***	827	3	3.63
Ages 5 to 11	***	257	1	3.89
Ages 12 to 15	***	76	1	13.16
Ages 16 to 18	.	.	.	.
Gender				
Totals	***	1,160	5	4.31
Female	***	766	3	3.92
Male	***	394	2	5.08
Transgender	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity				
Totals	***	1,160	5	4.31
Hispanic	.	.	.	.
Black	.	.	.	.
White	***	961	4	4.16
All other	***	199	1	5.03
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.

Question 7: The stability of out-of-home placements, including the number of placement changes in Harford.

Stability of out-of-home placements for children entering care between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

Included are the total number of days in out-of-home care, the number of placements moves and the number of placement moves per 1,000 days

	Number of Entries	Total number of days in care	Number of placement moves	Moves per 1,000 days
Age Group	Children	n B	N C	rate C/B x 1000
Totals	62	9,610	59	6.14
Ages 0 to 4	27	4,113	25	6.08
Ages 5 to 11	13	2,298	15	6.53
Ages 12 to 15	15	2,378	15	6.31
Ages 16 to 18	***	821	4	4.87
Gender				
Totals	62	9,610	59	6.14
Female	33	4,713	29	6.15
Male	28	4,890	30	6.13
Transgender	***	7	0	0.00
Race/Ethnicity				
Totals	62	9,610	59	6.14
Hispanic	***	975	5	5.13
Black	12	2,034	15	7.37
White	33	5,034	28	5.56
All other	12	1,567	11	7.02
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.

Question 7: The stability of out-of-home placements, including the number of placement changes in Howard.

Stability of out-of-home placements for children entering care between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

Included are the total number of days in out-of-home care, the number of placements moves and the number of placement moves per 1,000 days

	Number of Entries	Total number of days in care	Number of placement moves	Moves per 1,000 days
Age Group	Children	n B	N C	rate C/B x 1000
Totals	27	3,538	27	7.63
Ages 0 to 4	***	387	4	10.34
Ages 5 to 11	***	654	5	7.65
Ages 12 to 15	***	921	5	5.43
Ages 16 to 18	10	1,576	13	8.25
Gender				
Totals	27	3,538	27	7.63
Female	14	1,309	13	9.93
Male	12	2,126	14	6.59
Transgender	***	103	0	0.00
Race/Ethnicity				
Totals	27	3,538	27	7.63
Hispanic	***	326	1	3.07
Black	18	2,416	21	8.69
White	***	150	0	0.00
All other	***	646	5	7.74
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.

Question 7: The stability of out-of-home placements, including the number of placement changes in Kent.

Stability of out-of-home placements for children entering care between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

Included are the total number of days in out-of-home care, the number of placements moves and the number of placement moves per 1,000 days

	Number of Entries	Total number of days in care	Number of placement moves	Moves per 1,000 days
Age Group	Children	n B	N C	rate C/B x 1000
Totals	***	946	4	4.23
Ages 0 to 4	***	89	0	0.00
Ages 5 to 11	***	154	0	0.00
Ages 12 to 15	***	317	2	6.31
Ages 16 to 18	***	386	2	5.18
Gender				
Totals	***	946	4	4.23
Female	***	558	4	7.17
Male	***	388	0	0.00
Transgender	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity				
Totals	***	946	4	4.23
Hispanic	.	.	.	.
Black	***	558	4	7.17
White	***	145	0	0.00
All other	***	243	0	0.00
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.

Question 7: The stability of out-of-home placements, including the number of placement changes in Montgomery.

Stability of out-of-home placements for children entering care between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

Included are the total number of days in out-of-home care, the number of placements moves and the number of placement moves per 1,000 days

	Number of Entries	Total number of days in care	Number of placement moves	Moves per 1,000 days
Age Group	Children	n B	N C	rate C/B x 1000
Totals	103	16,743	116	6.93
Ages 0 to 4	54	9,113	63	6.91
Ages 5 to 11	26	4,295	20	4.66
Ages 12 to 15	17	2,237	16	7.15
Ages 16 to 18	***	1,098	17	15.48
Gender				
Totals	103	16,743	116	6.93
Female	53	8,442	58	6.87
Male	49	8,071	52	6.44
Transgender	***	230	6	26.09
Race/Ethnicity				
Totals	103	16,743	116	6.93
Hispanic	29	6,116	32	5.23
Black	41	6,035	41	6.79
White	12	2,280	13	5.70
All other	11	1,538	24	15.60
Unable to determine	10	774	6	7.75

Question 7: The stability of out-of-home placements, including the number of placement changes in Prince George's.

Stability of out-of-home placements for children entering care between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

Included are the total number of days in out-of-home care, the number of placements moves and the number of placement moves per 1,000 days

	Number of Entries	Total number of days in care	Number of placement moves	Moves per 1,000 days
Age Group	Children	n B	N C	rate C/B x 1000
Totals	104	14,183	115	8.11
Ages 0 to 4	27	3,869	10	2.58
Ages 5 to 11	27	2,583	22	8.52
Ages 12 to 15	31	5,083	68	13.38
Ages 16 to 18	19	2,648	15	5.66
Gender				
Totals	104	14,183	115	8.11
Female	53	7,211	62	8.60
Male	50	6,870	49	7.13
Transgender	***	102	4	39.22
Race/Ethnicity				
Totals	104	14,183	115	8.11
Hispanic	16	2,255	14	6.21
Black	66	9,369	72	7.68
White	***	75	3	40.00
All other	***	618	1	1.62
Unable to determine	16	1,866	25	13.40

Question 7: The stability of out-of-home placements, including the number of placement changes in Queen Anne's.

Stability of out-of-home placements for children entering care between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

Included are the total number of days in out-of-home care, the number of placements moves and the number of placement moves per 1,000 days

	Number of Entries	Total number of days in care	Number of placement moves	Moves per 1,000 days
Age Group	Children	n B	N C	rate C/B x 1000
Totals	***	472	10	21.19
Ages 0 to 4	***	87	1	11.49
Ages 5 to 11	.	.	.	.
Ages 12 to 15	***	150	0	0.00
Ages 16 to 18	***	235	9	38.30
Gender				
Totals	***	472	10	21.19
Female	***	330	6	18.18
Male	***	116	4	34.48
Transgender	***	26	0	0.00
Race/Ethnicity				
Totals	***	472	10	21.19
Hispanic	***	124	0	0.00
Black	.	.	.	.
White	***	261	9	34.48
All other	***	87	1	11.49
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.

Question 7: The stability of out-of-home placements, including the number of placement changes in Somerset.

Stability of out-of-home placements for children entering care between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

Included are the total number of days in out-of-home care, the number of placements moves and the number of placement moves per 1,000 days

	Number of Entries	Total number of days in care	Number of placement moves	Moves per 1,000 days
Age Group	Children	n B	N C	rate C/B x 1000
Totals	***	1,734	5	2.88
Ages 0 to 4	***	995	4	4.02
Ages 5 to 11	***	567	1	1.76
Ages 12 to 15	.	.	.	.
Ages 16 to 18	***	172	0	0.00
Gender				
Totals	***	1,734	5	2.88
Female	***	995	2	2.01
Male	***	739	3	4.06
Transgender	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity				
Totals	***	1,734	5	2.88
Hispanic	.	.	.	.
Black	***	1,265	5	3.95
White	***	172	0	0.00
All other	***	297	0	0.00
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.

Question 7: The stability of out-of-home placements, including the number of placement changes in St. Mary's.

Stability of out-of-home placements for children entering care between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

Included are the total number of days in out-of-home care, the number of placements moves and the number of placement moves per 1,000 days

	Number of Entries	Total number of days in care	Number of placement moves	Moves per 1,000 days
Age Group	Children	n B	N C	rate C/B x 1000
Totals	13	1,791	9	5.03
Ages 0 to 4	***	885	3	3.39
Ages 5 to 11	***	540	2	3.70
Ages 12 to 15	***	366	4	10.93
Ages 16 to 18	.	.	.	.
Gender				
Totals	13	1,791	9	5.03
Female	***	1,176	8	6.80
Male	***	615	1	1.63
Transgender	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity				
Totals	13	1,791	9	5.03
Hispanic	.	.	.	.
Black	***	618	2	3.24
White	***	975	7	7.18
All other	***	198	0	0.00
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.

Question 7: The stability of out-of-home placements, including the number of placement changes in Talbot.

Stability of out-of-home placements for children entering care between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

Included are the total number of days in out-of-home care, the number of placements moves and the number of placement moves per 1,000 days

	Number of Entries	Total number of days in care	Number of placement moves	Moves per 1,000 days
Age Group	Children	n B	N C	rate C/B x 1000
Totals	***	631	5	7.92
Ages 0 to 4	***	272	4	14.71
Ages 5 to 11	***	24	0	0.00
Ages 12 to 15	***	38	0	0.00
Ages 16 to 18	***	297	1	3.37
Gender				
Totals	***	631	5	7.92
Female	***	579	5	8.64
Male	***	52	0	0.00
Transgender	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity				
Totals	***	631	5	7.92
Hispanic	***	325	1	3.08
Black	.	.	.	.
White	***	292	4	13.70
All other	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	***	14	0	0.00

Question 7: The stability of out-of-home placements, including the number of placement changes in Washington.

Stability of out-of-home placements for children entering care between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

Included are the total number of days in out-of-home care, the number of placements moves and the number of placement moves per 1,000 days

	Number of Entries	Total number of days in care	Number of placement moves	Moves per 1,000 days
Age Group	Children	n B	N C	rate C/B x 1000
Totals	107	17,981	139	7.73
Ages 0 to 4	42	6,485	56	8.64
Ages 5 to 11	34	6,234	36	5.77
Ages 12 to 15	26	4,086	39	9.54
Ages 16 to 18	***	1,176	8	6.80
Gender				
Totals	107	17,981	139	7.73
Female	42	7,350	60	8.16
Male	65	10,631	79	7.43
Transgender	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity				
Totals	107	17,981	139	7.73
Hispanic	14	2,084	14	6.72
Black	18	2,910	20	6.87
White	50	8,527	81	9.50
All other	23	4,161	23	5.53
Unable to determine	***	299	1	3.34

Question 7: The stability of out-of-home placements, including the number of placement changes in Wicomico.

Stability of out-of-home placements for children entering care between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

Included are the total number of days in out-of-home care, the number of placements moves and the number of placement moves per 1,000 days

	Number of Entries	Total number of days in care	Number of placement moves	Moves per 1,000 days
Age Group	Children	n B	N C	rate C/B x 1000
Totals	25	4,000	17	4.25
Ages 0 to 4	***	1,208	8	6.62
Ages 5 to 11	***	1,203	3	2.49
Ages 12 to 15	***	913	5	5.48
Ages 16 to 18	***	676	1	1.48
Gender				
Totals	25	4,000	17	4.25
Female	10	1,311	10	7.63
Male	15	2,689	7	2.60
Transgender	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity				
Totals	25	4,000	17	4.25
Hispanic	.	.	.	.
Black	15	2,362	11	4.66
White	***	1,002	2	2.00
All other	***	477	2	4.19
Unable to determine	***	159	2	12.58

Question 7: The stability of out-of-home placements, including the number of placement changes in Worcester.

Stability of out-of-home placements for children entering care between July 1, 2024 and June 30, 2025

Included are the total number of days in out-of-home care, the number of placements moves and the number of placement moves per 1,000 days

	Number of Entries	Total number of days in care	Number of placement moves	Moves per 1,000 days
Age Group	Children	n B	N C	rate C/B x 1000
Totals	14	1,612	10	6.20
Ages 0 to 4	***	327	1	3.06
Ages 5 to 11	***	741	8	10.80
Ages 12 to 15	***	320	1	3.13
Ages 16 to 18	***	224	0	0.00
Gender				
Totals	14	1,612	10	6.20
Female	***	1,044	7	6.70
Male	***	568	3	5.28
Transgender	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity				
Totals	14	1,612	10	6.20
Hispanic	.	.	.	.
Black	***	416	3	7.21
White	11	1,196	7	5.85
All other	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.

Appendix 8: Question 9 and Question 10 – Jurisdictional Context

Question 9: The number of youth who graduate from high school in Allegany;
and

Question 10: The number of youth who qualify for a Maryland high school diploma by examination in Allegany.

For all children in Placement and Permanency Services in State Fiscal Year 2025 and Who were in 12th grade at the end of State Fiscal Year 2025 what percentage of those children graduated high school and what percentage had a GED?

		Children who have graduated		Children with a GED identified	
Characteristic	Children in Grade 12 (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)
Age Group	***	***	40.0%	***	0.0%
Age 16	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Age 17	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Age 18	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Age 19	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Age 20	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	.	.	.	.	.
Gender	***	***	40.0%	***	0.0%
Female	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Male	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Transgender	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.
Race	***	***	40.0%	***	0.0%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.
Black	.	.	.	.	.
White	***	***	25.0%	***	0.0%
All other	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%

Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.
------------------------	---	---	---	---	---

Question 9: The number of youth who graduate from high school in Anne Arundel; and

Question 10: The number of youth who qualify for a Maryland high school diploma by examination in Anne Arundel.

For all children in Placement and Permanency Services in State Fiscal Year 2025 and Who were in 12th grade at the end of State Fiscal Year 2025 what percentage of those children graduated high school and what percentage had a GED?

		Children who have graduated		Children with a GED identified	
Characteristic	Children in Grade 12 (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)
Age Group	17	15	88.2%	***	0.0%
Age 16	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Age 17	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Age 18	***	***	88.9%	***	0.0%
Age 19	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Age 20	***	***	66.7%	***	0.0%
Age Missing	.	.	.	.	.
Gender	17	15	88.2%	***	0.0%
Female	***	***	77.8%	***	0.0%
Male	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.
Race	17	15	88.2%	***	0.0%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.
Black	10	10	100.0 %	***	0.0%
White	***	***	60.0%	***	0.0%

All other	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.

Question 9: The number of youth who graduate from high school in Baltimore City; and

Question 10: The number of youth who qualify for a Maryland high school diploma by examination in Baltimore City.

For all children in Placement and Permanency Services in State Fiscal Year 2025 and Who were in 12th grade at the end of State Fiscal Year 2025 what percentage of those children graduated high school and what percentage had a GED?

		Children who have graduated		Children with a GED identified	
Characteristic	Children in Grade 12 (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)
Age Group	132	93	70.5%	***	0.0%
Age 16	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Age 17	24	16	66.7%	***	0.0%
Age 18	52	50	96.2%	***	0.0%
Age 19	30	21	70.0%	***	0.0%
Age 20	25	***	20.0%	***	0.0%
Age Missing	.	.	.	.	.
Gender	132	93	70.5%	***	0.0%
Female	82	58	70.7%	***	0.0%
Male	50	35	70.0%	***	0.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.
Race	132	93	70.5%	***	0.0%
Hispanic	***	***	85.7%	***	0.0%
Black	115	79	68.7%	***	0.0%
White	***	***	77.8%	***	0.0%
All other	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%

Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.
------------------------	---	---	---	---	---

Question 9: The number of youth who graduate from high school in Baltimore County; and

Question 10: The number of youth who qualify for a Maryland high school diploma by examination in Baltimore County.

For all children in Placement and Permanency Services in State Fiscal Year 2025 and Who were in 12th grade at the end of State Fiscal Year 2025 what percentage of those children graduated high school and what percentage had a GED?

		Children who have graduated		Children with a GED identified	
Characteristic	Children in Grade 12 (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)
Age Group	67	41	61.2%	***	0.0%
Age 16	***	***	14.3%	***	0.0%
Age 17	14	***	50.0%	***	0.0%
Age 18	26	16	61.5%	***	0.0%
Age 19	16	13	81.3%	***	0.0%
Age 20	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Age Missing	.	.	.	.	.
Gender	67	41	61.2%	***	0.0%
Female	36	21	58.3%	***	0.0%
Male	30	19	63.3%	***	0.0%
Transgender	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.
Race	67	41	61.2%	***	0.0%
Hispanic	13	***	69.2%	***	0.0%
Black	29	19	65.5%	***	0.0%
White	20	***	45.0%	***	0.0%
All other	***	***	75.0%	***	0.0%

Unable to determine	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
------------------------	-----	-----	------------	-----	------

Question 9: The number of youth who graduate from high school in Calvert; and
Question 10: The number of youth who qualify for a Maryland high school diploma by examination in Calvert.

For all children in Placement and Permanency Services in State Fiscal Year 2025 and Who were in 12th grade at the end of State Fiscal Year 2025 what percentage of those children graduated high school and what percentage had a GED?

		Children who have graduated		Children with a GED identified	
Characteristic	Children in Grade 12 (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)
Age Group	***	***	85.7%	***	0.0%
Age 16	.	.	.	.	.
Age 17	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%
Age 18	***	***	66.7%	***	0.0%
Age 19	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%
Age 20	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%
Age Missing	.	.	.	.	.
Gender	***	***	85.7%	***	0.0%
Female	***	***	83.3%	***	0.0%
Male	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.
Race	***	***	85.7%	***	0.0%
Hispanic	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%
Black	***	***	75.0%	***	0.0%
White	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%
All other	.	.	.	.	.

Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.
------------------------	---	---	---	---	---

Question 9: The number of youth who graduate from high school in Caroline; and
Question 10: The number of youth who qualify for a Maryland high school diploma by examination in Caroline.

For all children in Placement and Permanency Services in State Fiscal Year 2025 and Who were in 12th grade at the end of State Fiscal Year 2025 what percentage of those children graduated high school and what percentage had a GED?

		Children who have graduated		Children with a GED identified	
Characteristic	Children in Grade 12 (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)
Age Group	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Age 16	.	.	.	.	.
Age 17	.	.	.	.	.
Age 18	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Age 19	.	.	.	.	.
Age 20	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	.	.	.	.	.
Gender	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Female	.	.	.	.	.
Male	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.
Race	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.
Black	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
White	.	.	.	.	.
All other	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.

Question 9: The number of youth who graduate from high school in Carroll; and
Question 10: The number of youth who qualify for a Maryland high school diploma by examination in Carroll.

For all children in Placement and Permanency Services in State Fiscal Year 2025 and Who were in 12th grade at the end of State Fiscal Year 2025 what percentage of those children graduated high school and what percentage had a GED?

		Children who have graduated		Children with a GED identified	
Characteristic	Children in Grade 12 (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)
Age Group	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Age 16	.	.	.	.	.
Age 17	.	.	.	.	.
Age 18	.	.	.	.	.
Age 19	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Age 20	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	.	.	.	.	.
Gender	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Female	.	.	.	.	.
Male	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.
Race	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.
Black	.	.	.	.	.
White	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
All other	.	.	.	.	.

Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.
------------------------	---	---	---	---	---

Question 9: The number of youth who graduate from high school in Cecil; and

Question 10: The number of youth who qualify for a Maryland high school diploma by examination in Cecil.

For all children in Placement and Permanency Services in State Fiscal Year 2025 and Who were in 12th grade at the end of State Fiscal Year 2025 what percentage of those children graduated high school and what percentage had a GED?

		Children who have graduated		Children with a GED identified	
Characteristic	Children in Grade 12 (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)
Age Group	12	11	91.7%	***	0.0%
Age 16	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Age 17	***	***	75.0%	***	0.0%
Age 18	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Age 19	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Age 20	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	.	.	.	.	.
Gender	12	11	91.7%	***	0.0%
Female	***	***	80.0%	***	0.0%
Male	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Transgender	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.
Race	12	11	91.7%	***	0.0%
Hispanic	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Black	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
White	***	***	88.9%	***	0.0%
All other	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%

Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.
------------------------	---	---	---	---	---

Question 9: The number of youth who graduate from high school in Charles; and
Question 10: The number of youth who qualify for a Maryland high school diploma by examination in Charles.

For all children in Placement and Permanency Services in State Fiscal Year 2025 and Who were in 12th grade at the end of State Fiscal Year 2025 what percentage of those children graduated high school and what percentage had a GED?

		Children who have graduated		Children with a GED identified	
Characteristic	Children in Grade 12 (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)
Age Group	***	***	66.7%	***	0.0%
Age 16	.	.	.	.	.
Age 17	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Age 18	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Age 19	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Age 20	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Gender	***	***	66.7%	***	0.0%
Female	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Male	***	***	60.0%	***	0.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.
Race	***	***	66.7%	***	0.0%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.
Black	***	***	60.0%	***	0.0%
White	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
All other	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.

Question 9: The number of youth who graduate from high school in Dorchester;
and

Question 10: The number of youth who qualify for a Maryland high school diploma by examination in Dorchester.

For all children in Placement and Permanency Services in State Fiscal Year 2025 and Who were in 12th grade at the end of State Fiscal Year 2025 what percentage of those children graduated high school and what percentage had a GED?

		Children who have graduated		Children with a GED identified	
Characteristic	Children in Grade 12 (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)
Age Group	***	***	50.0%	***	0.0%
Age 16	.	.	.	.	.
Age 17	***	***	50.0%	***	0.0%
Age 18	.	.	.	.	.
Age 19	.	.	.	.	.
Age 20	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	.	.	.	.	.
Gender	***	***	50.0%	***	0.0%
Female	.	.	.	.	.
Male	***	***	50.0%	***	0.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.
Race	***	***	50.0%	***	0.0%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.
Black	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
White	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
All other	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.

Question 9: The number of youth who graduate from high school in Frederick; and
Question 10: The number of youth who qualify for a Maryland high school diploma by examination in Frederick.

For all children in Placement and Permanency Services in State Fiscal Year 2025 and Who were in 12th grade at the end of State Fiscal Year 2025 what percentage of those children graduated high school and what percentage had a GED?

		Children who have graduated		Children with a GED identified	
Characteristic	Children in Grade 12 (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)
Age Group	***	***	40.0%	***	0.0%
Age 16	.	.	.	.	.
Age 17	***	***	33.3%	***	0.0%
Age 18	***	***	50.0%	***	0.0%
Age 19	.	.	.	.	.
Age 20	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	.	.	.	.	.
Gender	***	***	40.0%	***	0.0%
Female	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Male	***	***	25.0%	***	0.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.
Race	***	***	40.0%	***	0.0%
Hispanic	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Black	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
White	***	***	50.0%	***	0.0%
All other	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.

Question 9: The number of youth who graduate from high school in Garrett; and
Question 10: The number of youth who qualify for a Maryland high school diploma by examination in Garrett.

For all children in Placement and Permanency Services in State Fiscal Year 2025 and Who were in 12th grade at the end of State Fiscal Year 2025 what percentage of those children graduated high school and what percentage had a GED?

		Children who have graduated		Children with a GED identified	
Characteristic	Children in Grade 12 (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)
Age Group	***	***	66.7%	***	0.0%
Age 16	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Age 17	.	.	.	.	.
Age 18	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Age 19	.	.	.	.	.
Age 20	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	.	.	.	.	.
Gender	***	***	66.7%	***	0.0%
Female	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Male	***	***	50.0%	***	0.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.
Race	***	***	66.7%	***	0.0%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.
Black	***	***	50.0%	***	0.0%
White	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
All other	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.

Question 9: The number of youth who graduate from high school in Harford; and
Question 10: The number of youth who qualify for a Maryland high school diploma by examination in Harford.

For all children in Placement and Permanency Services in State Fiscal Year 2025 and Who were in 12th grade at the end of State Fiscal Year 2025 what percentage of those children graduated high school and what percentage had a GED?

		Children who have graduated		Children with a GED identified	
Characteristic	Children in Grade 12 (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)
Age Group	16	***	50.0%	***	6.3%
Age 16	.	.	.	.	.
Age 17	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Age 18	***	***	66.7%	***	11.1%
Age 19	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Age 20	***	***	50.0%	***	0.0%
Age Missing	.	.	.	.	.
Gender	16	***	50.0%	***	6.3%
Female	***	***	71.4%	***	0.0%
Male	***	***	33.3%	***	11.1%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.
Race	16	***	50.0%	***	6.3%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.
Black	***	***	44.4%	***	0.0%
White	***	***	80.0%	***	0.0%
All other	***	***	0.0%	***	100.0 %
Unable to determine	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

Question 9: The number of youth who graduate from high school in Howard; and
Question 10: The number of youth who qualify for a Maryland high school diploma by examination in Howard.

For all children in Placement and Permanency Services in State Fiscal Year 2025 and Who were in 12th grade at the end of State Fiscal Year 2025 what percentage of those children graduated high school and what percentage had a GED?

		Children who have graduated		Children with a GED identified	
Characteristic	Children in Grade 12 (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)
Age Group	13	11	84.6%	***	0.0%
Age 16	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Age 17	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Age 18	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Age 19	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Age 20	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Age Missing	.	.	.	.	.
Gender	13	11	84.6%	***	0.0%
Female	11	10	90.9%	***	0.0%
Male	***	***	50.0%	***	0.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.
Race	13	11	84.6%	***	0.0%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.
Black	***	***	88.9%	***	0.0%
White	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
All other	***	***	66.7%	***	0.0%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.

Question 9: The number of youth who graduate from high school in Kent; and

Question 10: The number of youth who qualify for a Maryland high school diploma by examination in Kent.

For all children in Placement and Permanency Services in State Fiscal Year 2025 and Who were in 12th grade at the end of State Fiscal Year 2025 what percentage of those children graduated high school and what percentage had a GED?

		Children who have graduated		Children with a GED identified	
Characteristic	Children in Grade 12 (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)
Age Group	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Age 16	.	.	.	.	.
Age 17	.	.	.	.	.
Age 18	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Age 19	.	.	.	.	.
Age 20	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	.	.	.	.	.
Gender	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Female	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Male	.	.	.	.	.
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.
Race	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.
Black	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
White	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
All other	.	.	.	.	.

Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.
------------------------	---	---	---	---	---

Question 9: The number of youth who graduate from high school in Montgomery; and

Question 10: The number of youth who qualify for a Maryland high school diploma by examination in Montgomery.

For all children in Placement and Permanency Services in State Fiscal Year 2025 and Who were in 12th grade at the end of State Fiscal Year 2025 what percentage of those children graduated high school and what percentage had a GED?

		Children who have graduated		Children with a GED identified	
Characteristic	Children in Grade 12 (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)
Age Group	57	38	66.7%	***	0.0%
Age 16	***	***	33.3%	***	0.0%
Age 17	19	***	47.4%	***	0.0%
Age 18	26	22	84.6%	***	0.0%
Age 19	***	***	75.0%	***	0.0%
Age 20	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Age Missing	.	.	.	.	.
Gender	57	38	66.7%	***	0.0%
Female	39	25	64.1%	***	0.0%
Male	18	13	72.2%	***	0.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.
Race	57	38	66.7%	***	0.0%
Hispanic	18	12	66.7%	***	0.0%
Black	24	15	62.5%	***	0.0%
White	***	***	87.5%	***	0.0%
All other	***	***	57.1%	***	0.0%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.

Question 9: The number of youth who graduate from high school in Prince George's; and

Question 10: The number of youth who qualify for a Maryland high school diploma by examination in Prince George's.

For all children in Placement and Permanency Services in State Fiscal Year 2025 and Who were in 12th grade at the end of State Fiscal Year 2025 what percentage of those children graduated high school and what percentage had a GED?

		Children who have graduated		Children with a GED identified	
Characteristic	Children in Grade 12 (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)
Age Group	56	39	69.6%	***	0.0%
Age 16	***	***	50.0%	***	0.0%
Age 17	13	***	46.2%	***	0.0%
Age 18	20	14	70.0%	***	0.0%
Age 19	13	11	84.6%	***	0.0%
Age 20	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Age Missing	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Gender	56	39	69.6%	***	0.0%
Female	28	20	71.4%	***	0.0%
Male	27	18	66.7%	***	0.0%
Transgender	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.
Race	56	39	69.6%	***	0.0%
Hispanic	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Black	41	27	65.9%	***	0.0%
White	***	***	66.7%	***	0.0%
All other	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%

Unable to determine	***	***	50.0%	***	0.0%
------------------------	-----	-----	-------	-----	------

Question 9: The number of youth who graduate from high school in Queen Anne's; and

Question 10: The number of youth who qualify for a Maryland high school diploma by examination in Queen Anne's.

For all children in Placement and Permanency Services in State Fiscal Year 2025 and Who were in 12th grade at the end of State Fiscal Year 2025 what percentage of those children graduated high school and what percentage had a GED?

		Children who have graduated		Children with a GED identified	
Characteristic	Children in Grade 12 (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)
Age Group	***	***	50.0%	***	0.0%
Age 16	.	.	.	.	.
Age 17	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Age 18	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Age 19	***	***	50.0%	***	0.0%
Age 20	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	.	.	.	.	.
Gender	***	***	50.0%	***	0.0%
Female	***	***	33.3%	***	0.0%
Male	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.
Race	***	***	50.0%	***	0.0%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.
Black	***	***	33.3%	***	0.0%
White	.	.	.	.	.
All other	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.

Question 9: The number of youth who graduate from high school in Somerset; and
Question 10: The number of youth who qualify for a Maryland high school diploma by examination in Somerset.

For all children in Placement and Permanency Services in State Fiscal Year 2025 and Who were in 12th grade at the end of State Fiscal Year 2025 what percentage of those children graduated high school and what percentage had a GED?

		Children who have graduated		Children with a GED identified	
Characteristic	Children in Grade 12 (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)
Age Group	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Age 16	.	.	.	.	.
Age 17	.	.	.	.	.
Age 18	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Age 19	.	.	.	.	.
Age 20	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	.	.	.	.	.
Gender	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Female	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Male	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.
Race	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Hispanic	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Black	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
White	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%

All other	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.

Question 9: The number of youth who graduate from high school in St. Mary's; and
Question 10: The number of youth who qualify for a Maryland high school diploma by examination in St. Mary's.

For all children in Placement and Permanency Services in State Fiscal Year 2025 and Who were in 12th grade at the end of State Fiscal Year 2025 what percentage of those children graduated high school and what percentage had a GED?

		Children who have graduated		Children with a GED identified	
Characteristic	Children in Grade 12 (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)
Age Group	***	***	83.3%	***	0.0%
Age 16	.	.	.	.	.
Age 17	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Age 18	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%
Age 19	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%
Age 20	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	.	.	.	.	.
Gender	***	***	83.3%	***	0.0%
Female	***	***	66.7%	***	0.0%
Male	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.
Race	***	***	83.3%	***	0.0%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.
Black	***	***	75.0%	***	0.0%
White	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%
All other	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.

Question 9: The number of youth who graduate from high school in Talbot; and

Question 10: The number of youth who qualify for a Maryland high school diploma by examination in Talbot.

For all children in Placement and Permanency Services in State Fiscal Year 2025 and Who were in 12th grade at the end of State Fiscal Year 2025 what percentage of those children graduated high school and what percentage had a GED?

		Children who have graduated		Children with a GED identified	
Characteristic	Children in Grade 12 (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)
Age Group	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Age 16	.	.	.	.	.
Age 17	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Age 18	.	.	.	.	.
Age 19	.	.	.	.	.
Age 20	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	.	.	.	.	.
Gender	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Female	.	.	.	.	.
Male	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.
Race	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.
Black	.	.	.	.	.
White	.	.	.	.	.
All other	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.

Question 9: The number of youth who graduate from high school in Washington; and

Question 10: The number of youth who qualify for a Maryland high school diploma by examination in Washington.

For all children in Placement and Permanency Services in State Fiscal Year 2025 and Who were in 12th grade at the end of State Fiscal Year 2025 what percentage of those children graduated high school and what percentage had a GED?

		Children who have graduated		Children with a GED identified	
Characteristic	Children in Grade 12 (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)
Age Group	***	***	80.0%	***	0.0%
Age 16	.	.	.	.	.
Age 17	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Age 18	***	***	50.0%	***	0.0%
Age 19	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Age 20	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Age Missing	.	.	.	.	.
Gender	***	***	80.0%	***	0.0%
Female	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Male	***	***	66.7%	***	0.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.
Race	***	***	80.0%	***	0.0%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.
Black	.	.	.	.	.
White	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
All other	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

Unable to determine	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
------------------------	-----	-----	------------	-----	------

Question 9: The number of youth who graduate from high school in Wicomico; and
Question 10: The number of youth who qualify for a Maryland high school diploma by examination in Wicomico.

For all children in Placement and Permanency Services in State Fiscal Year 2025 and Who were in 12th grade at the end of State Fiscal Year 2025 what percentage of those children graduated high school and what percentage had a GED?

		Children who have graduated		Children with a GED identified	
Characteristic	Children in Grade 12 (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)
Age Group	***	***	66.7%	***	0.0%
Age 16	.	.	.	.	.
Age 17	***	***	50.0%	***	0.0%
Age 18	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%
Age 19	.	.	.	.	.
Age 20	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	.	.	.	.	.
Gender	***	***	66.7%	***	0.0%
Female	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%
Male	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.
Race	***	***	66.7%	***	0.0%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.
Black	***	***	50.0%	***	0.0%
White	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%
All other	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.

Question 9: The number of youth who graduate from high school in Worcester; and
Question 10: The number of youth who qualify for a Maryland high school diploma by examination in Worcester.

For all children in Placement and Permanency Services in State Fiscal Year 2025 and Who were in 12th grade at the end of State Fiscal Year 2025 what percentage of those children graduated high school and what percentage had a GED?

		Children who have graduated		Children with a GED identified	
Characteristic	Children in Grade 12 (A)	n (B)	% (B/A)	n (C)	% (C/A)
Age Group	***	***	66.7%	***	0.0%
Age 16	.	.	.	.	.
Age 17	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Age 18	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Age 19	.	.	.	.	.
Age 20	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	.	.	.	.	.
Gender	***	***	66.7%	***	0.0%
Female	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Male	***	***	50.0%	***	0.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.
Race	***	***	66.7%	***	0.0%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.
Black	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
White	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
All other	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.

Appendix 9: Question 12 – Jurisdictional Context

Question 12: The incidence of abuse or neglect of a child who, in the immediately preceding 24 months was not removed from the child's home following an investigation that found indicated/unsubstantiated abuse or neglect.

Technical Note: The base for this question was the number of CPS responses seen in Question 1: The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations. For the youth identified in the base any prior Investigations that had a disposition of Indicated or Unsubstantiated in the preceding 24 months were identified. These instances were examined to see if any of those Indicated or Unsubstantiated investigations led to the removal of the child.

Investigations completed between July 01, 2024 and June 30, 2025 – what percent had an earlier incidence of indicated abuse or neglect that lead to the child being removed from their home and being placed into out of home care in the preceding 24 months (Column D and Column D/A).

Allegany	Children	Maltreatment in Prior 2 years		...that led to OOH Placement		...that did not lead to OOH Placement		
Age Group	(A)	(B) n	(B/A) %	(C) n	(C/B) %	(D) n	(D/B) %	(D/A) %
Totals	581	89	15.32 %	***	10.11%	80	89.89 %	13.77%
Ages 0 to 4	172	29	16.86 %	***	24.14%	22	75.86 %	12.79%
Ages 5 to 11	282	52	18.44 %	***	3.85%	50	96.15 %	17.73%
Ages 12 to 15	91	***	6.59%	.	.	***	100.0 %	6.59%
Ages 16 to 18	36	***	5.56%	.	.	***	100.0 %	5.56%
Age Invalid	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender								
Totals	581	89	15.32 %	***	10.11%	80	89.89 %	13.77%
Female	256	43	16.80 %	***	9.30%	39	90.70 %	15.23%
Male	325	46	14.15%	***	10.87%	41	89.13 %	12.62%
Transgender	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity								

Totals	581	89	15.32 %	***	10.11%	80	89.89 %	13.77%
Hispanic	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	89	13	14.61%	***	15.38%	11	84.62 %	12.36%
White	436	72	16.51%	***	9.72%	65	90.28 %	14.91%
All Other	***	***	40.00 %	.	.	***	100.0 %	40.00%
Unable to determine	44	***	4.55%	.	.	***	100.0 %	4.55%

Question 12: The incidence of abuse or neglect of a child who, in the immediately preceding 24 months was not removed from the child's home following an investigation that found indicated/unsubstantiated abuse or neglect.

Technical Note: The base for this question was the number of CPS responses seen in Question 1: The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations. For the youth identified in the base any prior Investigations that had a disposition of Indicated or Unsubstantiated in the preceding 24 months were identified. These instances were examined to see if any of those Indicated or Unsubstantiated investigations led to the removal of the child.

Investigations completed between July 01, 2024 and June 30, 2025 – what percent had an earlier incidence of indicated abuse or neglect that lead to the child being removed from their home and being placed into out of home care in the preceding 24 months (Column D and Column D/A).

Anne Arundel	Children	Maltreatment in Prior 2 years		...that led to OOH Placement		...that did not lead to OOH Placement		
Age Group	(A)	(B) n	(B/A) %	(C) n	(C/B) %	(D) n	(D/B) %	(D/A) %
Totals	1,787	89	4.98%	19	21.35%	70	78.65%	3.92%
Ages 0 to 4	436	11	2.52%	***	18.18%	***	81.82%	2.06%
Ages 5 to 11	797	48	6.02%	13	27.08%	35	72.92%	4.39%
Ages 12 to 15	403	17	4.22%	***	17.65%	14	82.35%	3.47%
Ages 16 to 18	150	13	8.67%	***	7.69%	12	92.31%	8.00%
Age Invalid	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender								
Totals	1,787	89	4.98%	19	21.35%	70	78.65%	3.92%
Female	919	56	6.09%	11	19.64%	45	80.36%	4.90%
Male	859	33	3.84%	***	24.24%	25	75.76%	2.91%
Transgender	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Race/Ethnicity								
Totals	1,787	89	4.98%	19	21.35%	70	78.65 %	3.92%
Hispanic	275	***	2.91%	***	25.00 %	***	75.00 %	2.18%
Black	693	42	6.06%	***	19.05%	34	80.95 %	4.91%
White	580	32	5.52%	***	28.13%	23	71.88 %	3.97%
All Other	41	***	17.07 %	.	.	***	100.0 %	17.07%
Unable to determine	198	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 12: The incidence of abuse or neglect of a child who, in the immediately preceding 24 months was not removed from the child's home following an investigation that found indicated/unsubstantiated abuse or neglect.

Technical Note: The base for this question was the number of CPS responses seen in Question 1: The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations. For the youth identified in the base any prior Investigations that had a disposition of Indicated or Unsubstantiated in the preceding 24 months were identified. These instances were examined to see if any of those Indicated or Unsubstantiated investigations led to the removal of the child.

Investigations completed between July 01, 2024 and June 30, 2025 – what percent had an earlier incidence of indicated abuse or neglect that lead to the child being removed from their home and being placed into out of home care in the preceding 24 months (Column D and Column D/A).

Baltimore City	Children	Maltreatment in Prior 2 years		...that led to OOH Placement		...that did not lead to OOH Placement		
Age Group	(A)	(B) n	(B/A) %	(C) n	(C/B) %	(D) n	(D/B) %	(D/A) %
Totals	5,037	395	7.84%	89	22.53%	306	77.47%	6.08%
Ages 0 to 4	1,314	87	6.62%	18	20.69%	69	79.31%	5.25%
Ages 5 to 11	2,018	152	7.53%	20	13.16%	132	86.84%	6.54%
Ages 12 to 15	1,159	113	9.75%	34	30.09%	79	69.91%	6.82%
Ages 16 to 18	517	43	8.32%	17	39.53%	26	60.47%	5.03%
Age Invalid	26	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender								
Totals	5,037	395	7.84%	89	22.53%	306	77.47%	6.08%
Female	2,636	191	7.25%	43	22.51%	148	77.49%	5.61%
Male	2,394	203	8.48%	45	22.17%	158	77.83%	6.60%
Transgender	***	***	33.33%	***	100.0%	.	.	.

Other/Unknown	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity								
Totals	5,037	395	7.84%	89	22.53%	306	77.47%	6.08%
Hispanic	406	13	3.20%	***	15.38%	11	84.62%	2.71%
Black	3,230	336	10.40%	78	23.21%	258	76.79%	7.99%
White	276	31	11.23%	***	25.81%	23	74.19%	8.33%
All Other	12	***	16.67%	.	.	***	100.0%	16.67%
Unable to determine	1,113	13	1.17%	***	7.69%	12	92.31%	1.08%

Question 12: The incidence of abuse or neglect of a child who, in the immediately preceding 24 months was not removed from the child's home following an investigation that found indicated/unsubstantiated abuse or neglect.

Technical Note: The base for this question was the number of CPS responses seen in Question 1: The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations. For the youth identified in the base any prior Investigations that had a disposition of Indicated or Unsubstantiated in the preceding 24 months were identified. These instances were examined to see if any of those Indicated or Unsubstantiated investigations led to the removal of the child.

Investigations completed between July 01, 2024 and June 30, 2025 – what percent had an earlier incidence of indicated abuse or neglect that lead to the child being removed from their home and being placed into out of home care in the preceding 24 months (Column D and Column D/A).

Baltimore County	Children	Maltreatment in Prior 2 years		...that led to OOH Placement		...that did not lead to OOH Placement		
Age Group	(A)	(B) n	(B/A) %	(C) n	(C/B) %	(D) n	(D/B) %	(D/A) %
Totals	3,262	265	8.12%	45	16.98%	220	83.02%	6.74%
Ages 0 to 4	677	43	6.35%	***	13.95%	37	86.05%	5.47%
Ages 5 to 11	1,399	117	8.36%	20	17.09%	97	82.91%	6.93%
Ages 12 to 15	826	68	8.23%	***	13.24%	59	86.76%	7.14%
Ages 16 to 18	358	37	10.34%	10	27.03%	27	72.97%	7.54%
Age Invalid	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender								
Totals	3,262	265	8.12%	45	16.98%	220	83.02%	6.74%
Female	1,708	151	8.84%	31	20.53%	120	79.47%	7.03%
Male	1,544	113	7.32%	13	11.50%	100	88.50%	6.48%
Transgender	***	***	14.29%	***	100.0%	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity								

Totals	3,262	265	8.12%	45	16.98%	220	83.02 %	6.74%
Hispanic	340	37	10.88 %	***	13.51%	32	86.49 %	9.41%
Black	1,421	133	9.36%	25	18.80%	108	81.20 %	7.60%
White	741	83	11.20%	13	15.66%	70	84.34 %	9.45%
All Other	58	***	5.17%	.	.	***	100.0 %	5.17%
Unable to determine	702	***	1.28%	***	22.22%	***	77.78 %	1.00%

Question 12: The incidence of abuse or neglect of a child who, in the immediately preceding 24 months was not removed from the child's home following an investigation that found indicated/unsubstantiated abuse or neglect.

Technical Note: The base for this question was the number of CPS responses seen in Question 1: The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations. For the youth identified in the base any prior Investigations that had a disposition of Indicated or Unsubstantiated in the preceding 24 months were identified. These instances were examined to see if any of those Indicated or Unsubstantiated investigations led to the removal of the child.

Investigations completed between July 01, 2024 and June 30, 2025 – what percent had an earlier incidence of indicated abuse or neglect that lead to the child being removed from their home and being placed into out of home care in the preceding 24 months (Column D and Column D/A).

Calvert	Children	Maltreatment in Prior 2 years		...that led to OOH Placement		...that did not lead to OOH Placement		
Age Group	(A)	(B) n	(B/A) %	(C) n	(C/B) %	(D) n	(D/B) %	(D/A) %
Totals	387	25	6.46%	.	.	25	100.0 %	6.46%
Ages 0 to 4	119	***	7.56%	.	.	***	100.0 %	7.56%
Ages 5 to 11	142	***	2.82%	.	.	***	100.0 %	2.82%
Ages 12 to 15	89	11	12.36 %	.	.	11	100.0 %	12.36%
Ages 16 to 18	36	***	2.78%	.	.	***	100.0 %	2.78%
Age Invalid	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender								
Totals	387	25	6.46%	.	.	25	100.0 %	6.46%
Female	197	18	9.14%	.	.	18	100.0 %	9.14%
Male	188	***	3.72%	.	.	***	100.0 %	3.72%
Transgender	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity								

Totals	387	25	6.46%	.	.	25	100.0 %	6.46%
Hispanic	27	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	126	***	3.97%	.	.	***	100.0 %	3.97%
White	192	19	9.90%	.	.	19	100.0 %	9.90%
All Other	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	34	***	2.94%	.	.	***	100.0 %	2.94%

Question 12: The incidence of abuse or neglect of a child who, in the immediately preceding 24 months was not removed from the child's home following an investigation that found indicated/unsubstantiated abuse or neglect.

Technical Note: The base for this question was the number of CPS responses seen in Question 1: The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations. For the youth identified in the base any prior Investigations that had a disposition of Indicated or Unsubstantiated in the preceding 24 months were identified. These instances were examined to see if any of those Indicated or Unsubstantiated investigations led to the removal of the child.

Investigations completed between July 01, 2024 and June 30, 2025 – what percent had an earlier incidence of indicated abuse or neglect that lead to the child being removed from their home and being placed into out of home care in the preceding 24 months (Column D and Column D/A).

Caroline	Children	Maltreatment in Prior 2 years		...that led to OOH Placement		...that did not lead to OOH Placement		
Age Group	(A)	(B) n	(B/A) %	(C) n	(C/B) %	(D) n	(D/B) %	(D/A) %
Totals	195	15	7.69%	***	13.33%	13	86.67%	6.67%
Ages 0 to 4	62	***	8.06%	.	.	***	100.0%	8.06%
Ages 5 to 11	75	***	4.00%	.	.	***	100.0%	4.00%
Ages 12 to 15	31	***	6.45%	.	.	***	100.0%	6.45%
Ages 16 to 18	27	***	18.52%	***	40.00%	***	60.00%	11.11%
Age Invalid	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender								
Totals	195	15	7.69%	***	13.33%	13	86.67%	6.67%
Female	115	***	6.96%	.	.	***	100.0%	6.96%
Male	79	***	8.86%	***	28.57%	***	71.43%	6.33%
Transgender	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity								
Totals	195	15	7.69%	***	13.33%	13	86.67%	6.67%

Hispanic	17	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	39	***	12.82 %	***	20.00 %	***	80.00 %	10.26%
White	80	10	12.50 %	***	10.00%	***	90.00 %	11.25%
All Other	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	57	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 12: The incidence of abuse or neglect of a child who, in the immediately preceding 24 months was not removed from the child's home following an investigation that found indicated/unsubstantiated abuse or neglect.

Technical Note: The base for this question was the number of CPS responses seen in Question 1: The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations. For the youth identified in the base any prior Investigations that had a disposition of Indicated or Unsubstantiated in the preceding 24 months were identified. These instances were examined to see if any of those Indicated or Unsubstantiated investigations led to the removal of the child.

Investigations completed between July 01, 2024 and June 30, 2025 – what percent had an earlier incidence of indicated abuse or neglect that lead to the child being removed from their home and being placed into out of home care in the preceding 24 months (Column D and Column D/A).

Carroll	Children	Maltreatment in Prior 2 years		...that led to OOH Placement		...that did not lead to OOH Placement		
Age Group	(A)	(B) n	(B/A) %	(C) n	(C/B) %	(D) n	(D/B) %	(D/A) %
Totals	622	39	6.27%	***	23.08 %	30	76.92 %	4.82%
Ages 0 to 4	138	***	6.52%	***	11.11%	***	88.89 %	5.80%
Ages 5 to 11	279	17	6.09%	***	23.53%	13	76.47 %	4.66%
Ages 12 to 15	151	12	7.95%	***	33.33%	***	66.67 %	5.30%
Ages 16 to 18	54	***	1.85%	.	.	***	100.0 %	1.85%
Age Invalid	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender								
Totals	622	39	6.27%	***	23.08 %	30	76.92 %	4.82%
Female	288	20	6.94%	***	25.00 %	15	75.00 %	5.21%
Male	330	18	5.45%	***	22.22%	14	77.78 %	4.24%
Transgender	***	***	33.33 %	.	.	***	100.0 %	33.33%
Other/Unknown	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity								

Totals	622	39	6.27%	***	23.08 %	30	76.92 %	4.82%
Hispanic	56	***	7.14%	***	75.00 %	***	25.00 %	1.79%
Black	60	***	8.33%	***	60.00 %	***	40.00 %	3.33%
White	268	27	10.07 %	***	11.11%	24	88.89 %	8.96%
All Other	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	231	***	1.30%	.	.	***	100.0 %	1.30%

Question 12: The incidence of abuse or neglect of a child who, in the immediately preceding 24 months was not removed from the child's home following an investigation that found indicated/unsubstantiated abuse or neglect.

Technical Note: The base for this question was the number of CPS responses seen in Question 1: The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations. For the youth identified in the base any prior Investigations that had a disposition of Indicated or Unsubstantiated in the preceding 24 months were identified. These instances were examined to see if any of those Indicated or Unsubstantiated investigations led to the removal of the child.

Investigations completed between July 01, 2024 and June 30, 2025 – what percent had an earlier incidence of indicated abuse or neglect that lead to the child being removed from their home and being placed into out of home care in the preceding 24 months (Column D and Column D/A).

Cecil	Children	Maltreatment in Prior 2 years		...that led to OOH Placement		...that did not lead to OOH Placement		
Age Group	(A)	(B) n	(B/A) %	(C) n	(C/B) %	(D) n	(D/B) %	(D/A) %
Totals	490	67	13.67%	***	5.97%	63	94.03%	12.86%
Ages 0 to 4	156	28	17.95%	***	7.14%	26	92.86%	16.67%
Ages 5 to 11	231	31	13.42%	.	.	31	100.0%	13.42%
Ages 12 to 15	70	***	10.00%	***	14.29%	***	85.71%	8.57%
Ages 16 to 18	33	***	3.03%	***	100.0%	.	.	.
Age Invalid	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender								
Totals	490	67	13.67%	***	5.97%	63	94.03%	12.86%
Female	229	25	10.92%	***	4.00%	24	96.00%	10.48%
Male	255	41	16.08%	***	4.88%	39	95.12%	15.29%
Transgender	***	***	50.00%	***	100.0%	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity								
Totals	490	67	13.67%	***	5.97%	63	94.03%	12.86%

Hispanic	25	***	8.00 %	.	.	***	100.0 %	8.00%
Black	89	10	11.24%	.	.	10	100.0 %	11.24%
White	241	54	22.41 %	***	7.41%	50	92.59 %	20.75%
All Other	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	135	***	0.74%	.	.	***	100.0 %	0.74%

Question 12: The incidence of abuse or neglect of a child who, in the immediately preceding 24 months was not removed from the child's home following an investigation that found indicated/unsubstantiated abuse or neglect.

Technical Note: The base for this question was the number of CPS responses seen in Question 1: The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations. For the youth identified in the base any prior Investigations that had a disposition of Indicated or Unsubstantiated in the preceding 24 months were identified. These instances were examined to see if any of those Indicated or Unsubstantiated investigations led to the removal of the child.

Investigations completed between July 01, 2024 and June 30, 2025 – what percent had an earlier incidence of indicated abuse or neglect that lead to the child being removed from their home and being placed into out of home care in the preceding 24 months (Column D and Column D/A).

Charles	Children	Maltreatment in Prior 2 years		...that led to OOH Placement		...that did not lead to OOH Placement		
Age Group	(A)	(B) n	(B/A) %	(C) n	(C/B) %	(D) n	(D/B) %	(D/A) %
Totals	442	23	5.20%	***	13.04%	20	86.96 %	4.52%
Ages 0 to 4	70	***	2.86%	.	.	***	100.0 %	2.86%
Ages 5 to 11	203	12	5.91%	***	16.67%	10	83.33 %	4.93%
Ages 12 to 15	118	***	5.08%	.	.	***	100.0 %	5.08%
Ages 16 to 18	50	***	6.00%	***	33.33%	***	66.67 %	4.00%
Age Invalid	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender								
Totals	442	23	5.20%	***	13.04%	20	86.96 %	4.52%
Female	221	15	6.79%	***	13.33%	13	86.67 %	5.88%
Male	218	***	3.67%	***	12.50%	***	87.50 %	3.21%
Transgender	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity								
Totals	442	23	5.20%	***	13.04%	20	86.96 %	4.52%

Hispanic	61	***	3.28%	.	.	***	100.0 %	3.28%
Black	194	13	6.70%	***	23.08 %	10	76.92 %	5.15%
White	61	***	11.48 %	.	.	***	100.0 %	11.48%
All Other	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	119	***	0.84%	.	.	***	100.0 %	0.84%

Question 12: The incidence of abuse or neglect of a child who, in the immediately preceding 24 months was not removed from the child's home following an investigation that found indicated/unsubstantiated abuse or neglect.

Technical Note: The base for this question was the number of CPS responses seen in Question 1: The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations. For the youth identified in the base any prior Investigations that had a disposition of Indicated or Unsubstantiated in the preceding 24 months were identified. These instances were examined to see if any of those Indicated or Unsubstantiated investigations led to the removal of the child.

Investigations completed between July 01, 2024 and June 30, 2025 – what percent had an earlier incidence of indicated abuse or neglect that lead to the child being removed from their home and being placed into out of home care in the preceding 24 months (Column D and Column D/A).

Dorchester	Children	Maltreatment in Prior 2 years		...that led to OOH Placement		...that did not lead to OOH Placement		
Age Group	(A)	(B) n	(B/A) %	(C) n	(C/B) %	(D) n	(D/B) %	(D/A) %
Totals	239	18	7.53%	***	5.56%	17	94.44%	7.11%
Ages 0 to 4	76	***	7.89%	.	.	***	100.0%	7.89%
Ages 5 to 11	107	***	4.67%	.	.	***	100.0%	4.67%
Ages 12 to 15	43	***	9.30%	.	.	***	100.0%	9.30%
Ages 16 to 18	13	***	23.08%	***	33.33%	***	66.67%	15.38%
Age Invalid	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender								
Totals	239	18	7.53%	***	5.56%	17	94.44%	7.11%
Female	106	***	6.60%	***	14.29%	***	85.71%	5.66%
Male	132	11	8.33%	.	.	11	100.0%	8.33%
Transgender	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity								
Totals	239	18	7.53%	***	5.56%	17	94.44%	7.11%

Hispanic	17	***	23.53 %	.	.	***	100.0 %	23.53%
Black	95	***	8.42%	.	.	***	100.0 %	8.42%
White	48	***	4.17%	.	.	***	100.0 %	4.17%
All Other	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	79	***	5.06%	***	25.00 %	***	75.00 %	3.80%

Question 12: The incidence of abuse or neglect of a child who, in the immediately preceding 24 months was not removed from the child's home following an investigation that found indicated/unsubstantiated abuse or neglect.

Technical Note: The base for this question was the number of CPS responses seen in Question 1: The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations. For the youth identified in the base any prior Investigations that had a disposition of Indicated or Unsubstantiated in the preceding 24 months were identified. These instances were examined to see if any of those Indicated or Unsubstantiated investigations led to the removal of the child.

Investigations completed between July 01, 2024 and June 30, 2025 – what percent had an earlier incidence of indicated abuse or neglect that lead to the child being removed from their home and being placed into out of home care in the preceding 24 months (Column D and Column D/A).

Frederick	Children	Maltreatment in Prior 2 years		...that led to OOH Placement		...that did not lead to OOH Placement		
Age Group	(A)	(B) n	(B/A) %	(C) n	(C/B) %	(D) n	(D/B) %	(D/A) %
Totals	1,026	84	8.19%	***	10.71%	75	89.29 %	7.31%
Ages 0 to 4	220	***	4.09%	***	33.33%	***	66.67 %	2.73%
Ages 5 to 11	434	40	9.22%	***	5.00%	38	95.00 %	8.76%
Ages 12 to 15	260	21	8.08%	***	4.76%	20	95.24 %	7.69%
Ages 16 to 18	112	14	12.50 %	***	21.43%	11	78.57 %	9.82%
Age Invalid	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender								
Totals	1,026	84	8.19%	***	10.71%	75	89.29 %	7.31%
Female	540	43	7.96%	***	9.30%	39	90.70 %	7.22%
Male	476	41	8.61%	***	12.20%	36	87.80 %	7.56%
Transgender	10	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity								
Totals	1,026	84	8.19%	***	10.71%	75	89.29 %	7.31%

Hispanic	223	23	10.31%	***	17.39%	19	82.61 %	8.52%
Black	353	41	11.61%	***	4.88%	39	95.12 %	11.05%
White	390	19	4.87%	***	15.79%	16	84.21 %	4.10%
All Other	44	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	16	***	6.25%	.	.	***	100.0 %	6.25%

Question 12: The incidence of abuse or neglect of a child who, in the immediately preceding 24 months was not removed from the child's home following an investigation that found indicated/unsubstantiated abuse or neglect.

Technical Note: The base for this question was the number of CPS responses seen in Question 1: The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations. For the youth identified in the base any prior Investigations that had a disposition of Indicated or Unsubstantiated in the preceding 24 months were identified. These instances were examined to see if any of those Indicated or Unsubstantiated investigations led to the removal of the child.

Investigations completed between July 01, 2024 and June 30, 2025 – what percent had an earlier incidence of indicated abuse or neglect that lead to the child being removed from their home and being placed into out of home care in the preceding 24 months (Column D and Column D/A).

Garrett	Children	Maltreatment in Prior 2 years		...that led to OOH Placement		...that did not lead to OOH Placement		
Age Group	(A)	(B) n	(B/A) %	(C) n	(C/B) %	(D) n	(D/B) %	(D/A) %
Totals	285	36	12.63 %	***	2.78%	35	97.22 %	12.28%
Ages 0 to 4	83	***	10.84 %	.	.	***	100.0 %	10.84%
Ages 5 to 11	127	19	14.96 %	***	5.26%	18	94.74 %	14.17%
Ages 12 to 15	53	***	11.32%	.	.	***	100.0 %	11.32%
Ages 16 to 18	22	***	9.09%	.	.	***	100.0 %	9.09%
Age Invalid	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender								
Totals	285	36	12.63 %	***	2.78%	35	97.22 %	12.28%
Female	140	15	10.71%	***	6.67%	14	93.33 %	10.00%
Male	144	21	14.58 %	.	.	21	100.0 %	14.58%
Transgender	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity								
Totals	285	36	12.63 %	***	2.78%	35	97.22 %	12.28%

Hispanic	***	***	33.33 %	.	.	***	100.0 %	33.33%
Black	21	***	23.81 %	.	.	***	100.0 %	23.81%
White	227	30	13.22 %	***	3.33%	29	96.67 %	12.78%
All Other	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	33	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 12: The incidence of abuse or neglect of a child who, in the immediately preceding 24 months was not removed from the child's home following an investigation that found indicated/unsubstantiated abuse or neglect.

Technical Note: The base for this question was the number of CPS responses seen in Question 1: The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations. For the youth identified in the base any prior Investigations that had a disposition of Indicated or Unsubstantiated in the preceding 24 months were identified. These instances were examined to see if any of those Indicated or Unsubstantiated investigations led to the removal of the child.

Investigations completed between July 01, 2024 and June 30, 2025 – what percent had an earlier incidence of indicated abuse or neglect that lead to the child being removed from their home and being placed into out of home care in the preceding 24 months (Column D and Column D/A).

Harford	Children	Maltreatment in Prior 2 years		...that led to OOH Placement		...that did not lead to OOH Placement		
Age Group	(A)	(B) n	(B/A) %	(C) n	(C/B) %	(D) n	(D/B) %	(D/A) %
Totals	1,480	176	11.89%	28	15.91%	148	84.09%	10.00%
Ages 0 to 4	369	34	9.21%	***	14.71%	29	85.29%	7.86%
Ages 5 to 11	705	101	14.33%	14	13.86%	87	86.14%	12.34%
Ages 12 to 15	273	30	10.99%	***	10.00%	27	90.00%	9.89%
Ages 16 to 18	131	11	8.40%	***	54.55%	***	45.45%	3.82%
Age Invalid	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender								
Totals	1,480	176	11.89%	28	15.91%	148	84.09%	10.00%
Female	722	88	12.19%	14	15.91%	74	84.09%	10.25%
Male	752	87	11.57%	13	14.94%	74	85.06%	9.84%
Transgender	***	***	50.00%	***	100.0%	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity								

Totals	1,480	176	11.89 %	28	15.91%	148	84.09 %	10.00%
Hispanic	58	***	13.79 %	.	.	***	100.0 %	13.79%
Black	511	82	16.05 %	16	19.51%	66	80.49 %	12.92%
White	571	79	13.84 %	12	15.19%	67	84.81 %	11.73%
All Other	21	***	9.52%	.	.	***	100.0 %	9.52%
Unable to determine	319	***	1.57%	.	.	***	100.0 %	1.57%

Question 12: The incidence of abuse or neglect of a child who, in the immediately preceding 24 months was not removed from the child's home following an investigation that found indicated/unsubstantiated abuse or neglect.

Technical Note: The base for this question was the number of CPS responses seen in Question 1: The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations. For the youth identified in the base any prior Investigations that had a disposition of Indicated or Unsubstantiated in the preceding 24 months were identified. These instances were examined to see if any of those Indicated or Unsubstantiated investigations led to the removal of the child.

Investigations completed between July 01, 2024 and June 30, 2025 – what percent had an earlier incidence of indicated abuse or neglect that lead to the child being removed from their home and being placed into out of home care in the preceding 24 months (Column D and Column D/A).

Howard	Children	Maltreatment in Prior 2 years		...that led to OOH Placement		...that did not lead to OOH Placement		
Age Group	(A)	(B) n	(B/A) %	(C) n	(C/B) %	(D) n	(D/B) %	(D/A) %
Totals	696	44	6.32%	***	6.82%	41	93.18 %	5.89%
Ages 0 to 4	121	***	3.31%	***	50.00 %	***	50.00 %	1.65%
Ages 5 to 11	298	10	3.36%	***	10.00%	***	90.00 %	3.02%
Ages 12 to 15	178	18	10.11%	.	.	18	100.0 %	10.11%
Ages 16 to 18	98	12	12.24 %	.	.	12	100.0 %	12.24%
Age Invalid	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender								
Totals	696	44	6.32%	***	6.82%	41	93.18 %	5.89%
Female	375	32	8.53%	***	6.25%	30	93.75 %	8.00%
Male	317	12	3.79%	***	8.33%	11	91.67 %	3.47%
Transgender	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity								
Totals	696	44	6.32%	***	6.82%	41	93.18 %	5.89%

Hispanic	130	***	6.15%	***	12.50%	***	87.50 %	5.38%
Black	339	28	8.26%	***	3.57%	27	96.43 %	7.96%
White	109	***	5.50%	***	16.67%	***	83.33 %	4.59%
All Other	58	***	3.45%	.	.	***	100.0 %	3.45%
Unable to determine	60	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 12: The incidence of abuse or neglect of a child who, in the immediately preceding 24 months was not removed from the child's home following an investigation that found indicated/unsubstantiated abuse or neglect.

Technical Note: The base for this question was the number of CPS responses seen in Question 1: The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations. For the youth identified in the base any prior Investigations that had a disposition of Indicated or Unsubstantiated in the preceding 24 months were identified. These instances were examined to see if any of those Indicated or Unsubstantiated investigations led to the removal of the child.

Investigations completed between July 01, 2024 and June 30, 2025 – what percent had an earlier incidence of indicated abuse or neglect that lead to the child being removed from their home and being placed into out of home care in the preceding 24 months (Column D and Column D/A).

Kent	Children	Maltreatment in Prior 2 years		...that led to OOH Placement		...that did not lead to OOH Placement		
Age Group	(A)	(B) n	(B/A) %	(C) n	(C/B) %	(D) n	(D/B) %	(D/A) %
Totals	70	***	8.57%	.	.	***	100.0 %	8.57%
Ages 0 to 4	23	***	13.04 %	.	.	***	100.0 %	13.04%
Ages 5 to 11	26	***	3.85%	.	.	***	100.0 %	3.85%
Ages 12 to 15	16	***	12.50 %	.	.	***	100.0 %	12.50%
Ages 16 to 18	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Age Invalid	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender								
Totals	70	***	8.57%	.	.	***	100.0 %	8.57%
Female	39	***	5.13%	.	.	***	100.0 %	5.13%
Male	31	***	12.90 %	.	.	***	100.0 %	12.90%
Transgender	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity								
Totals	70	***	8.57%	.	.	***	100.0 %	8.57%
Hispanic	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Black	18	***	5.56%	.	.	***	100.0 %	5.56%
White	29	***	6.90%	.	.	***	100.0 %	6.90%
All Other	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	19	***	15.79 %	.	.	***	100.0 %	15.79%

Question 12: The incidence of abuse or neglect of a child who, in the immediately preceding 24 months was not removed from the child's home following an investigation that found indicated/unsubstantiated abuse or neglect.

Technical Note: The base for this question was the number of CPS responses seen in Question 1: The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations. For the youth identified in the base any prior Investigations that had a disposition of Indicated or Unsubstantiated in the preceding 24 months were identified. These instances were examined to see if any of those Indicated or Unsubstantiated investigations led to the removal of the child.

Investigations completed between July 01, 2024 and June 30, 2025 – what percent had an earlier incidence of indicated abuse or neglect that lead to the child being removed from their home and being placed into out of home care in the preceding 24 months (Column D and Column D/A).

Montgomery	Children	Maltreatment in Prior 2 years		...that led to OOH Placement		...that did not lead to OOH Placement		
Age Group	(A)	(B) n	(B/A) %	(C) n	(C/B) %	(D) n	(D/B) %	(D/A) %
Totals	2,118	154	7.27%	***	4.55%	147	95.45%	6.94%
Ages 0 to 4	438	40	9.13%	***	7.50%	37	92.50%	8.45%
Ages 5 to 11	933	54	5.79%	***	1.85%	53	98.15%	5.68%
Ages 12 to 15	532	46	8.65%	***	4.35%	44	95.65%	8.27%
Ages 16 to 18	213	14	6.57%	***	7.14%	13	92.86%	6.10%
Age Invalid	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender								
Totals	2,118	154	7.27%	***	4.55%	147	95.45%	6.94%
Female	1,134	92	8.11%	***	5.43%	87	94.57%	7.67%
Male	967	60	6.20%	***	3.33%	58	96.67%	6.00%
Transgender	***	***	28.57%	.	.	***	100.0%	28.57%
Other/Unknown	10	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity								

Totals	2,118	154	7.27%	***	4.55%	147	95.45 %	6.94%
Hispanic	847	61	7.20%	***	1.64%	60	98.36 %	7.08%
Black	689	82	11.90 %	***	7.32%	76	92.68 %	11.03%
White	140	***	6.43%	.	.	***	100.0 %	6.43%
All Other	73	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	369	***	0.54%	.	.	***	100.0 %	0.54%

Question 12: The incidence of abuse or neglect of a child who, in the immediately preceding 24 months was not removed from the child's home following an investigation that found indicated/unsubstantiated abuse or neglect.

Technical Note: The base for this question was the number of CPS responses seen in Question 1: The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations. For the youth identified in the base any prior Investigations that had a disposition of Indicated or Unsubstantiated in the preceding 24 months were identified. These instances were examined to see if any of those Indicated or Unsubstantiated investigations led to the removal of the child.

Investigations completed between July 01, 2024 and June 30, 2025 – what percent had an earlier incidence of indicated abuse or neglect that lead to the child being removed from their home and being placed into out of home care in the preceding 24 months (Column D and Column D/A).

Prince George's	Children	Maltreatment in Prior 2 years		...that led to OOH Placement		...that did not lead to OOH Placement		
Age Group	(A)	(B) n	(B/A) %	(C) n	(C/B) %	(D) n	(D/B) %	(D/A) %
Totals	2,473	104	4.21%	15	14.42%	89	85.58 %	3.60%
Ages 0 to 4	607	15	2.47%	***	20.00 %	12	80.00 %	1.98%
Ages 5 to 11	1,027	44	4.28%	***	13.64%	38	86.36 %	3.70%
Ages 12 to 15	620	25	4.03%	***	16.00%	21	84.00 %	3.39%
Ages 16 to 18	211	20	9.48%	***	10.00%	18	90.00 %	8.53%
Age Invalid	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender								
Totals	2,473	104	4.21%	15	14.42%	89	85.58 %	3.60%
Female	1,263	47	3.72%	***	8.51%	43	91.49 %	3.40%
Male	1,202	56	4.66%	11	19.64%	45	80.36 %	3.74%
Transgender	***	***	25.00 %	.	.	***	100.0 %	25.00%
Other/Unknown	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity								

Totals	2,473	104	4.21%	15	14.42%	89	85.58 %	3.60%
Hispanic	423	16	3.78%	***	18.75%	13	81.25 %	3.07%
Black	767	61	7.95%	***	11.48%	54	88.52 %	7.04%
White	44	***	9.09%	***	50.00 %	***	50.00 %	4.55%
All Other	12	***	8.33%	.	.	***	100.0 %	8.33%
Unable to determine	1,227	22	1.79%	***	13.64%	19	86.36 %	1.55%

Question 12: The incidence of abuse or neglect of a child who, in the immediately preceding 24 months was not removed from the child's home following an investigation that found indicated/unsubstantiated abuse or neglect.

Technical Note: The base for this question was the number of CPS responses seen in Question 1: The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations. For the youth identified in the base any prior Investigations that had a disposition of Indicated or Unsubstantiated in the preceding 24 months were identified. These instances were examined to see if any of those Indicated or Unsubstantiated investigations led to the removal of the child.

Investigations completed between July 01, 2024 and June 30, 2025 – what percent had an earlier incidence of indicated abuse or neglect that lead to the child being removed from their home and being placed into out of home care in the preceding 24 months (Column D and Column D/A).

Queen Anne's	Children	Maltreatment in Prior 2 years		...that led to OOH Placement		...that did not lead to OOH Placement		
Age Group	(A)	(B) n	(B/A) %	(C) n	(C/B) %	(D) n	(D/B) %	(D/A) %
Totals	141	***	2.13%	.	.	***	100.0 %	2.13%
Ages 0 to 4	36	***	2.78%	.	.	***	100.0 %	2.78%
Ages 5 to 11	47	***	4.26%	.	.	***	100.0 %	4.26%
Ages 12 to 15	43	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Ages 16 to 18	15	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Age Invalid	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender								
Totals	141	***	2.13%	.	.	***	100.0 %	2.13%
Female	83	***	2.41%	.	.	***	100.0 %	2.41%
Male	57	***	1.75%	.	.	***	100.0 %	1.75%
Transgender	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity								
Totals	141	***	2.13%	.	.	***	100.0 %	2.13%
Hispanic	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	15	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

White	61	***	4.92%	.	.	***	100.0 %	4.92%
All Other	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	58	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 12: The incidence of abuse or neglect of a child who, in the immediately preceding 24 months was not removed from the child's home following an investigation that found indicated/unsubstantiated abuse or neglect.

Technical Note: The base for this question was the number of CPS responses seen in Question 1: The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations. For the youth identified in the base any prior Investigations that had a disposition of Indicated or Unsubstantiated in the preceding 24 months were identified. These instances were examined to see if any of those Indicated or Unsubstantiated investigations led to the removal of the child.

Investigations completed between July 01, 2024 and June 30, 2025 – what percent had an earlier incidence of indicated abuse or neglect that lead to the child being removed from their home and being placed into out of home care in the preceding 24 months (Column D and Column D/A).

Somerset	Children	Maltreatment in Prior 2 years		...that led to OOH Placement		...that did not lead to OOH Placement		
Age Group	(A)	(B) n	(B/A) %	(C) n	(C/B) %	(D) n	(D/B) %	(D/A) %
Totals	213	18	8.45%	.	.	18	100.0 %	8.45%
Ages 0 to 4	64	***	6.25%	.	.	***	100.0 %	6.25%
Ages 5 to 11	90	***	10.00 %	.	.	***	100.0 %	10.00%
Ages 12 to 15	48	***	10.42 %	.	.	***	100.0 %	10.42%
Ages 16 to 18	11	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Age Invalid	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender								
Totals	213	18	8.45%	.	.	18	100.0 %	8.45%
Female	108	***	7.41%	.	.	***	100.0 %	7.41%
Male	105	10	9.52%	.	.	10	100.0 %	9.52%
Transgender	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity								
Totals	213	18	8.45%	.	.	18	100.0 %	8.45%
Hispanic	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Black	104	***	8.65%	.	.	***	100.0 %	8.65%
White	71	***	12.68 %	.	.	***	100.0 %	12.68%
All Other	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	31	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 12: The incidence of abuse or neglect of a child who, in the immediately preceding 24 months was not removed from the child's home following an investigation that found indicated/unsubstantiated abuse or neglect.

Technical Note: The base for this question was the number of CPS responses seen in Question 1: The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations. For the youth identified in the base any prior Investigations that had a disposition of Indicated or Unsubstantiated in the preceding 24 months were identified. These instances were examined to see if any of those Indicated or Unsubstantiated investigations led to the removal of the child.

Investigations completed between July 01, 2024 and June 30, 2025 – what percent had an earlier incidence of indicated abuse or neglect that lead to the child being removed from their home and being placed into out of home care in the preceding 24 months (Column D and Column D/A).

St. Mary's	Children	Maltreatment in Prior 2 years		...that led to OOH Placement		...that did not lead to OOH Placement		
Age Group	(A)	(B) n	(B/A) %	(C) n	(C/B) %	(D) n	(D/B) %	(D/A) %
Totals	403	20	4.96%	***	15.00%	17	85.00 %	4.22%
Ages 0 to 4	88	***	5.68%	.	.	***	100.0 %	5.68%
Ages 5 to 11	174	***	5.17%	.	.	***	100.0 %	5.17%
Ages 12 to 15	99	***	5.05%	***	60.00 %	***	40.00 %	2.02%
Ages 16 to 18	42	***	2.38%	.	.	***	100.0 %	2.38%
Age Invalid	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender								
Totals	403	20	4.96%	***	15.00%	17	85.00 %	4.22%
Female	208	13	6.25%	***	23.08 %	10	76.92 %	4.81%
Male	195	***	3.59%	.	.	***	100.0 %	3.59%
Transgender	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity								
Totals	403	20	4.96%	***	15.00%	17	85.00 %	4.22%

Hispanic	15	***	6.67%	***	100.0%	.	.	.
Black	85	***	7.06%	***	16.67%	***	83.33 %	5.88%
White	109	***	6.42%	.	.	***	100.0 %	6.42%
All Other	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	191	***	3.14%	***	16.67%	***	83.33 %	2.62%

Question 12: The incidence of abuse or neglect of a child who, in the immediately preceding 24 months was not removed from the child's home following an investigation that found indicated/unsubstantiated abuse or neglect.

Technical Note: The base for this question was the number of CPS responses seen in Question 1: The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations. For the youth identified in the base any prior Investigations that had a disposition of Indicated or Unsubstantiated in the preceding 24 months were identified. These instances were examined to see if any of those Indicated or Unsubstantiated investigations led to the removal of the child.

Investigations completed between July 01, 2024 and June 30, 2025 – what percent had an earlier incidence of indicated abuse or neglect that lead to the child being removed from their home and being placed into out of home care in the preceding 24 months (Column D and Column D/A).

Talbot	Children	Maltreatment in Prior 2 years		...that led to OOH Placement		...that did not lead to OOH Placement		
Age Group	(A)	(B) n	(B/A) %	(C) n	(C/B) %	(D) n	(D/B) %	(D/A) %
Totals	124	***	7.26%	***	11.11%	***	88.89%	6.45%
Ages 0 to 4	35	***	14.29%	.	.	***	100.0%	14.29%
Ages 5 to 11	46	***	4.35%	.	.	***	100.0%	4.35%
Ages 12 to 15	30	***	3.33%	.	.	***	100.0%	3.33%
Ages 16 to 18	13	***	7.69%	***	100.0%	.	.	.
Age Invalid	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender								
Totals	124	***	7.26%	***	11.11%	***	88.89%	6.45%
Female	58	***	3.45%	***	50.00%	***	50.00%	1.72%
Male	66	***	10.61%	.	.	***	100.0%	10.61%
Transgender	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity								
Totals	124	***	7.26%	***	11.11%	***	88.89%	6.45%
Hispanic	25	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Black	17	***	17.65 %	.	.	***	100.0 %	17.65%
White	50	***	12.00 %	***	16.67%	***	83.33 %	10.00%
All Other	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	32	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 12: The incidence of abuse or neglect of a child who, in the immediately preceding 24 months was not removed from the child's home following an investigation that found indicated/unsubstantiated abuse or neglect.

Technical Note: The base for this question was the number of CPS responses seen in Question 1: The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations. For the youth identified in the base any prior Investigations that had a disposition of Indicated or Unsubstantiated in the preceding 24 months were identified. These instances were examined to see if any of those Indicated or Unsubstantiated investigations led to the removal of the child.

Investigations completed between July 01, 2024 and June 30, 2025 – what percent had an earlier incidence of indicated abuse or neglect that lead to the child being removed from their home and being placed into out of home care in the preceding 24 months (Column D and Column D/A).

Washington	Children	Maltreatment in Prior 2 years		...that led to OOH Placement		...that did not lead to OOH Placement		
Age Group	(A)	(B) n	(B/A) %	(C) n	(C/B) %	(D) n	(D/B) %	(D/A) %
Totals	1,147	151	13.16%	24	15.89%	127	84.11%	11.07%
Ages 0 to 4	305	42	13.77%	***	14.29%	36	85.71%	11.80%
Ages 5 to 11	486	62	12.76%	***	9.68%	56	90.32%	11.52%
Ages 12 to 15	267	35	13.11%	***	22.86%	27	77.14%	10.11%
Ages 16 to 18	89	12	13.48%	***	33.33%	***	66.67%	8.99%
Age Invalid	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender								
Totals	1,147	151	13.16%	24	15.89%	127	84.11%	11.07%
Female	583	64	10.98%	16	25.00%	48	75.00%	8.23%
Male	562	86	15.30%	***	9.30%	78	90.70%	13.88%
Transgender	***	***	50.00%	.	.	***	100.0%	50.00%
Other/Unknown	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity								

Totals	1,147	151	13.16%	24	15.89%	127	84.11 %	11.07%
Hispanic	125	***	6.40%	***	25.00 %	***	75.00 %	4.80%
Black	429	61	14.22 %	10	16.39%	51	83.61 %	11.89%
White	570	82	14.39 %	12	14.63%	70	85.37 %	12.28%
All Other	10	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	13	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 12: The incidence of abuse or neglect of a child who, in the immediately preceding 24 months was not removed from the child's home following an investigation that found indicated/unsubstantiated abuse or neglect.

Technical Note: The base for this question was the number of CPS responses seen in Question 1: The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations. For the youth identified in the base any prior Investigations that had a disposition of Indicated or Unsubstantiated in the preceding 24 months were identified. These instances were examined to see if any of those Indicated or Unsubstantiated investigations led to the removal of the child.

Investigations completed between July 01, 2024 and June 30, 2025 – what percent had an earlier incidence of indicated abuse or neglect that lead to the child being removed from their home and being placed into out of home care in the preceding 24 months (Column D and Column D/A).

Wicomico	Children	Maltreatment in Prior 2 years		...that led to OOH Placement		...that did not lead to OOH Placement		
Age Group	(A)	(B) n	(B/A) %	(C) n	(C/B) %	(D) n	(D/B) %	(D/A) %
Totals	431	33	7.66%	***	3.03%	32	96.97%	7.42%
Ages 0 to 4	121	***	3.31%	.	.	***	100.0%	3.31%
Ages 5 to 11	189	14	7.41%	***	7.14%	13	92.86%	6.88%
Ages 12 to 15	89	12	13.48%	.	.	12	100.0%	13.48%
Ages 16 to 18	32	***	9.38%	.	.	***	100.0%	9.38%
Age Invalid	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender								
Totals	431	33	7.66%	***	3.03%	32	96.97%	7.42%
Female	221	17	7.69%	.	.	17	100.0%	7.69%
Male	210	16	7.62%	***	6.25%	15	93.75%	7.14%
Transgender	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity								
Totals	431	33	7.66%	***	3.03%	32	96.97%	7.42%

Hispanic	36	***	5.56%	.	.	***	100.0 %	5.56%
Black	189	20	10.58 %	***	5.00%	19	95.00 %	10.05%
White	133	10	7.52%	.	.	10	100.0 %	7.52%
All Other	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	70	***	1.43%	.	.	***	100.0 %	1.43%

Question 12: The incidence of abuse or neglect of a child who, in the immediately preceding 24 months was not removed from the child's home following an investigation that found indicated/unsubstantiated abuse or neglect.

Technical Note: The base for this question was the number of CPS responses seen in Question 1: The number of child abuse and neglect reports, alternative responses, investigative responses, and findings for completed investigations. For the youth identified in the base any prior Investigations that had a disposition of Indicated or Unsubstantiated in the preceding 24 months were identified. These instances were examined to see if any of those Indicated or Unsubstantiated investigations led to the removal of the child.

Investigations completed between July 01, 2024 and June 30, 2025 – what percent had an earlier incidence of indicated abuse or neglect that lead to the child being removed from their home and being placed into out of home care in the preceding 24 months (Column D and Column D/A).

Worcester	Children	Maltreatment in Prior 2 years		...that led to OOH Placement		...that did not lead to OOH Placement		
Age Group	(A)	(B) n	(B/A) %	(C) n	(C/B) %	(D) n	(D/B) %	(D/A) %
Totals	308	43	13.96 %	***	4.65%	41	95.35 %	13.31%
Ages 0 to 4	86	12	13.95 %	.	.	12	100.0 %	13.95%
Ages 5 to 11	144	23	15.97 %	***	8.70%	21	91.30 %	14.58%
Ages 12 to 15	61	***	8.20%	.	.	***	100.0 %	8.20%
Ages 16 to 18	17	***	17.65 %	.	.	***	100.0 %	17.65%
Age Invalid	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Age Missing	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender								
Totals	308	43	13.96 %	***	4.65%	41	95.35 %	13.31%
Female	132	16	12.12%	***	6.25%	15	93.75 %	11.36%
Male	176	27	15.34 %	***	3.70%	26	96.30 %	14.77%
Transgender	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity								
Totals	308	43	13.96 %	***	4.65%	41	95.35 %	13.31%

Hispanic	12	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	84	10	11.90 %	.	.	10	100.0 %	11.90%
White	145	31	21.38 %	***	6.45%	29	93.55 %	20.00%
All Other	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	66	***	3.03%	.	.	***	100.0 %	3.03%

Appendix 10: Question 13 – Jurisdictional Context

Question 13: The Number of Children in the Custody of a Local Department or Child Placement Agency who have a Sibling who is not in the Custody of the Same Local department or Child Placement Agency

Technical Note: Maryland does not have any children in the custody of a Child Placement Agency, the focus of this response is based on the number of children in Out of Home Care. The population is a Point in Time on the last day of SFY25, June 30, 2025. All children in care on that day are included. Any children or youth who shared a case id were determined to be siblings (that is that the caseworker determined that all youth were part of the same case/family). The analysis provides two metrics: sibling groups where there is a sibling that is not in the custody of the same local department (interpreted as there is a sibling in care who is the responsibility of a different jurisdiction); and sibling groups where the children are placed in different jurisdictions (interpreted as a sibling in care who has a placement in a different jurisdiction even if the responsibility for the siblings reside in the same jurisdiction).

Allegany	Children	Siblings	Siblings with Custody in Different Jurisdiction	Siblings with Placement in Different Jurisdiction
Category	N	n	n	n
Age Group	31	***	.	.
Ages 0 to 4	11	***	.	.
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	.	.
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	.	.
Ages 16 to 17	***	***	.	.
Ages 18 to 20	***	.	.	.
Gender	31	***	.	.
Female	12	***	.	.
Male	18	***	.	.
Transgender	***	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity	31	***	.	.
Hispanic	***	.	.	.
Black	***	.	.	.
White	23	***	.	.
All Other	***	***	.	.
Unable to Determine	***	.	.	.

Question 13: The Number of Children in the Custody of a Local Department or Child Placement Agency who have a Sibling who is not in the Custody of the Same Local department or Child Placement Agency

Technical Note: Maryland does not have any children in the custody of a Child Placement Agency, the focus of this response is based on the number of children in Out of Home Care. The population is a Point in Time on the last day of SFY25, June 30, 2025. All children in care on that day are included. Any children or youth who shared a case id were determined to be siblings (that is that the caseworker determined that all youth were part of the same case/family). The analysis provides two metrics: sibling groups where there is a sibling that is not in the custody of the same local department (interpreted as there is a sibling in care who is the responsibility of a different jurisdiction); and sibling groups where the children are placed in different jurisdictions (interpreted as a sibling in care who has a placement in a different jurisdiction even if the responsibility for the siblings reside in the same jurisdiction).

Anne Arundel	Children	Siblings	Siblings with Custody in Different Jurisdiction	Siblings with Placement in Different Jurisdiction
Category	N	n	n	n
Age Group	137	69	.	11
Ages 0 to 4	45	25	.	***
Ages 5 to 11	41	32	.	***
Ages 12 to 15	24	10	.	***
Ages 16 to 17	12	.	.	.
Ages 18 to 20	15	***	.	***
Gender	137	69	.	11
Female	66	32	.	***
Male	70	37	.	***
Transgender	***	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity	137	69	.	11
Hispanic	18	11	.	***
Black	39	16	.	***
White	52	26	.	***
All Other	26	16	.	***

Unable to Determine	***	.	.	.
------------------------	-----	---	---	---

Question 13: The Number of Children in the Custody of a Local Department or Child Placement Agency who have a Sibling who is not in the Custody of the Same Local department or Child Placement Agency

Technical Note: Maryland does not have any children in the custody of a Child Placement Agency, the focus of this response is based on the number of children in Out of Home Care. The population is a Point in Time on the last day of SFY25, June 30, 2025. All children in care on that day are included. Any children or youth who shared a case id were determined to be siblings (that is that the caseworker determined that all youth were part of the same case/family). The analysis provides two metrics: sibling groups where there is a sibling that is not in the custody of the same local department (interpreted as there is a sibling in care who is the responsibility of a different jurisdiction); and sibling groups where the children are placed in different jurisdictions (interpreted as a sibling in care who has a placement in a different jurisdiction even if the responsibility for the siblings reside in the same jurisdiction).

Baltimore City	Children	Siblings	Siblings with Custody in Different Jurisdiction	Siblings with Placement in Different Jurisdiction
Category	N	n	n	n
Age Group	1,428	695	***	130
Ages 0 to 4	419	209	***	29
Ages 5 to 11	354	244	***	58
Ages 12 to 15	238	127	.	25
Ages 16 to 17	177	61	***	***
Ages 18 to 20	240	54	.	***
Gender	1,428	695	***	130
Female	729	347	***	53
Male	693	347	***	77
Transgender	***	***	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity	1,428	695	***	130
Hispanic	77	44	.	11
Black	1,104	547	***	97
White	160	66	.	13
All Other	77	37	.	***
Unable to Determine	10	***	.	.

Question 13: The Number of Children in the Custody of a Local Department or Child Placement Agency who have a Sibling who is not in the Custody of the Same Local department or Child Placement Agency

Technical Note: Maryland does not have any children in the custody of a Child Placement Agency, the focus of this response is based on the number of children in Out of Home Care. The population is a Point in Time on the last day of SFY25, June 30, 2025. All children in care on that day are included. Any children or youth who shared a case id were determined to be siblings (that is that the caseworker determined that all youth were part of the same case/family). The analysis provides two metrics: sibling groups where there is a sibling that is not in the custody of the same local department (interpreted as there is a sibling in care who is the responsibility of a different jurisdiction); and sibling groups where the children are placed in different jurisdictions (interpreted as a sibling in care who has a placement in a different jurisdiction even if the responsibility for the siblings reside in the same jurisdiction).

Baltimore County	Children	Siblings	Siblings with Custody in Different Jurisdiction	Siblings with Placement in Different Jurisdiction
Category	N	n	n	n
Age Group Totals	567	226	***	30
Ages 0 to 4	122	50	***	10
Ages 5 to 11	152	92	.	10
Ages 12 to 15	107	44	.	***
Ages 16 to 17	89	21	.	***
Ages 18 to 20	97	19	***	***
Gender Totals	567	226	***	30
Female	265	122	.	16
Male	300	103	***	13
Transgender	***	***	***	***
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity Totals	567	226	***	30
Hispanic	59	33	.	***
Black	263	105	***	18
White	182	66	***	***
All Other	47	18	.	***

Unable to Determine	16	***	.	.
------------------------	----	-----	---	---

Question 13: The Number of Children in the Custody of a Local Department or Child Placement Agency who have a Sibling who is not in the Custody of the Same Local department or Child Placement Agency

Technical Note: Maryland does not have any children in the custody of a Child Placement Agency, the focus of this response is based on the number of children in Out of Home Care. The population is a Point in Time on the last day of SFY25, June 30, 2025. All children in care on that day are included. Any children or youth who shared a case id were determined to be siblings (that is that the caseworker determined that all youth were part of the same case/family). The analysis provides two metrics: sibling groups where there is a sibling that is not in the custody of the same local department (interpreted as there is a sibling in care who is the responsibility of a different jurisdiction); and sibling groups where the children are placed in different jurisdictions (interpreted as a sibling in care who has a placement in a different jurisdiction even if the responsibility for the siblings reside in the same jurisdiction).

Calvert	Children	Siblings	Siblings with Custody in Different Jurisdiction	Siblings with Placement in Different Jurisdiction
Category	N	n	n	n
Age Group Totals	27	11	.	.
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	.	.
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	.	.
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	.	.
Ages 16 to 17	***	.	.	.
Ages 18 to 20	***	***	.	.
Gender Totals	27	11	.	.
Female	16	***	.	.
Male	11	***	.	.
Transgender	***	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity Totals	27	11	.	.
Hispanic	***	.	.	.
Black	10	***	.	.
White	14	***	.	.
All Other	***	.	.	.

Unable to Determine	***	.	.	.
------------------------	-----	---	---	---

Question 13: The Number of Children in the Custody of a Local Department or Child Placement Agency who have a Sibling who is not in the Custody of the Same Local department or Child Placement Agency

Technical Note: Maryland does not have any children in the custody of a Child Placement Agency, the focus of this response is based on the number of children in Out of Home Care. The population is a Point in Time on the last day of SFY25, June 30, 2025. All children in care on that day are included. Any children or youth who shared a case id were determined to be siblings (that is that the caseworker determined that all youth were part of the same case/family). The analysis provides two metrics: sibling groups where there is a sibling that is not in the custody of the same local department (interpreted as there is a sibling in care who is the responsibility of a different jurisdiction); and sibling groups where the children are placed in different jurisdictions (interpreted as a sibling in care who has a placement in a different jurisdiction even if the responsibility for the siblings reside in the same jurisdiction).

Caroline	Children	Siblings	Siblings with Custody in Different Jurisdiction	Siblings with Placement in Different Jurisdiction
Category	N	n	n	n
Age Group	26	15	.	***
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	.	***
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	.	.
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	.	.
Ages 16 to 17	***	***	.	.
Ages 18 to 20	***	.	.	.
Gender	26	15	.	***
Female	13	***	.	***
Male	13	***	.	.
Transgender	***	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity	26	15	.	***
Hispanic	***	.	.	.
Black	***	***	.	.
White	15	***	.	***
All Other	***	***	.	.
Unable to Determine	***	***	.	.

Question 13: The Number of Children in the Custody of a Local Department or Child Placement Agency who have a Sibling who is not in the Custody of the Same Local department or Child Placement Agency

Technical Note: Maryland does not have any children in the custody of a Child Placement Agency, the focus of this response is based on the number of children in Out of Home Care. The population is a Point in Time on the last day of SFY25, June 30, 2025. All children in care on that day are included. Any children or youth who shared a case id were determined to be siblings (that is that the caseworker determined that all youth were part of the same case/family). The analysis provides two metrics: sibling groups where there is a sibling that is not in the custody of the same local department (interpreted as there is a sibling in care who is the responsibility of a different jurisdiction); and sibling groups where the children are placed in different jurisdictions (interpreted as a sibling in care who has a placement in a different jurisdiction even if the responsibility for the siblings reside in the same jurisdiction).

Carroll	Child en	Siblin gs	Siblings with Custody in Differen t Jurisdict ion	Siblings with Placeme nt in Differen t Jurisdict ion
Category	N	n	n	n
Age Group Totals	29	***	.	***
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	.	***
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	.	.
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	.	.
Ages 16 to 17	***	***	.	***
Ages 18 to 20	***	.	.	.
Gender Totals	29	***	.	***
Female	16	***	.	***
Male	13	***	.	***
Transgender	***	.	.	.
Other/Unkno wn	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity Totals	29	***	.	***
Hispanic	***	***	.	***
Black	***	.	.	.
White	13	***	.	***
All Other	***	.	.	.

Unable to Determine	***	.	.	.
------------------------	-----	---	---	---

Question 13: The Number of Children in the Custody of a Local Department or Child Placement Agency who have a Sibling who is not in the Custody of the Same Local department or Child Placement Agency

Technical Note: Maryland does not have any children in the custody of a Child Placement Agency, the focus of this response is based on the number of children in Out of Home Care. The population is a Point in Time on the last day of SFY25, June 30, 2025. All children in care on that day are included. Any children or youth who shared a case id were determined to be siblings (that is that the caseworker determined that all youth were part of the same case/family). The analysis provides two metrics: sibling groups where there is a sibling that is not in the custody of the same local department (interpreted as there is a sibling in care who is the responsibility of a different jurisdiction); and sibling groups where the children are placed in different jurisdictions (interpreted as a sibling in care who has a placement in a different jurisdiction even if the responsibility for the siblings reside in the same jurisdiction).

Cecil	Children	Siblings	Siblings with Custody in Different Jurisdiction	Siblings with Placement in Different Jurisdiction
Category	N	n	n	n
Age Group Totals	74	31	***	***
Ages 0 to 4	18	13	***	***
Ages 5 to 11	23	13	***	***
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	.	.
Ages 16 to 17	10	***	.	.
Ages 18 to 20	14	.	.	.
Gender Totals	74	31	***	***
Female	32	16	***	***
Male	39	15	***	***
Transgender	***	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity Totals	74	31	***	***
Hispanic	***	***	.	.
Black	12	***	.	.
White	55	23	.	.
All Other	***	***	***	***

Unable to Determine	***	.	.	.
------------------------	-----	---	---	---

Question 13: The Number of Children in the Custody of a Local Department or Child Placement Agency who have a Sibling who is not in the Custody of the Same Local department or Child Placement Agency

Technical Note: Maryland does not have any children in the custody of a Child Placement Agency, the focus of this response is based on the number of children in Out of Home Care. The population is a Point in Time on the last day of SFY25, June 30, 2025. All children in care on that day are included. Any children or youth who shared a case id were determined to be siblings (that is that the caseworker determined that all youth were part of the same case/family). The analysis provides two metrics: sibling groups where there is a sibling that is not in the custody of the same local department (interpreted as there is a sibling in care who is the responsibility of a different jurisdiction); and sibling groups where the children are placed in different jurisdictions (interpreted as a sibling in care who has a placement in a different jurisdiction even if the responsibility for the siblings reside in the same jurisdiction).

Charles	Children	Siblings	Siblings with Custody in Different Jurisdiction	Siblings with Placement in Different Jurisdiction
Category	N	n	n	n
Age Group Totals	28	12	.	.
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	.	.
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	.	.
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	.	.
Ages 16 to 17	***	***	.	.
Ages 18 to 20	***	***	.	.
Gender Totals	28	12	.	.
Female	15	***	.	.
Male	13	***	.	.
Transgender	***	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity Totals	28	12	.	.
Hispanic	***	.	.	.
Black	21	10	.	.
White	***	.	.	.
All Other	***	***	.	.

Unable to Determine	***	.	.	.
------------------------	-----	---	---	---

Question 13: The Number of Children in the Custody of a Local Department or Child Placement Agency who have a Sibling who is not in the Custody of the Same Local department or Child Placement Agency

Technical Note: Maryland does not have any children in the custody of a Child Placement Agency, the focus of this response is based on the number of children in Out of Home Care. The population is a Point in Time on the last day of SFY25, June 30, 2025. All children in care on that day are included. Any children or youth who shared a case id were determined to be siblings (that is that the caseworker determined that all youth were part of the same case/family). The analysis provides two metrics: sibling groups where there is a sibling that is not in the custody of the same local department (interpreted as there is a sibling in care who is the responsibility of a different jurisdiction); and sibling groups where the children are placed in different jurisdictions (interpreted as a sibling in care who has a placement in a different jurisdiction even if the responsibility for the siblings reside in the same jurisdiction).

Dorchester	Children	Siblings	Siblings with Custody in Different Jurisdiction	Siblings with Placement in Different Jurisdiction
Category	N	n	n	n
Age Group	34	23	.	***
Ages 0 to 4	14	13	.	***
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	.	***
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	.	.
Ages 16 to 17	***	***	.	.
Ages 18 to 20	***	***	.	.
Gender Totals	34	23	.	***
Female	16	***	.	***
Male	18	14	.	***
Transgender	***	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity	34	23	.	***
Hispanic	***	***	.	***
Black	15	11	.	***
White	***	***	.	***
All Other	***	***	.	***

Unable to Determine	***	***	.	***
------------------------	-----	-----	---	-----

Question 13: The Number of Children in the Custody of a Local Department or Child Placement Agency who have a Sibling who is not in the Custody of the Same Local department or Child Placement Agency

Technical Note: Maryland does not have any children in the custody of a Child Placement Agency, the focus of this response is based on the number of children in Out of Home Care. The population is a Point in Time on the last day of SFY25, June 30, 2025. All children in care on that day are included. Any children or youth who shared a case id were determined to be siblings (that is that the caseworker determined that all youth were part of the same case/family). The analysis provides two metrics: sibling groups where there is a sibling that is not in the custody of the same local department (interpreted as there is a sibling in care who is the responsibility of a different jurisdiction); and sibling groups where the children are placed in different jurisdictions (interpreted as a sibling in care who has a placement in a different jurisdiction even if the responsibility for the siblings reside in the same jurisdiction).

Frederick	Children	Siblings	Siblings with Custody in Different Jurisdiction	Siblings with Placement in Different Jurisdiction
Category	N	n	n	n
Age Group Totals	41	13	.	***
Ages 0 to 4	12	***	.	.
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	.	***
Ages 12 to 15	***	.	.	.
Ages 16 to 17	***	***	.	.
Ages 18 to 20	***	.	.	.
Gender Totals	41	13	.	***
Female	18	***	.	.
Male	23	***	.	***
Transgender	***	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity Totals	41	13	.	***
Hispanic	***	.	.	.
Black	17	***	.	.
White	13	***	.	***
All Other	***	***	.	.
Unable to Determine	***	.	.	.

Question 13: The Number of Children in the Custody of a Local Department or Child Placement Agency who have a Sibling who is not in the Custody of the Same Local department or Child Placement Agency

Technical Note: Maryland does not have any children in the custody of a Child Placement Agency, the focus of this response is based on the number of children in Out of Home Care. The population is a Point in Time on the last day of SFY25, June 30, 2025. All children in care on that day are included. Any children or youth who shared a case id were determined to be siblings (that is that the caseworker determined that all youth were part of the same case/family). The analysis provides two metrics: sibling groups where there is a sibling that is not in the custody of the same local department (interpreted as there is a sibling in care who is the responsibility of a different jurisdiction); and sibling groups where the children are placed in different jurisdictions (interpreted as a sibling in care who has a placement in a different jurisdiction even if the responsibility for the siblings reside in the same jurisdiction).

Garrett	Children	Siblings	Siblings with Custody in Different Jurisdiction	Siblings with Placement in Different Jurisdiction
Category	N	n	n	n
Age Group Totals	25	***	.	.
Ages 0 to 4	11	***	.	.
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	.	.
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	.	.
Ages 16 to 17	***	.	.	.
Ages 18 to 20	***	.	.	.
Gender Totals	25	***	.	.
Female	13	***	.	.
Male	11	***	.	.
Transgender	***	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity Totals	25	***	.	.
Hispanic	***	.	.	.
Black	***	.	.	.
White	21	***	.	.
All Other	***	.	.	.

Unable to Determine	***	.	.	.
------------------------	-----	---	---	---

Question 13: The Number of Children in the Custody of a Local Department or Child Placement Agency who have a Sibling who is not in the Custody of the Same Local department or Child Placement Agency

Technical Note: Maryland does not have any children in the custody of a Child Placement Agency, the focus of this response is based on the number of children in Out of Home Care. The population is a Point in Time on the last day of SFY25, June 30, 2025. All children in care on that day are included. Any children or youth who shared a case id were determined to be siblings (that is that the caseworker determined that all youth were part of the same case/family). The analysis provides two metrics: sibling groups where there is a sibling that is not in the custody of the same local department (interpreted as there is a sibling in care who is the responsibility of a different jurisdiction); and sibling groups where the children are placed in different jurisdictions (interpreted as a sibling in care who has a placement in a different jurisdiction even if the responsibility for the siblings reside in the same jurisdiction).

Harford	Children	Siblings	Siblings with Custody in Different Jurisdiction	Siblings with Placement in Different Jurisdiction
Category	N	n	n	n
Age Group Totals	157	73	***	***
Ages 0 to 4	38	23	.	***
Ages 5 to 11	33	22	***	***
Ages 12 to 15	37	20	.	.
Ages 16 to 17	21	***	.	***
Ages 18 to 20	28	***	.	.
Gender Totals	157	73	***	***
Female	79	38	.	***
Male	77	35	***	***
Transgender	***	.	.	.
Other/Unknown				
Race/Ethnicity Totals	157	73	***	***
Hispanic	***	.	.	.
Black	51	24	.	***
White	76	33	***	***
All Other	23	16	.	***

Unable to Determine	***	.	.	.
------------------------	-----	---	---	---

Question 13: The Number of Children in the Custody of a Local Department or Child Placement Agency who have a Sibling who is not in the Custody of the Same Local department or Child Placement Agency

Technical Note: Maryland does not have any children in the custody of a Child Placement Agency, the focus of this response is based on the number of children in Out of Home Care. The population is a Point in Time on the last day of SFY25, June 30, 2025. All children in care on that day are included. Any children or youth who shared a case id were determined to be siblings (that is that the caseworker determined that all youth were part of the same case/family). The analysis provides two metrics: sibling groups where there is a sibling that is not in the custody of the same local department (interpreted as there is a sibling in care who is the responsibility of a different jurisdiction); and sibling groups where the children are placed in different jurisdictions (interpreted as a sibling in care who has a placement in a different jurisdiction even if the responsibility for the siblings reside in the same jurisdiction).

Howard	Children	Siblings	Siblings with Custody in Different Jurisdiction	Siblings with Placement in Different Jurisdiction
Category	N	n	n	n
Age Group Totals	88	46	.	***
Ages 0 to 4	14	***	.	.
Ages 5 to 11	20	19	.	***
Ages 12 to 15	16	***	.	***
Ages 16 to 17	23	***	.	***
Ages 18 to 20	15	***	.	.
Gender Totals	88	46	.	***
Female	46	25	.	***
Male	41	21	.	***
Transgender	***	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity Totals	88	46	.	***
Hispanic	***	.	.	.
Black	48	27	.	***
White	21	11	.	***
All Other	13	***	.	***

Unable to Determine	***	***	.	.
------------------------	-----	-----	---	---

Question 13: The Number of Children in the Custody of a Local Department or Child Placement Agency who have a Sibling who is not in the Custody of the Same Local department or Child Placement Agency

Technical Note: Maryland does not have any children in the custody of a Child Placement Agency, the focus of this response is based on the number of children in Out of Home Care. The population is a Point in Time on the last day of SFY25, June 30, 2025. All children in care on that day are included. Any children or youth who shared a case id were determined to be siblings (that is that the caseworker determined that all youth were part of the same case/family). The analysis provides two metrics: sibling groups where there is a sibling that is not in the custody of the same local department (interpreted as there is a sibling in care who is the responsibility of a different jurisdiction); and sibling groups where the children are placed in different jurisdictions (interpreted as a sibling in care who has a placement in a different jurisdiction even if the responsibility for the siblings reside in the same jurisdiction).

Kent	Children	Siblings	Siblings with Custody in Different Jurisdiction	Siblings with Placement in Different Jurisdiction
Category	N	n	n	n
Age Group Totals	10	.	.	.
Ages 0 to 4	***	.	.	.
Ages 5 to 11	***	.	.	.
Ages 12 to 15	***	.	.	.
Ages 16 to 17	***	.	.	.
Ages 18 to 20	***	.	.	.
Gender Totals	10	.	.	.
Female	***	.	.	.
Male	***	.	.	.
Transgender	***	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity Totals	10	.	.	.
Hispanic	***	.	.	.
Black	***	.	.	.
White	***	.	.	.
All Other	***	.	.	.

Unable to Determine	***	.	.	.
------------------------	-----	---	---	---

Question 13: The Number of Children in the Custody of a Local Department or Child Placement Agency who have a Sibling who is not in the Custody of the Same Local department or Child Placement Agency

Technical Note: Maryland does not have any children in the custody of a Child Placement Agency, the focus of this response is based on the number of children in Out of Home Care. The population is a Point in Time on the last day of SFY25, June 30, 2025. All children in care on that day are included. Any children or youth who shared a case id were determined to be siblings (that is that the caseworker determined that all youth were part of the same case/family). The analysis provides two metrics: sibling groups where there is a sibling that is not in the custody of the same local department (interpreted as there is a sibling in care who is the responsibility of a different jurisdiction); and sibling groups where the children are placed in different jurisdictions (interpreted as a sibling in care who has a placement in a different jurisdiction even if the responsibility for the siblings reside in the same jurisdiction).

Montgomery	Children	Siblings	Siblings with Custody in Different Jurisdiction	Siblings with Placement in Different Jurisdiction
Category	N	n	n	n
Age Group Totals	338	201	***	15
Ages 0 to 4	104	65	***	***
Ages 5 to 11	75	60	.	***
Ages 12 to 15	54	32	.	***
Ages 16 to 17	39	21	.	***
Ages 18 to 20	66	23	.	***
Gender Totals	338	201	***	15
Female	160	89	***	***
Male	174	110	.	***
Transgender	***	***	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity Totals	338	201	***	15
Hispanic	92	57	.	***
Black	150	87	.	***
White	46	26	.	***
All Other	41	25	***	***

Unable to Determine	***	***	.	.
------------------------	-----	-----	---	---

Question 13: The Number of Children in the Custody of a Local Department or Child Placement Agency who have a Sibling who is not in the Custody of the Same Local department or Child Placement Agency

Technical Note: Maryland does not have any children in the custody of a Child Placement Agency, the focus of this response is based on the number of children in Out of Home Care. The population is a Point in Time on the last day of SFY25, June 30, 2025. All children in care on that day are included. Any children or youth who shared a case id were determined to be siblings (that is that the caseworker determined that all youth were part of the same case/family). The analysis provides two metrics: sibling groups where there is a sibling that is not in the custody of the same local department (interpreted as there is a sibling in care who is the responsibility of a different jurisdiction); and sibling groups where the children are placed in different jurisdictions (interpreted as a sibling in care who has a placement in a different jurisdiction even if the responsibility for the siblings reside in the same jurisdiction).

Prince George's	Children	Siblings	Siblings with Custody in Different Jurisdiction	Siblings with Placement in Different Jurisdiction
Category	N	n	n	n
Age Group Totals	375	145	.	18
Ages 0 to 4	61	23	.	***
Ages 5 to 11	76	57	.	***
Ages 12 to 15	74	32	.	***
Ages 16 to 17	68	17	.	***
Ages 18 to 20	96	16	.	***
Gender Totals	375	145	.	18
Female	202	78	.	11
Male	171	67	.	***
Transgender	***	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity Totals	375	145	.	18
Hispanic	53	11	.	***
Black	261	117	.	12
White	13	***	.	.
All Other	***	***	.	.
Unable to Determine	41	12	.	***

Question 13: The Number of Children in the Custody of a Local Department or Child Placement Agency who have a Sibling who is not in the Custody of the Same Local department or Child Placement Agency

Technical Note: Maryland does not have any children in the custody of a Child Placement Agency, the focus of this response is based on the number of children in Out of Home Care. The population is a Point in Time on the last day of SFY25, June 30, 2025. All children in care on that day are included. Any children or youth who shared a case id were determined to be siblings (that is that the caseworker determined that all youth were part of the same case/family). The analysis provides two metrics: sibling groups where there is a sibling that is not in the custody of the same local department (interpreted as there is a sibling in care who is the responsibility of a different jurisdiction); and sibling groups where the children are placed in different jurisdictions (interpreted as a sibling in care who has a placement in a different jurisdiction even if the responsibility for the siblings reside in the same jurisdiction).

Queen Anne's	Children	Siblings	Siblings with Custody in Different Jurisdiction	Siblings with Placement in Different Jurisdiction
Category	N	n	n	n
Age Group Totals	***	.	.	.
Ages 0 to 4	***	.	.	.
Ages 5 to 11	***	.	.	.
Ages 12 to 15	***	.	.	.
Ages 16 to 17	***	.	.	.
Ages 18 to 20	***	.	.	.
Gender Totals	***	.	.	.
Female	***	.	.	.
Male	***	.	.	.
Transgender	***	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity Totals	***	.	.	.
Hispanic	***	.	.	.
Black	***	.	.	.
White	***	.	.	.
All Other	***	.	.	.
Unable to Determine	***	.	.	.

Question 13: The Number of Children in the Custody of a Local Department or Child Placement Agency who have a Sibling who is not in the Custody of the Same Local department or Child Placement Agency

Technical Note: Maryland does not have any children in the custody of a Child Placement Agency, the focus of this response is based on the number of children in Out of Home Care. The population is a Point in Time on the last day of SFY25, June 30, 2025. All children in care on that day are included. Any children or youth who shared a case id were determined to be siblings (that is that the caseworker determined that all youth were part of the same case/family). The analysis provides two metrics: sibling groups where there is a sibling that is not in the custody of the same local department (interpreted as there is a sibling in care who is the responsibility of a different jurisdiction); and sibling groups where the children are placed in different jurisdictions (interpreted as a sibling in care who has a placement in a different jurisdiction even if the responsibility for the siblings reside in the same jurisdiction).

Somerset	Children	Siblings	Siblings with Custody in Different Jurisdiction	Siblings with Placement in Different Jurisdiction
Category	N	n	n	n
Age Group Totals	16	***	.	***
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	.	***
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	.	.
Ages 12 to 15	***	.	.	.
Ages 16 to 17	***	.	.	.
Ages 18 to 20	***	.	.	.
Gender Totals	16	***	.	***
Female	***	***	.	***
Male	***	***	.	***
Transgender	***	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity	16	***	.	***
Hispanic	***	.	.	.
Black	10	***	.	***
White	***	***	.	.
All Other	***	***	.	.
Unable to Determine	***	.	.	.

Question 13: The Number of Children in the Custody of a Local Department or Child Placement Agency who have a Sibling who is not in the Custody of the Same Local department or Child Placement Agency

Technical Note: Maryland does not have any children in the custody of a Child Placement Agency, the focus of this response is based on the number of children in Out of Home Care. The population is a Point in Time on the last day of SFY25, June 30, 2025. All children in care on that day are included. Any children or youth who shared a case id were determined to be siblings (that is that the caseworker determined that all youth were part of the same case/family). The analysis provides two metrics: sibling groups where there is a sibling that is not in the custody of the same local department (interpreted as there is a sibling in care who is the responsibility of a different jurisdiction); and sibling groups where the children are placed in different jurisdictions (interpreted as a sibling in care who has a placement in a different jurisdiction even if the responsibility for the siblings reside in the same jurisdiction).

St. Mary's	Children	Siblings	Siblings with Custody in Different Jurisdiction	Siblings with Placement in Different Jurisdiction
Category	N	n	n	n
Age Group Totals	42	22	.	***
Ages 0 to 4	11	***	.	.
Ages 5 to 11	12	11	.	***
Ages 12 to 15	11	***	.	***
Ages 16 to 17	***	***	.	***
Ages 18 to 20	***	.	.	.
Gender Totals	42	22	.	***
Female	26	14	.	***
Male	16	***	.	***
Transgender	***	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity Totals	42	22	.	***
Hispanic	***	***	.	***
Black	15	***	.	.
White	15	***	.	***
All Other	***	***	.	***
Unable to Determine	***	.	.	.

Question 13: The Number of Children in the Custody of a Local Department or Child Placement Agency who have a Sibling who is not in the Custody of the Same Local department or Child Placement Agency

Technical Note: Maryland does not have any children in the custody of a Child Placement Agency, the focus of this response is based on the number of children in Out of Home Care. The population is a Point in Time on the last day of SFY25, June 30, 2025. All children in care on that day are included. Any children or youth who shared a case id were determined to be siblings (that is that the caseworker determined that all youth were part of the same case/family). The analysis provides two metrics: sibling groups where there is a sibling that is not in the custody of the same local department (interpreted as there is a sibling in care who is the responsibility of a different jurisdiction); and sibling groups where the children are placed in different jurisdictions (interpreted as a sibling in care who has a placement in a different jurisdiction even if the responsibility for the siblings reside in the same jurisdiction).

Talbot	Children	Siblings	Siblings with Custody in Different Jurisdiction	Siblings with Placement in Different Jurisdiction
Category	N	n	n	n
Age Group Totals	16	***	.	.
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	.	.
Ages 5 to 11	***	.	.	.
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	.	.
Ages 16 to 17	***	.	.	.
Ages 18 to 20	***	***	.	.
Gender Totals	16	***	.	.
Female	***	***	.	.
Male	***	***	.	.
Transgender	***	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity Totals	16	***	.	.
Hispanic	***	***	.	.
Black	***	.	.	.
White	***	***	.	.
All Other	***	.	.	.

Unable to Determine	***	.	.	.
---------------------	-----	---	---	---

Question 13: The Number of Children in the Custody of a Local Department or Child Placement Agency who have a Sibling who is not in the Custody of the Same Local department or Child Placement Agency

Technical Note: Maryland does not have any children in the custody of a Child Placement Agency, the focus of this response is based on the number of children in Out of Home Care. The population is a Point in Time on the last day of SFY25, June 30, 2025. All children in care on that day are included. Any children or youth who shared a case id were determined to be siblings (that is that the caseworker determined that all youth were part of the same case/family). The analysis provides two metrics: sibling groups where there is a sibling that is not in the custody of the same local department (interpreted as there is a sibling in care who is the responsibility of a different jurisdiction); and sibling groups where the children are placed in different jurisdictions (interpreted as a sibling in care who has a placement in a different jurisdiction even if the responsibility for the siblings reside in the same jurisdiction).

Washington	Children	Siblings	Siblings with Custody in Different Jurisdiction	Siblings with Placement in Different Jurisdiction
Category	N	n	n	n
Age Group Totals	168	98	.	***
Ages 0 to 4	55	28	.	.
Ages 5 to 11	55	43	.	***
Ages 12 to 15	32	18	.	***
Ages 16 to 17	14	***	.	***
Ages 18 to 20	12	***	.	***
Gender Totals	168	98	.	***
Female	69	39	.	***
Male	99	59	.	***
Transgender	***	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity Totals	168	98	.	***
Hispanic	16	***	.	***
Black	33	19	.	***
White	81	51	.	***
All Other	35	20	.	***

Unable to Determine	***	.	.	.
------------------------	-----	---	---	---

Question 13: The Number of Children in the Custody of a Local Department or Child Placement Agency who have a Sibling who is not in the Custody of the Same Local department or Child Placement Agency

Technical Note: Maryland does not have any children in the custody of a Child Placement Agency, the focus of this response is based on the number of children in Out of Home Care. The population is a Point in Time on the last day of SFY25, June 30, 2025. All children in care on that day are included. Any children or youth who shared a case id were determined to be siblings (that is that the caseworker determined that all youth were part of the same case/family). The analysis provides two metrics: sibling groups where there is a sibling that is not in the custody of the same local department (interpreted as there is a sibling in care who is the responsibility of a different jurisdiction); and sibling groups where the children are placed in different jurisdictions (interpreted as a sibling in care who has a placement in a different jurisdiction even if the responsibility for the siblings reside in the same jurisdiction).

Wicomico	Children	Siblings	Siblings with Custody in Different Jurisdiction	Siblings with Placement in Different Jurisdiction
Category	N	n	n	n
Age Group Totals	41	19	.	***
Ages 0 to 4	11	***	.	***
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	.	.
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	.	.
Ages 16 to 17	***	***	.	.
Ages 18 to 20	***	***	.	***
Gender Totals	41	19	.	***
Female	19	10	.	***
Male	22	***	.	.
Transgender	***	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity Totals	41	19	.	***
Hispanic	***	.	.	.
Black	26	13	.	***
White	10	***	.	.
All Other	***	***	.	.

Unable to Determine	***	***	.	***
------------------------	-----	-----	---	-----

Question 13: The Number of Children in the Custody of a Local Department or Child Placement Agency who have a Sibling who is not in the Custody of the Same Local department or Child Placement Agency

Technical Note: Maryland does not have any children in the custody of a Child Placement Agency, the focus of this response is based on the number of children in Out of Home Care. The population is a Point in Time on the last day of SFY25, June 30, 2025. All children in care on that day are included. Any children or youth who shared a case id were determined to be siblings (that is that the caseworker determined that all youth were part of the same case/family). The analysis provides two metrics: sibling groups where there is a sibling that is not in the custody of the same local department (interpreted as there is a sibling in care who is the responsibility of a different jurisdiction); and sibling groups where the children are placed in different jurisdictions (interpreted as a sibling in care who has a placement in a different jurisdiction even if the responsibility for the siblings reside in the same jurisdiction).

Worcester	Children	Siblings	Siblings with Custody in Different Jurisdiction	Siblings with Placement in Different Jurisdiction
Category	N	n	n	n
Age Group Totals	18	***	.	***
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	.	***
Ages 5 to 11	***	.	.	.
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	.	.
Ages 16 to 17	***	***	.	***
Ages 18 to 20	***	***	.	.
Gender Totals	18	***	.	***
Female	14	***	.	***
Male	***	***	.	***
Transgender	***	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	18	***	.	***
Race/Ethnicity Totals	18	***	.	***
Hispanic	***	.	.	.
Black	***	.	.	.
White	12	***	.	***
All Other	***	***	.	***

Unable to Determine	***	.	.	.
------------------------	-----	---	---	---

Appendix 11: Question 14 – Jurisdictional Context

Question 14: The Health and Mental Health Care Provided, Including the Stability of Health Care Providers, Medications, and Psychiatric Diagnoses

The population is a Point in Time on the last day of SFY25, June 30, 2025. All children in care on that day are included. Any physical or mental health exam during SFY25 was included in the analysis. Exams that counted as a physical exam included "Initial Health Examination", "Comprehensive Health Examination", "Annual Health Examination" or "Follow-up Health Examination". Exams that counted as a mental health exam included "Mental Health Therapist / Counselor" and "Mental Health". The presence of a psychiatric diagnosis was also determined using data maintained in the CJAMS data system. Medications was obtained through the CJAMS data system.

		Examinations during SFY2025							
Allegany	Children	Physical		Mental		Indication of Psychiatric Diagnosis		Psychotropic Medication	
Category	N	A	A/N	B	B/N	C	C/N	D	D/N
All Ages	31	31	100.0 %	***	6.5%	11	35.5%	16	51.6%
Ages 0 to 4	11	11	100.0 %	***	0.0%	0	0.0%	.	.
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	100.0 %	***	14.3%	1	14.3%	4	57.1%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%	3	60.0%	4	80.0%
Ages 16 to 17	***	***	100.0 %	***	20.0%	5	100.0%	5	100.0%
Ages 18 to 20	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%	2	66.7%	3	100.0%
Age Out of Range	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Totals	31	31	100.0 %	***	6.5%	11	35.5%	16	51.6%
Female	12	12	100.0 %	***	8.3%	5	41.7%	6	50.0%

		Examinations during SFY2025							
Allegany	Children	Physical		Mental		Indication of Psychiatric Diagnosis		Psychotropic Medication	
Category	N	A	A/N %	B	B/N %	C	C/N %	D	D/N %
Male	18	18	100.0 %	***	5.6%	5	27.8%	9	50.0%
Transgender	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%	1	100.0%	1	100.0%
Not Disclosed	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Totals	31	31	100.0 %	***	6.5%	11	35.5%	16	51.6%
Hispanic	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%
Black	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%	1	33.3%	3	100.0%
White	23	23	100.0 %	***	4.3%	8	34.8%	11	47.8%
All Other	***	***	100.0 %	***	33.3%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%
Unable to Determine	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 14: The Health and Mental Health Care Provided, Including the Stability of Health Care Providers, Medications, and Psychiatric Diagnoses

The population is a Point in Time on the last day of SFY25, June 30, 2025. All children in care on that day are included. Any physical or mental health exam during SFY25 was included in the analysis. Exams that counted as a physical exam included “Initial Health Examination”, “Comprehensive Health Examination”, “Annual Health Examination” or “Follow-up Health Examination”. Exams that counted as a mental health exam included “Mental Health Therapist / Counselor” and “Mental Health”. The presence of a psychiatric diagnosis was also determined using data maintained in the CJAMS data system. Medications was obtained through the CJAMS data system.

		Examinations during SFY2025							
Anne Arundel	Children	Physical		Mental		Indication of Psychiatric Diagnosis		Psychotropic Medication	
Category	N	A	A/N	B	B/N	C	C/N	D	D/N
All Ages	137	132	96.4%	***	2.2%	29	21.2%	21	15.3%
Ages 0 to 4	45	45	100.0%	***	0.0%	0	0.0%	.	.
Ages 5 to 11	41	40	97.6%	***	4.9%	4	9.8%	4	9.8%
Ages 12 to 15	24	24	100.0%	***	4.2%	9	37.5%	5	20.8%
Ages 16 to 17	12	12	100.0%	***	0.0%	7	58.3%	4	33.3%
Ages 18 to 20	15	11	73.3%	***	0.0%	9	60.0%	8	53.3%
Age Out of Range	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Totals	137	132	96.4%	***	2.2%	29	21.2%	21	15.3%
Female	66	63	95.5%	***	3.0%	17	25.8%	9	13.6%

		Examinations during SFY2025							
Anne Arundel	Children	Physical		Mental		Indication of Psychiatric Diagnosis		Psychotropic Medication	
Category	N	A	A/N	B	B/N	C	C/N	D	D/N
Male	70	68	97.1%	***	1.4%	12	17.1%	12	17.1%
Transgender	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Not Disclosed	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Totals	137	132	96.4%	***	2.2%	29	21.2%	21	15.3%
Hispanic	18	17	94.4%	***	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	11.1%
Black	39	36	92.3%	***	5.1%	15	38.5%	10	25.6%
White	52	51	98.1%	***	1.9%	9	17.3%	7	13.5%
All Other	26	26	100.0%	***	0.0%	5	19.2%	2	7.7%
Unable to Determine	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

Question 14: The Health and Mental Health Care Provided, Including the Stability of Health Care Providers, Medications, and Psychiatric Diagnoses

The population is a Point in Time on the last day of SFY25, June 30, 2025. All children in care on that day are included. Any physical or mental health exam during SFY25 was included in the analysis. Exams that counted as a physical exam included “Initial Health Examination”, “Comprehensive Health Examination”, “Annual Health Examination” or “Follow-up Health Examination”. Exams that counted as a mental health exam included “Mental Health Therapist / Counselor” and “Mental Health”. The presence of a psychiatric diagnosis was also determined using data maintained in the CJAMS data system. Medications was obtained through the CJAMS data system.

		Examinations during SFY2025							
Baltimore City	Children	Physical		Mental		Indication of Psychiatric Diagnosis		Psychotropic Medication	
Category	N	A	A/N	B	B/N	C	C/N	D	D/N
All Ages	1,428	923	64.6 %	506	35.4%	603	42.2%	226	15.8%
Ages 0 to 4	419	358	85.4 %	205	48.9%	26	6.2%	***	1.4%
Ages 5 to 11	354	227	64.1 %	151	42.7%	151	42.7%	39	11.0%
Ages 12 to 15	238	156	65.5 %	84	35.3%	162	68.1%	58	24.4%
Ages 16 to 17	177	105	59.3 %	49	27.7%	119	67.2%	51	28.8%
Ages 18 to 20	240	77	32.1%	17	7.1%	145	60.4 %	72	30.0%
Age Out of Range	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

		Examinations during SFY2025							
Baltimore City	Children	Physical		Mental		Indication of Psychiatric Diagnosis		Psychotropic Medication	
Category	N	A	A/N	B	B/N	C	C/N	D	D/N
Totals	1,428	923	64.6 %	506	35.4%	603	42.2%	226	15.8%
Female	729	458	62.8 %	242	33.2%	313	42.9 %	99	13.6%
Male	693	464	67.0 %	264	38.1%	286	41.3%	122	17.6%
Transgender	***	***	16.7%	***	0.0%	***	66.7%	***	83.3%
Not Disclosed	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Totals	1,428	923	64.6 %	506	35.4%	603	42.2%	226	15.8%
Hispanic	77	30	39.0 %	18	23.4%	33	42.9 %	***	11.7%
Black	1,104	695	63.0 %	385	34.9%	501	45.4 %	185	16.8%
White	160	128	80.0 %	66	41.3%	45	28.1%	22	13.8%
All Other	77	60	77.9 %	32	41.6%	22	28.6%	***	11.7%
Unable to Determine	10	10	100%	***	50.0%	***	20.0 %	***	10.0%

Question 14: The Health and Mental Health Care Provided, Including the Stability of Health Care Providers, Medications, and Psychiatric Diagnoses

The population is a Point in Time on the last day of SFY25, June 30, 2025. All children in care on that day are included. Any physical or mental health exam during SFY25 was included in the analysis. Exams that counted as a physical exam included “Initial Health Examination”, “Comprehensive Health Examination”, “Annual Health Examination” or “Follow-up Health Examination”. Exams that counted as a mental health exam included “Mental Health Therapist / Counselor” and “Mental Health”. The presence of a psychiatric diagnosis was also determined using data maintained in the CJAMS data system. Medications was obtained through the CJAMS data system.

		Examinations during SFY2025							
Baltimore County	Children	Physical		Mental		Indication of Psychiatric Diagnosis		Psychotropic Medication	
Category	N	A	A/N	B	B/N	C	C/N	D	D/N
All Ages	567	500	88.2 %	***	0.4%	127	22.4%	130	22.9%
Ages 0 to 4	122	112	91.8%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	1.6%
Ages 5 to 11	152	139	91.4%	***	0.7%	15	9.9%	22	14.5%
Ages 12 to 15	107	100	93.5%	***	0.9%	31	29.0%	36	33.6%
Ages 16 to 17	89	74	83.1%	***	0.0%	40	44.9 %	25	28.1%
Ages 18 to 20	97	75	77.3%	***	0.0%	41	42.3%	45	46.4%
Age Out of Range	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Totals	567	500	88.2 %	***	0.4%	127	22.4%	130	22.9%
Female	265	231	87.2%	***	0.4%	47	17.7%	49	18.5%

		Examinations during SFY2025							
Baltimore County	Children	Physical		Mental		Indication of Psychiatric Diagnosis		Psychotropic Medication	
Category	N	A	A/N	B	B/N	C	C/N	D	D/N
Male	300	267	89.0 %	***	0.3%	79	26.3%	81	27.0%
Transgender	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%	***	50.0 %	***	0.0%
Not Disclosed	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Totals	567	500	88.2 %	***	0.4%	127	22.4%	130	22.9%
Hispanic	59	55	93.2%	***	0.0%	***	15.3%	11	18.6%
Black	263	225	85.6%	***	0.0%	75	28.5%	55	20.9%
White	182	160	87.9%	***	0.5%	31	17.0%	44	24.2%
All Other	47	46	97.9%	***	2.1%	10	21.3%	17	36.2%
Unable to Determine	16	14	87.5%	***	0.0%	***	12.5%	***	18.8%

Question 14: The Health and Mental Health Care Provided, Including the Stability of Health Care Providers, Medications, and Psychiatric Diagnoses

The population is a Point in Time on the last day of SFY25, June 30, 2025. All children in care on that day are included. Any physical or mental health exam during SFY25 was included in the analysis. Exams that counted as a physical exam included “Initial Health Examination”, “Comprehensive Health Examination”, “Annual Health Examination” or “Follow-up Health Examination”. Exams that counted as a mental health exam included “Mental Health Therapist / Counselor” and “Mental Health”. The presence of a psychiatric diagnosis was also determined using data maintained in the CJAMS data system. Medications was obtained through the CJAMS data system.

		Examinations during SFY2025							
Calvert	Children	Physical		Mental		Indication of Psychiatric Diagnosis		Psychotropic Medication	
Category	N	A	A/N	B	B/N	C	C/N	D	D/N
All Ages	27	26	96.3%	***	0.0%	***	25.9%	10	37.0%
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	25.0%	***	50.0%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	60.0%	***	40.0%
Ages 16 to 17	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	100.0%
Ages 18 to 20	***	***	88.9%	***	0.0%	***	22.2%	***	55.6%
Age Out of Range	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Totals	27	26	96.3%	***	0.0%	***	25.9%	10	37.0%
Female	16	15	93.8%	***	0.0%	***	25.0%	***	31.3%

		Examinations during SFY2025							
Calvert	Children	Physical		Mental		Indication of Psychiatric Diagnosis		Psychotropic Medication	
Category	N	A	A/N	B	B/N	C	C/N	D	D/N
Male	11	11	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	27.3%	***	45.5%
Transgender	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Not Disclosed	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Totals	27	26	96.3%	***	0.0%	***	25.9%	10	37.0%
Hispanic	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%
Black	10	10	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	30.0%	***	40.0%
White	14	13	92.9%	***	0.0%	***	14.3%	***	28.6%
All Other	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	50.0%	***	100.0%
Unable to Determine	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 14: The Health and Mental Health Care Provided, Including the Stability of Health Care Providers, Medications, and Psychiatric Diagnoses

The population is a Point in Time on the last day of SFY25, June 30, 2025. All children in care on that day are included. Any physical or mental health exam during SFY25 was included in the analysis. Exams that counted as a physical exam included “Initial Health Examination”, “Comprehensive Health Examination”, “Annual Health Examination” or “Follow-up Health Examination”. Exams that counted as a mental health exam included “Mental Health Therapist / Counselor” and “Mental Health”. The presence of a psychiatric diagnosis was also determined using data maintained in the CJAMS data system. Medications was obtained through the CJAMS data system.

		Examinations during SFY2025							
Caroline	Children	Physical		Mental		Indication of Psychiatric Diagnosis		Psychotropic Medication	
Category	N	A	A/N	B	B/N	C	C/N	D	D/N
All Ages	26	25	96.2%	***	7.7%	***	11.5%	***	15.4%
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	100.0 %	***	11.1%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	88.9%	***	11.1%	***	11.1%	***	0.0%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%	***	25.0%	***	25.0%
Ages 16 to 17	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	50.0%
Ages 18 to 20	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%	***	50.0 %	***	100.0 %
Age Out of Range	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Totals	26	25	96.2%	***	7.7%	***	11.5%	***	15.4%
Female	13	12	92.3%	***	7.7%	***	0.0%	***	7.7%

		Examinations during SFY2025							
Caroline	Children	Physical		Mental		Indication of Psychiatric Diagnosis		Psychotropic Medication	
Category	N	A	A/N	B	B/N	C	C/N	D	D/N
Male	13	13	100.0 %	***	7.7%	***	23.1%	***	23.1%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Not Disclosed	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Totals	26	25	96.2%	***	7.7%	***	11.5%	***	15.4%
Hispanic	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Black	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%	***	20.0 %	***	20.0%
White	15	15	100.0 %	***	6.7%	***	13.3%	***	13.3%
All Other	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	33.3%
Unable to Determine	***	***	100.0 %	***	50.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

Question 14: The Health and Mental Health Care Provided, Including the Stability of Health Care Providers, Medications, and Psychiatric Diagnoses

The population is a Point in Time on the last day of SFY25, June 30, 2025. All children in care on that day are included. Any physical or mental health exam during SFY25 was included in the analysis. Exams that counted as a physical exam included “Initial Health Examination”, “Comprehensive Health Examination”, “Annual Health Examination” or “Follow-up Health Examination”. Exams that counted as a mental health exam included “Mental Health Therapist / Counselor” and “Mental Health”. The presence of a psychiatric diagnosis was also determined using data maintained in the CJAMS data system. Medications was obtained through the CJAMS data system.

		Examinations during SFY2025							
Carroll	Children	Physical		Mental		Indication of Psychiatric Diagnosis		Psychotropic Medication	
Category	N	A	A/N	B	B/N	C	C/N	D	D/N
All Ages	29	28	96.6%	***	10.3%	11	37.9%	13	44.8%
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	87.5%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	33.3%	***	50.0%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	100.0%	***	14.3%	***	28.6%	***	28.6%
Ages 16 to 17	***	***	100.0%	***	20.0%	***	80.0%	***	100.0%
Ages 18 to 20	***	***	100.0%	***	33.3%	***	100.0%	***	100.0%
Age Out of Range									
Totals	29	28	96.6%	***	10.3%	11	37.9%	13	44.8%
Female	16	15	93.8%	***	0.0%	***	31.3%	***	43.8%
Male	13	13	100.0%	***	23.1%	***	46.2%	***	46.2%

		Examinations during SFY2025							
Carroll	Children	Physical		Mental		Indication of Psychiatric Diagnosis		Psychotropic Medication	
Category	N	A	A/N	B	B/N	C	C/N	D	D/N
Transgender	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Not Disclosed	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Totals	29	28	96.6%	***	10.3%	11	37.9%	13	44.8%
Hispanic	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	37.5%	***	50.0%
Black	***	***	100.0%	***	33.3%	***	33.3%	***	33.3%
White	13	13	100.0%	***	15.4%	***	38.5%	***	46.2%
All Other	***	***	75.0%	***	0.0%	***	50.0%	***	50.0%
Unable to Determine	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

Question 14: The Health and Mental Health Care Provided, Including the Stability of Health Care Providers, Medications, and Psychiatric Diagnoses

The population is a Point in Time on the last day of SFY25, June 30, 2025. All children in care on that day are included. Any physical or mental health exam during SFY25 was included in the analysis. Exams that counted as a physical exam included “Initial Health Examination”, “Comprehensive Health Examination”, “Annual Health Examination” or “Follow-up Health Examination”. Exams that counted as a mental health exam included “Mental Health Therapist / Counselor” and “Mental Health”. The presence of a psychiatric diagnosis was also determined using data maintained in the CJAMS data system. Medications was obtained through the CJAMS data system.

		Examinations during SFY2025							
Cecil	Children	Physical		Mental		Indication of Psychiatric Diagnosis		Psychotropic Medication	
Category	N	A	A/N	B	B/N	C	C/N	D	D/N
All Ages	74	68	91.9%	***	5.4%	21	28.4%	23	31.1%
Ages 0 to 4	18	18	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 5 to 11	23	22	95.7%	***	8.7%	***	21.7%	***	30.4%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	88.9%	***	0.0%	***	44.4%	***	66.7%
Ages 16 to 17	10	10	100.0%	***	20.0%	***	50.0%	***	50.0%
Ages 18 to 20	14	10	71.4%	***	0.0%	***	50.0%	***	35.7%
Age Out of Range	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Totals	74	68	91.9%	***	5.4%	21	28.4%	23	31.1%
Female	32	31	96.9%	***	6.3%	***	18.8%	***	12.5%

		Examinations during SFY2025							
Cecil	Children	Physical		Mental		Indication of Psychiatric Diagnosis		Psychotropic Medication	
Category	N	A	A/N	B	B/N	C	C/N	D	D/N
Male	39	34	87.2%	***	5.1%	14	35.9%	17	43.6%
Transgender	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	33.3%	***	66.7%
Not Disclosed	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Totals	74	68	91.9%	***	5.4%	21	28.4%	23	31.1%
Hispanic	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	50.0%
Black	12	10	83.3%	***	16.7%	***	25.0%	***	33.3%
White	55	51	92.7%	***	3.6%	16	29.1%	17	30.9%
All Other	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	40.0%	***	20.0%
Unable to Determine	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 14: The Health and Mental Health Care Provided, Including the Stability of Health Care Providers, Medications, and Psychiatric Diagnoses

The population is a Point in Time on the last day of SFY25, June 30, 2025. All children in care on that day are included. Any physical or mental health exam during SFY25 was included in the analysis. Exams that counted as a physical exam included “Initial Health Examination”, “Comprehensive Health Examination”, “Annual Health Examination” or “Follow-up Health Examination”. Exams that counted as a mental health exam included “Mental Health Therapist / Counselor” and “Mental Health”. The presence of a psychiatric diagnosis was also determined using data maintained in the CJAMS data system. Medications was obtained through the CJAMS data system.

		Examinations during SFY2025							
Charles	Children	Physical		Mental		Indication of Psychiatric Diagnosis		Psychotropic Medication	
Category	N	A	A/N	B	B/N	C	C/N	D	D/N
All Ages	28	28	100.0 %	***	0.0%	***	28.6%	***	32.1%
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	16.7%
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%	***	50.0%	***	50.0%
Ages 16 to 17	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%	***	25.0%	***	25.0%
Ages 18 to 20	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%	***	60.0%	***	60.0%
Age Out of Range	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Totals	28	28	100.0 %	***	0.0%	***	28.6%	***	32.1%

		Examinations during SFY2025							
Charles	Children	Physical		Mental		Indication of Psychiatric Diagnosis		Psychotropic Medication	
Category	N	A	A/N %	B	B/N %	C	C/N %	D	D/N %
Female	15	15	100.0 %	***	0.0%	***	40.0%	***	33.3%
Male	13	13	100.0 %	***	0.0%	***	15.4%	***	30.8%
Transgender	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Not Disclosed	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Totals	28	28	100.0 %	***	0.0%	***	28.6%	***	32.1%
Hispanic	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	100.0%
Black	21	21	100.0 %	***	0.0%	***	33.3%	***	28.6%
White	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	66.7%
All Other	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Unable to Determine	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 14: The Health and Mental Health Care Provided, Including the Stability of Health Care Providers, Medications, and Psychiatric Diagnoses

The population is a Point in Time on the last day of SFY25, June 30, 2025. All children in care on that day are included. Any physical or mental health exam during SFY25 was included in the analysis. Exams that counted as a physical exam included “Initial Health Examination”, “Comprehensive Health Examination”, “Annual Health Examination” or “Follow-up Health Examination”. Exams that counted as a mental health exam included “Mental Health Therapist / Counselor” and “Mental Health”. The presence of a psychiatric diagnosis was also determined using data maintained in the CJAMS data system. Medications was obtained through the CJAMS data system.

		Examinations during SFY2025							
Dorchester	Children	Physical		Mental		Indication of Psychiatric Diagnosis		Psychotropic Medication	
Category	N	A	A/N	B	B/N	C	C/N	D	D/N
All Ages	34	33	97.1%	***	8.8%	***	20.6%	11	32.4%
Ages 0 to 4	14	13	92.9%	***	7.1%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	100.0%	***	14.3%	***	14.3%	***	57.1%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	50.0%	***	75.0%
Ages 16 to 17	***	***	100.0%	***	20.0%	***	40.0%	***	20.0%
Ages 18 to 20	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	50.0%	***	75.0%
Age Out of Range	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Totals	34	33	97.1%	***	8.8%	***	20.6%	11	32.4%
Female	16	15	93.8%	***	12.5%	***	25.0%	***	25.0%

		Examinations during SFY2025							
Dorchester	Children	Physical		Mental		Indication of Psychiatric Diagnosis		Psychotropic Medication	
Category	N	A	A/N	B	B/N	C	C/N	D	D/N
Male	18	18	100.0%	***	5.6%	***	16.7%	***	38.9%
Transgender	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Not Disclosed	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Totals	34	33	97.1%	***	8.8%	***	20.6%	11	32.4%
Hispanic	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	25.0%	***	50.0%
Black	15	15	100.0%	***	13.3%	***	26.7%	***	40.0%
White	***	***	100.0%	***	14.3%	***	14.3%	***	28.6%
All Other	***	***	80.0%	***	0.0%	***	20.0%	***	20.0%
Unable to Determine	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

Question 14: The Health and Mental Health Care Provided, Including the Stability of Health Care Providers, Medications, and Psychiatric Diagnoses

The population is a Point in Time on the last day of SFY25, June 30, 2025. All children in care on that day are included. Any physical or mental health exam during SFY25 was included in the analysis. Exams that counted as a physical exam included “Initial Health Examination”, “Comprehensive Health Examination”, “Annual Health Examination” or “Follow-up Health Examination”. Exams that counted as a mental health exam included “Mental Health Therapist / Counselor” and “Mental Health”. The presence of a psychiatric diagnosis was also determined using data maintained in the CJAMS data system. Medications was obtained through the CJAMS data system.

		Examinations during SFY2025							
Frederick	Children	Physical		Mental		Indication of Psychiatric Diagnosis		Psychotropic Medication	
Category	N	A	A/N	B	B/N	C	C/N	D	D/N
All Ages	41	39	95.1%	***	2.4%	15	36.6%	21	51.2%
Ages 0 to 4	12	12	100.0 %	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%	***	28.6%	***	42.9%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	87.5%	***	0.0%	***	87.5%	***	87.5%
Ages 16 to 17	***	***	87.5%	***	0.0%	***	37.5%	***	75.0%
Ages 18 to 20	***	***	100.0 %	***	16.7%	***	50.0 %	***	83.3%
Age Out of Range	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Totals	41	39	95.1%	***	2.4%	15	36.6%	21	51.2%
Female	18	17	94.4%	***	0.0%	***	44.4 %	11	61.1%
Male	23	22	95.7%	***	4.3%	***	30.4%	10	43.5%

		Examinations during SFY2025							
Frederick	Children	Physical		Mental		Indication of Psychiatric Diagnosis		Psychotropic Medication	
Category	N	A	A/N	B	B/N	C	C/N	D	D/N
Transgender	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Not Disclosed	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Totals	41	39	95.1%	***	2.4%	15	36.6%	21	51.2%
Hispanic	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	20.0%	***	40.0%
Black	17	16	94.1%	***	5.9%	***	23.5%	***	35.3%
White	13	12	92.3%	***	0.0%	***	69.2%	11	84.6%
All Other	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	16.7%	***	33.3%
Unable to Determine	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 14: The Health and Mental Health Care Provided, Including the Stability of Health Care Providers, Medications, and Psychiatric Diagnoses

The population is a Point in Time on the last day of SFY25, June 30, 2025. All children in care on that day are included. Any physical or mental health exam during SFY25 was included in the analysis. Exams that counted as a physical exam included “Initial Health Examination”, “Comprehensive Health Examination”, “Annual Health Examination” or “Follow-up Health Examination”. Exams that counted as a mental health exam included “Mental Health Therapist / Counselor” and “Mental Health”. The presence of a psychiatric diagnosis was also determined using data maintained in the CJAMS data system. Medications was obtained through the CJAMS data system.

		Examinations during SFY2025							
Garrett	Children	Physical		Mental		Indication of Psychiatric Diagnosis		Psychotropic Medication	
Category	N	A	A/N	B	B/N	C	C/N	D	D/N
All Ages	25	25	100.0 %	***	0.0%	10	40.0%	***	32.0%
Ages 0 to 4	11	11	100.0 %	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%	***	60.0%	***	40.0%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	75.0%
Ages 16 to 17	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	100.0%
Ages 18 to 20	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%	***	75.0%	***	50.0%
Age Out of Range	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Totals	25	25	100.0 %	***	0.0%	10	40.0%	***	32.0%

		Examinations during SFY2025							
Garrett	Children	Physical		Mental		Indication of Psychiatric Diagnosis		Psychotropic Medication	
Category	N	A	A/N %	B	B/N %	C	C/N %	D	D/N %
Female	13	13	100.0 %	***	0.0 %	***	53.8 %	***	30.8 %
Male	11	11	100.0 %	***	0.0 %	***	18.2 %	***	27.3 %
Transgender	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0 %	***	100.0 %	***	100.0 %
Not Disclosed	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Totals	25	25	100.0 %	***	0.0 %	10	40.0 %	***	32.0 %
Hispanic	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0 %	***	33.3 %	***	33.3 %
White	21	21	100.0 %	***	0.0 %	***	42.9 %	***	33.3 %
All Other	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0 %	***	0.0 %	***	0.0 %
Unable to Determine	***	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 14: The Health and Mental Health Care Provided, Including the Stability of Health Care Providers, Medications, and Psychiatric Diagnoses

The population is a Point in Time on the last day of SFY25, June 30, 2025. All children in care on that day are included. Any physical or mental health exam during SFY25 was included in the analysis. Exams that counted as a physical exam included “Initial Health Examination”, “Comprehensive Health Examination”, “Annual Health Examination” or “Follow-up Health Examination”. Exams that counted as a mental health exam included “Mental Health Therapist / Counselor” and “Mental Health”. The presence of a psychiatric diagnosis was also determined using data maintained in the CJAMS data system. Medications was obtained through the CJAMS data system.

		Examinations during SFY2025							
Harford	Children	Physical		Mental		Indication of Psychiatric Diagnosis		Psychotropic Medication	
Category	N	A	A/N	B	B/N	C	C/N	D	D/N
All Ages	157	117	74.5%	***	2.5%	34	21.7%	34	21.7%
Ages 0 to 4	38	31	81.6%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 5 to 11	33	26	78.8%	***	3.0%	***	9.1%	***	12.1%
Ages 12 to 15	37	28	75.7%	***	5.4%	10	27.0%	12	32.4%
Ages 16 to 17	21	18	85.7%	***	4.8%	***	38.1%	***	38.1%
Ages 18 to 20	28	14	50.0%	***	0.0%	13	46.4%	10	35.7%
Age Out of Range	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Totals	157	117	74.5%	***	2.5%	34	21.7%	34	21.7%
Female	79	61	77.2%	***	3.8%	20	25.3%	18	22.8%
Male	77	55	71.4%	***	1.3%	13	16.9%	15	19.5%
Transgender	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	100.0%
Not Disclosed	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

		Examinations during SFY2025							
Harford	Children	Physical		Mental		Indication of Psychiatric Diagnosis		Psychotropic Medication	
Category	N	A	A/N	B	B/N	C	C/N	D	D/N
Totals	157	117	74.5%	***	2.5%	34	21.7%	34	21.7%
Hispanic	***	***	83.3%	***	16.7%	***	0.0%	***	16.7%
Black	51	34	66.7%	***	3.9%	***	17.6%	11	21.6%
White	76	62	81.6%	***	0.0%	19	25.0%	16	21.1%
All Other	23	16	69.6%	***	4.3%	***	26.1%	***	26.1%
Unable to Determine	***	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

Question 14: The Health and Mental Health Care Provided, Including the Stability of Health Care Providers, Medications, and Psychiatric Diagnoses

The population is a Point in Time on the last day of SFY25, June 30, 2025. All children in care on that day are included. Any physical or mental health exam during SFY25 was included in the analysis. Exams that counted as a physical exam included “Initial Health Examination”, “Comprehensive Health Examination”, “Annual Health Examination” or “Follow-up Health Examination”. Exams that counted as a mental health exam included “Mental Health Therapist / Counselor” and “Mental Health”. The presence of a psychiatric diagnosis was also determined using data maintained in the CJAMS data system. Medications was obtained through the CJAMS data system.

		Examinations during SFY2025							
Howard	Children	Physical		Mental		Indication of Psychiatric Diagnosis		Psychotropic Medication	
Category	N	A	A/N	B	B/N	C	C/N	D	D/N
All Ages	88	86	97.7%	***	0.0%	27	30.7%	19	21.6%
Ages 0 to 4	14	14	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 5 to 11	20	20	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	10.0%	***	5.0%
Ages 12 to 15	16	16	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	31.3%	***	12.5%
Ages 16 to 17	23	21	91.3%	***	0.0%	12	52.2%	***	34.8%
Ages 18 to 20	15	15	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	53.3%	***	53.3%
Age Out of Range	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Totals	88	86	97.7%	***	0.0%	27	30.7%	19	21.6%
Female	46	46	100.0%	***	0.0%	16	34.8%	11	23.9%
Male	41	39	95.1%	***	0.0%	10	24.4%	***	19.5%
Transgender	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%
Not Disclosed	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Totals	88	86	97.7%	***	0.0%	27	30.7%	19	21.6%

		Examinations during SFY2025							
Howard	Children	Physical		Mental		Indication of Psychiatric Diagnosis		Psychotropic Medication	
Category	N	A	A/N	B	B/N	C	C/N	D	D/N
Hispanic	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	25.0%
Black	48	48	100.0%	***	0.0%	15	31.3%	11	22.9%
White	21	20	95.2%	***	0.0%	***	28.6%	***	28.6%
All Other	13	12	92.3%	***	0.0%	***	15.4%	***	7.7%
Unable to Determine	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

Question 14: The Health and Mental Health Care Provided, Including the Stability of Health Care Providers, Medications, and Psychiatric Diagnoses

The population is a Point in Time on the last day of SFY25, June 30, 2025. All children in care on that day are included. Any physical or mental health exam during SFY25 was included in the analysis. Exams that counted as a physical exam included “Initial Health Examination”, “Comprehensive Health Examination”, “Annual Health Examination” or “Follow-up Health Examination”. Exams that counted as a mental health exam included “Mental Health Therapist / Counselor” and “Mental Health”. The presence of a psychiatric diagnosis was also determined using data maintained in the CJAMS data system. Medications was obtained through the CJAMS data system.

		Examinations during SFY2025							
Kent	Children	Physical		Mental		Indication of Psychiatric Diagnosis		Psychotropic Medication	
Category	N	A	A/N	B	B/N	C	C/N	D	D/N
All Ages	10	10	100.0%	***	10.0%	***	80.0%	***	90.0%
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 5 to 11	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	100.0%	***	25.0%	***	100.0%	***	100.0%
Ages 16 to 17	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	100.0%
Ages 18 to 20	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	50.0%	***	100.0%
Age Out of Range	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Totals	10	10	100.0%	***	10.0%	***	80.0%	***	90.0%
Female	***	***	100.0%	***	16.7%	***	83.3%	***	100.0%
Male	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	75.0%	***	75.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Not Disclosed	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Totals	10	10	100.0%	***	10.0%	***	80.0%	***	90.0%

		Examinations during SFY2025							
Kent	Children	Physical		Mental		Indication of Psychiatric Diagnosis		Psychotropic Medication	
Category	N	A	A/N	B	B/N	C	C/N	D	D/N
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	100.0%
White	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	75.0%	***	100.0%
All Other	***	***	100.0%	***	50.0%	***	50.0%	***	50.0%
Unable to Determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 14: The Health and Mental Health Care Provided, Including the Stability of Health Care Providers, Medications, and Psychiatric Diagnoses

The population is a Point in Time on the last day of SFY25, June 30, 2025. All children in care on that day are included. Any physical or mental health exam during SFY25 was included in the analysis. Exams that counted as a physical exam included “Initial Health Examination”, “Comprehensive Health Examination”, “Annual Health Examination” or “Follow-up Health Examination”. Exams that counted as a mental health exam included “Mental Health Therapist / Counselor” and “Mental Health”. The presence of a psychiatric diagnosis was also determined using data maintained in the CJAMS data system. Medications was obtained through the CJAMS data system.

		Examinations during SFY2025							
Montgomery	Children	Physical		Mental		Indication of Psychiatric Diagnosis		Psychotropic Medication	
Category	N	A	A/N	B	B/N	C	C/N	D	D/N
All Ages	338	316	93.5%	***	0.6%	68	20.1%	84	24.9%
Ages 0 to 4	104	98	94.2%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	1.0%
Ages 5 to 11	75	71	94.7%	***	0.0%	***	6.7%	10	13.3%
Ages 12 to 15	54	50	92.6%	***	0.0%	15	27.8%	24	44.4%
Ages 16 to 17	39	37	94.9%	***	2.6%	13	33.3%	17	43.6%
Ages 18 to 20	66	60	90.9%	***	1.5%	35	53.0%	32	48.5%
Age Out of Range	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Totals	338	316	93.5%	***	0.6%	68	20.1%	84	24.9%
Female	160	151	94.4%	***	1.3%	36	22.5%	41	25.6%
Male	174	162	93.1%	***	0.0%	31	17.8%	41	23.6%
Transgender	***	***	75.0%	***	0.0%	***	25.0%	***	50.0%
Not Disclosed	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Totals	338	316	93.5%	***	0.6%	68	20.1%	84	24.9%

		Examinations during SFY2025							
Montgomery	Children	Physical		Mental		Indication of Psychiatric Diagnosis		Psychotropic Medication	
Category	N	A	A/N	B	B/N	C	C/N	D	D/N
Hispanic	92	85	92.4%	***	1.1%	14	15.2%	18	19.6%
Black	150	140	93.3%	***	0.0%	38	25.3%	41	27.3%
White	46	45	97.8%	***	2.2%	***	15.2%	13	28.3%
All Other	41	39	95.1%	***	0.0%	***	22.0%	12	29.3%
Unable to Determine	***	***	77.8%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

Question 14: The Health and Mental Health Care Provided, Including the Stability of Health Care Providers, Medications, and Psychiatric Diagnoses

The population is a Point in Time on the last day of SFY25, June 30, 2025. All children in care on that day are included. Any physical or mental health exam during SFY25 was included in the analysis. Exams that counted as a physical exam included “Initial Health Examination”, “Comprehensive Health Examination”, “Annual Health Examination” or “Follow-up Health Examination”. Exams that counted as a mental health exam included “Mental Health Therapist / Counselor” and “Mental Health”. The presence of a psychiatric diagnosis was also determined using data maintained in the CJAMS data system. Medications was obtained through the CJAMS data system.

		Examinations during SFY2025							
Prince George's	Children	Physical		Mental		Indication of Psychiatric Diagnosis		Psychotropic Medication	
Category	N	A	A/N	B	B/N	C	C/N	D	D/N
All Ages	375	341	90.9%	***	0.5%	92	24.5%	61	16.3%
Ages 0 to 4	61	59	96.7%	***	0.0%	***	1.6%	***	0.0%
Ages 5 to 11	76	71	93.4%	***	0.0%	***	7.9%	***	7.9%
Ages 12 to 15	74	61	82.4%	***	1.4%	20	27.0%	22	29.7%
Ages 16 to 17	68	63	92.6%	***	0.0%	26	38.2%	20	29.4%
Ages 18 to 20	96	87	90.6%	***	1.0%	39	40.6%	13	13.5%
Age Out of Range	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Totals	375	341	90.9%	***	0.5%	92	24.5%	61	16.3%
Female	202	182	90.1%	***	0.5%	41	20.3%	33	16.3%
Male	171	157	91.8%	***	0.6%	50	29.2%	28	16.4%
Transgender	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	50.0%	***	0.0%
Not Disclosed	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Totals	375	341	90.9%	***	0.5%	92	24.5%	61	16.3%

		Examinations during SFY2025							
Prince George's	Children	Physical		Mental		Indication of Psychiatric Diagnosis		Psychotropic Medication	
Category	N	A	A/N	B	B/N	C	C/N	D	D/N
Hispanic	53	47	88.7%	***	0.0%	11	20.8%	***	7.5%
Black	261	237	90.8%	***	0.8%	66	25.3%	46	17.6%
White	13	10	76.9%	***	0.0%	***	38.5%	***	30.8%
All Other	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	14.3%	***	14.3%
Unable to Determine	41	40	97.6%	***	0.0%	***	22.0%	***	14.6%

Question 14: The Health and Mental Health Care Provided, Including the Stability of Health Care Providers, Medications, and Psychiatric Diagnoses

The population is a Point in Time on the last day of SFY25, June 30, 2025. All children in care on that day are included. Any physical or mental health exam during SFY25 was included in the analysis. Exams that counted as a physical exam included “Initial Health Examination”, “Comprehensive Health Examination”, “Annual Health Examination” or “Follow-up Health Examination”. Exams that counted as a mental health exam included “Mental Health Therapist / Counselor” and “Mental Health”. The presence of a psychiatric diagnosis was also determined using data maintained in the CJAMS data system. Medications was obtained through the CJAMS data system.

		Examinations during SFY2025							
Queen Anne's	Children	Physical		Mental		Indication of Psychiatric Diagnosis		Psychotropic Medication	
Category	N	A	A/N	B	B/N	C	C/N	D	D/N
All Ages	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	50.0%	***	25.0%
Ages 0 to 4	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Ages 5 to 11	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	50.0%	***	50.0%
Ages 16 to 17	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	75.0%	***	25.0%
Ages 18 to 20	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Age Out of Range	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Totals	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	50.0%	***	25.0%
Female	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	40.0%	***	20.0%
Male	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	50.0%	***	50.0%
Transgender	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%
Not Disclosed	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Totals	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	50.0%	***	25.0%

		Examinations during SFY2025							
Queen Anne's	Children	Physical		Mental		Indication of Psychiatric Diagnosis		Psychotropic Medication	
Category	N	A	A/N	B	B/N	C	C/N	D	D/N
Hispanic	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Black	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
White	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	66.7%	***	33.3%
All Other	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Unable to Determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 14: The Health and Mental Health Care Provided, Including the Stability of Health Care Providers, Medications, and Psychiatric Diagnoses

The population is a Point in Time on the last day of SFY25, June 30, 2025. All children in care on that day are included. Any physical or mental health exam during SFY25 was included in the analysis. Exams that counted as a physical exam included “Initial Health Examination”, “Comprehensive Health Examination”, “Annual Health Examination” or “Follow-up Health Examination”. Exams that counted as a mental health exam included “Mental Health Therapist / Counselor” and “Mental Health”. The presence of a psychiatric diagnosis was also determined using data maintained in the CJAMS data system. Medications was obtained through the CJAMS data system.

		Examinations during SFY2025							
Somerset	Children	Physical		Mental		Indication of Psychiatric Diagnosis		Psychotropic Medication	
Category	N	A	A/N	B	B/N	C	C/N	D	D/N
All Ages	16	16	100.0%	***	6.3%	***	50.0%	10	62.5%
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	50.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	20.0%	***	60.0%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	100.0%
Ages 16 to 17	***	***	100.0%	***	50.0%	***	50.0%	***	100.0%
Ages 18 to 20	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	75.0%	***	100.0%
Age Out of Range	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Totals	16	16	100.0%	***	6.3%	***	50.0%	10	62.5%
Female	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	57.1%	***	57.1%
Male	***	***	100.0%	***	11.1%	***	44.4%	***	66.7%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Not Disclosed	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Totals	16	16	100.0%	***	6.3%	***	50.0%	10	62.5%

		Examinations during SFY2025							
Somerset	Children	Physical		Mental		Indication of Psychiatric Diagnosis		Psychotropic Medication	
Category	N	A	A/N	B	B/N	C	C/N	D	D/N
Hispanic	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	100.0%
Black	10	10	100.0%	***	10.0%	***	70.0%	***	60.0%
White	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	50.0%
All Other	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	33.3%	***	66.7%
Unable to Determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 14: The Health and Mental Health Care Provided, Including the Stability of Health Care Providers, Medications, and Psychiatric Diagnoses

The population is a Point in Time on the last day of SFY25, June 30, 2025. All children in care on that day are included. Any physical or mental health exam during SFY25 was included in the analysis. Exams that counted as a physical exam included “Initial Health Examination”, “Comprehensive Health Examination”, “Annual Health Examination” or “Follow-up Health Examination”. Exams that counted as a mental health exam included “Mental Health Therapist / Counselor” and “Mental Health”. The presence of a psychiatric diagnosis was also determined using data maintained in the CJAMS data system. Medications was obtained through the CJAMS data system.

		Examinations during SFY2025							
St. Mary's	Children	Physical		Mental		Indication of Psychiatric Diagnosis		Psychotropic Medication	
Category	N	A	A/N	B	B/N	C	C/N	D	D/N
All Ages	42	42	100.0%	***	11.9%	12	28.6%	26	61.9%
Ages 0 to 4	11	11	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	18.2%
Ages 5 to 11	12	12	100.0%	***	8.3%	***	25.0%	***	66.7%
Ages 12 to 15	11	11	100.0%	***	9.1%	***	27.3%	10	90.9%
Ages 16 to 17	***	***	100.0%	***	50.0%	***	50.0%	***	100.0%
Ages 18 to 20	***	***	100.0%	***	25.0%	***	100.0%	***	50.0%
Age Out of Range	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Totals	42	42	100.0%	***	11.9%	12	28.6%	26	61.9%
Female	26	26	100.0%	***	15.4%	***	34.6%	17	65.4%
Male	16	16	100.0%	***	6.3%	***	18.8%	***	56.3%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Not Disclosed	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Totals	42	42	100.0%	***	11.9%	12	28.6%	26	61.9%

		Examinations during SFY2025							
St. Mary's	Children	Physical		Mental		Indication of Psychiatric Diagnosis		Psychotropic Medication	
Category	N	A	A/N	B	B/N	C	C/N	D	D/N
Hispanic	***	***	100.0%	***	25.0%	***	25.0%	***	75.0%
Black	15	15	100.0%	***	6.7%	***	46.7%	***	46.7%
White	15	15	100.0%	***	13.3%	***	26.7%	11	73.3%
All Other	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	57.1%
Unable to Determine	***	***	100.0%	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	100.0%

Question 14: The Health and Mental Health Care Provided, Including the Stability of Health Care Providers, Medications, and Psychiatric Diagnoses

The population is a Point in Time on the last day of SFY25, June 30, 2025. All children in care on that day are included. Any physical or mental health exam during SFY25 was included in the analysis. Exams that counted as a physical exam included “Initial Health Examination”, “Comprehensive Health Examination”, “Annual Health Examination” or “Follow-up Health Examination”. Exams that counted as a mental health exam included “Mental Health Therapist / Counselor” and “Mental Health”. The presence of a psychiatric diagnosis was also determined using data maintained in the CJAMS data system. Medications was obtained through the CJAMS data system.

		Examinations during SFY2025							
Talbot	Children	Physical		Mental		Indication of Psychiatric Diagnosis		Psychotropic Medication	
Category	N	A	A/N	B	B/N	C	C/N	D	D/N
All Ages	16	15	93.8%	***	12.5%	***	37.5%	10	62.5%
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	50.0%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	100.0%	***	20.0%	***	60.0%	***	80.0%
Ages 16 to 17	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	66.7%	***	100.0%
Ages 18 to 20	***	***	75.0%	***	25.0%	***	25.0%	***	50.0%
Age Out of Range	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Totals	16	15	93.8%	***	12.5%	***	37.5%	10	62.5%
Female	***	***	100.0%	***	14.3%	***	28.6%	***	57.1%
Male	***	***	88.9%	***	11.1%	***	44.4%	***	66.7%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Not Disclosed	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Totals	16	15	93.8%	***	12.5%	***	37.5%	10	62.5%

		Examinations during SFY2025							
Talbot	Children	Physical		Mental		Indication of Psychiatric Diagnosis		Psychotropic Medication	
Category	N	A	A/N	B	B/N	C	C/N	D	D/N
Hispanic	***	***	100.0%	***	50.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Black	***	***	100.0%	***	33.3%	***	100.0%	***	66.7%
White	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	16.7%	***	66.7%
All Other	***	***	66.7%	***	0.0%	***	66.7%	***	100.0%
Unable to Determine	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	50.0%

Question 14: The Health and Mental Health Care Provided, Including the Stability of Health Care Providers, Medications, and Psychiatric Diagnoses

The population is a Point in Time on the last day of SFY25, June 30, 2025. All children in care on that day are included. Any physical or mental health exam during SFY25 was included in the analysis. Exams that counted as a physical exam included “Initial Health Examination”, “Comprehensive Health Examination”, “Annual Health Examination” or “Follow-up Health Examination”. Exams that counted as a mental health exam included “Mental Health Therapist / Counselor” and “Mental Health”. The presence of a psychiatric diagnosis was also determined using data maintained in the CJAMS data system. Medications was obtained through the CJAMS data system.

		Examinations during SFY2025							
Washington	Children	Physical		Mental		Indication of Psychiatric Diagnosis		Psychotropic Medication	
Category	N	A	A/N	B	B/N	C	C/N	D	D/N
All Ages	168	163	97.0%	12	7.1%	30	17.9%	43	25.6%
Ages 0 to 4	55	55	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 5 to 11	55	54	98.2%	***	1.8%	***	10.9%	15	27.3%
Ages 12 to 15	32	31	96.9%	***	18.8%	10	31.3%	14	43.8%
Ages 16 to 17	14	14	100.0%	***	28.6%	***	42.9%	***	57.1%
Ages 18 to 20	12	***	75.0%	***	8.3%	***	66.7%	***	50.0%
Age Out of Range	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Totals	168	163	97.0%	12	7.1%	30	17.9%	43	25.6%
Female	69	66	95.7%	***	7.2%	14	20.3%	17	24.6%
Male	99	97	98.0%	***	7.1%	16	16.2%	26	26.3%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Not Disclosed	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Totals	168	163	97.0%	12	7.1%	30	17.9%	43	25.6%

		Examinations during SFY2025							
Washington	Children	Physical		Mental		Indication of Psychiatric Diagnosis		Psychotropic Medication	
Category	N	A	A/N	B	B/N	C	C/N	D	D/N
Hispanic	16	15	93.8%	***	12.5%	***	12.5%	***	25.0%
Black	33	31	93.9%	***	12.1%	***	18.2%	***	27.3%
White	81	80	98.8%	***	4.9%	16	19.8%	19	23.5%
All Other	35	34	97.1%	***	0.0%	***	11.4%	10	28.6%
Unable to Determine	***	***	100.0%	***	66.7%	***	66.7%	***	33.3%

Question 14: The Health and Mental Health Care Provided, Including the Stability of Health Care Providers, Medications, and Psychiatric Diagnoses

The population is a Point in Time on the last day of SFY25, June 30, 2025. All children in care on that day are included. Any physical or mental health exam during SFY25 was included in the analysis. Exams that counted as a physical exam included “Initial Health Examination”, “Comprehensive Health Examination”, “Annual Health Examination” or “Follow-up Health Examination”. Exams that counted as a mental health exam included “Mental Health Therapist / Counselor” and “Mental Health”. The presence of a psychiatric diagnosis was also determined using data maintained in the CJAMS data system. Medications was obtained through the CJAMS data system.

		Examinations during SFY2025							
Wicomico	Children	Physical		Mental		Indication of Psychiatric Diagnosis		Psychotropic Medication	
Category	N	A	A/N	B	B/N	C	C/N	D	D/N
All Ages	41	37	90.2%	***	9.8%	14	34.1%	20	48.8%
Ages 0 to 4	11	10	90.9%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	27.3%
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	100.0%	***	11.1%	***	55.6%	***	55.6%
Ages 16 to 17	***	***	100.0%	***	28.6%	***	57.1%	***	71.4%
Ages 18 to 20	***	***	62.5%	***	12.5%	***	62.5%	***	87.5%
Age Out of Range	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Totals	41	37	90.2%	***	9.8%	14	34.1%	20	48.8%
Female	19	18	94.7%	***	21.1%	***	36.8%	10	52.6%
Male	22	19	86.4%	***	0.0%	***	31.8%	10	45.5%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Not Disclosed	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Totals	41	37	90.2%	***	9.8%	14	34.1%	20	48.8%

		Examinations during SFY2025							
Wicomico	Children	Physical		Mental		Indication of Psychiatric Diagnosis		Psychotropic Medication	
Category	N	A	A/N	B	B/N	C	C/N	D	D/N
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	26	24	92.3%	***	15.4%	***	23.1%	13	50.0%
White	10	***	90.0%	***	0.0%	***	60.0%	***	50.0%
All Other	***	***	75.0%	***	0.0%	***	50.0%	***	50.0%
Unable to Determine	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%

Question 14: The Health and Mental Health Care Provided, Including the Stability of Health Care Providers, Medications, and Psychiatric Diagnoses

The population is a Point in Time on the last day of SFY25, June 30, 2025. All children in care on that day are included. Any physical or mental health exam during SFY25 was included in the analysis. Exams that counted as a physical exam included “Initial Health Examination”, “Comprehensive Health Examination”, “Annual Health Examination” or “Follow-up Health Examination”. Exams that counted as a mental health exam included “Mental Health Therapist / Counselor” and “Mental Health”. The presence of a psychiatric diagnosis was also determined using data maintained in the CJAMS data system. Medications was obtained through the CJAMS data system.

		Examinations during SFY2025							
Worcester	Children	Physical		Mental		Indication of Psychiatric Diagnosis		Psychotropic Medication	
Category	N	A	A/N	B	B/N	C	C/N	D	D/N
All Ages	18	18	100.0%	***	5.6%	***	27.8%	***	33.3%
Ages 0 to 4	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%
Ages 5 to 11	***	***	100.0%	***	25.0%	***	50.0%	***	50.0%
Ages 12 to 15	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	33.3%	***	33.3%
Ages 16 to 17	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	66.7%	***	66.7%
Ages 18 to 20	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	33.3%
Age Out of Range	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Totals	18	18	100.0%	***	5.6%	***	27.8%	***	33.3%
Female	14	14	100.0%	***	7.1%	***	35.7%	***	35.7%
Male	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	0.0%	***	25.0%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Not Disclosed	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Totals	18	18	100.0%	***	5.6%	***	27.8%	***	33.3%

		Examinations during SFY2025							
Worcester	Children	Physical		Mental		Indication of Psychiatric Diagnosis		Psychotropic Medication	
Category	N	A	A/N	B	B/N	C	C/N	D	D/N
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	20.0%	***	20.0%
White	12	12	100.0%	***	8.3%	***	25.0%	***	33.3%
All Other	***	***	100.0%	***	0.0%	***	100.0%	***	100.0%
Unable to Determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Appendix 12: Question 15 – Jurisdictional Context

Question 15: The Number of Children who are not enrolled in School for more than 1 Week Immediately Following a Change in Placement.

**School aged children with placement changes that occur during the school year.

Technical Notes: Any change in placement that took place during SFY25 was the base population for this question. Placements where the Child was under 5 years old at the time of the placement change, and any placement change that occurred outside of the school year (between June 7 and August 31) was then removed from the population. Education data was obtained from the CJAMS data system and compared to the child's entry date for any new placement. There were three possible outcomes. First, a child could have a start date before their placement entry, indicating that they stayed enrolled in the same school. Second, a child could have a start date within 7 days of their placement entry, indicating that they were enrolled in a new school within one week. The final possible outcome is that a child could have a start date more than 7 days after placement entry, indicating they were enrolled in a new school over a week after. **Note:** Only children with education information were used to calculate the percentage of each outcome.

Allegany	Number of placement changes	Enrolled after 7 days of Placement		Timely Enrollment after Placement	
Characteristic	Placement Changes (A)	n (b)	(b)/(A) %	n (c)	(c)/(A) %
Age Group	26	.	0.00 %	26	100.0%
Ages 0 to 4	.	.	.	.	.
Ages 5 to 11	***	.	0.00 %	***	100.0%
Ages 12 to 15	***	.	0.00 %	***	100.0%
Ages 16 to 17	***	.	0.00 %	***	100.0%
Ages 18 to 20	***	.	0.00 %	***	100.0%
Gender	26	.	0.00 %	26	100.0%

Female	10	.	0.00 %	10	100.0%
Male	11	.	0.00 %	11	100.0%
Transgender	***	.	0.00 %	***	100.0%
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity	26	.	0.00 %	26	100.0%
Hispanic	***	.	0.00 %	***	100.0%
Black	***	.	0.00 %	***	100.0%
White	16	.	0.00 %	16	100.0%
All other	***	.	0.00 %	***	100.0%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.

Question 15: The Number of Children who are not enrolled in School for more than 1 Week Immediately Following a Change in Placement.

**School aged children with placement changes that occur during the school year.

Technical Notes: Any change in placement that took place during SFY25 was the base population for this question. Placements where the Child was under 5 years old at the time of the placement change, and any placement change that occurred outside of the school year (between June 7 and August 31) was then removed from the population. Education data was obtained from the CJAMS data system and compared to the child's entry date for any new placement. There were three possible outcomes. First, a child could have a start date before their placement entry, indicating that they stayed enrolled in the same school. Second, a child could have a start date within 7 days of their placement entry, indicating that they were enrolled in a new school within one week. The final possible outcome is that a child could have a start date more than 7 days after placement entry, indicating they were enrolled in a new school over a week after. **Note:** Only children with education information were used to calculate the percentage of each outcome.

Anne Arundel		Number of placement changes	Enrolled after 7 days of Placement		Timely Enrollment after Placement	
	Characteristic	Placement Changes (A)	n (b)	(b)/(A) %	n (c)	(c)/(A) %
	Age Group Totals	113	***	0.88%	112	99.12%
	Ages 0 to 4	.	.	.	.	.
	Ages 5 to 11	45	.	0.00%	45	100.0%
	Ages 12 to 15	34	.	0.00%	34	100.0%
	Ages 16 to 17	17	***	5.88%	16	94.12%
	Ages 18 to 20	17	.	0.00%	17	100.0%
	Gender Totals	113	***	0.88%	112	99.12%
	Female	61	.	0.00%	61	100.0%
	Male	52	***	1.92%	51	98.08%
	Transgender	.	.	.	.	.

Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity Totals	113	***	0.88%	112	99.12%
Hispanic	11	.	0.00%	11	100.0%
Black	42	***	2.38%	41	97.62%
White	45	.	0.00%	45	100.0%
All other	15	.	0.00%	15	100.0%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.

Question 15: The Number of Children who are not enrolled in School for more than 1 Week Immediately Following a Change in Placement.

****School aged children with placement changes that occur during the school year.**

Technical Notes: Any change in placement that took place during SFY25 was the base population for this question. Placements where the Child was under 5 years old at the time of the placement change, and any placement change that occurred outside of the school year (between June 7 and August 31) was then removed from the population. Education data was obtained from the CJAMS data system and compared to the child's entry date for any new placement. There were three possible outcomes. First, a child could have a start date before their placement entry, indicating that they stayed enrolled in the same school. Second, a child could have a start date within 7 days of their placement entry, indicating that they were enrolled in a new school within one week. The final possible outcome is that a child could have a start date more than 7 days after placement entry, indicating they were enrolled in a new school over a week after. **Note:** Only children with education information were used to calculate the percentage of each outcome.

Baltimore City		Number of placement changes	Enrolled after 7 days of Placement		Timely Enrollment after Placement	
	Characteristic	Placement Changes (A)	n (b)	(b)/(A) %	n (c)	(c)/(A) %
	Age Group Totals	925	39	4.22%	886	95.78%
	Ages 0 to 4	.	.	.	.	.
	Ages 5 to 11	230	27	11.74%	203	88.26%
	Ages 12 to 15	251	***	2.39%	245	97.61%
	Ages 16 to 17	222	***	2.25%	217	97.75%
	Ages 18 to 20	222	***	0.45%	221	99.55%
	Gender Totals	925	39	4.22%	886	95.78%
	Female	544	17	3.13%	527	96.88%
	Male	372	22	5.91%	350	94.09%
	Transgender	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.

Race/Ethnicity Totals	925	39	4.22%	886	95.78%
Hispanic	30	***	3.33%	29	96.67%
Black	789	32	4.06%	757	95.94%
White	81	***	1.23%	80	98.77%
All other	24	***	20.83%	19	79.17%
Unable to determine	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%

Question 15: The Number of Children who are not enrolled in School for more than 1 Week Immediately Following a Change in Placement.

****School aged children with placement changes that occur during the school year.**

Technical Notes: Any change in placement that took place during SFY25 was the base population for this question. Placements where the Child was under 5 years old at the time of the placement change, and any placement change that occurred outside of the school year (between June 7 and August 31) was then removed from the population. Education data was obtained from the CJAMS data system and compared to the child's entry date for any new placement. There were three possible outcomes. First, a child could have a start date before their placement entry, indicating that they stayed enrolled in the same school. Second, a child could have a start date within 7 days of their placement entry, indicating that they were enrolled in a new school within one week. The final possible outcome is that a child could have a start date more than 7 days after placement entry, indicating they were enrolled in a new school over a week after. **Note:** Only children with education information were used to calculate the percentage of each outcome.

Baltimore County		Number of placement changes	Enrolled after 7 days of Placement		Timely Enrollment after Placement	
	Characteristic	Placement Changes (A)	n (b)	(b)/(A) %	n (c)	(c)/(A) %
	Age Group	424	21	4.95%	403	95.05%
	Ages 0 to 4	.	.	.	.	.
	Ages 5 to 11	105	12	11.43%	93	88.57%
	Ages 12 to 15	115	***	5.22%	109	94.78%
	Ages 16 to 17	110	***	2.73%	107	97.27%
	Ages 18 to 20	94	.	0.00%	94	100.0%
	Gender	424	21	4.95%	403	95.05%
	Female	236	15	6.36%	221	93.64%
	Male	187	***	3.21%	181	96.79%
	Transgender	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.

Race/Ethnicity	424	21	4.95%	403	95.05%
Hispanic	59	***	6.78%	55	93.22%
Black	202	***	3.47%	195	96.53%
White	115	***	6.96%	107	93.04%
All other	45	***	2.22%	44	97.78%
Unable to determine	***	***	33.33%	***	66.67%

Question 15: The Number of Children who are not enrolled in School for more than 1 Week Immediately Following a Change in Placement.

**School aged children with placement changes that occur during the school year.

Technical Notes: Any change in placement that took place during SFY25 was the base population for this question. Placements where the Child was under 5 years old at the time of the placement change, and any placement change that occurred outside of the school year (between June 7 and August 31) was then removed from the population. Education data was obtained from the CJAMS data system and compared to the child's entry date for any new placement. There were three possible outcomes. First, a child could have a start date before their placement entry, indicating that they stayed enrolled in the same school. Second, a child could have a start date within 7 days of their placement entry, indicating that they were enrolled in a new school within one week. The final possible outcome is that a child could have a start date more than 7 days after placement entry, indicating they were enrolled in a new school over a week after. **Note:** Only children with education information were used to calculate the percentage of each outcome.

Calvert		Number of placement changes	Enrolled after 7 days of Placement		Timely Enrollment after Placement	
	Characteristic	Placement Changes (A)	n (b)	(b)/(A) %	n (c)	(c)/(A) %
	Age Group Totals	24	.	.	24	100.0%
	Ages 0 to 4	.	.	.	.	.
	Ages 5 to 11	***	.	.	***	100.0%
	Ages 12 to 15	10	.	.	10	100.0%
	Ages 16 to 17	***	.	.	***	100.0%
	Ages 18 to 20	***	.	.	***	100.0%
	Gender Totals	24	.	.	24	100.0%
	Female	18	.	.	18	100.0%
	Male	***	.	.	***	100.0%
	Transgender	.	.	.	.	.

Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity Totals	24	.		24	100.0%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.
Black	12	.	.	12	100.0%
White	***	.	.	***	100.0%
All other	***	.	.	***	100.0%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.

Question 15: The Number of Children who are not enrolled in School for more than 1 Week Immediately Following a Change in Placement.

**School aged children with placement changes that occur during the school year.

Technical Notes: Any change in placement that took place during SFY25 was the base population for this question. Placements where the Child was under 5 years old at the time of the placement change, and any placement change that occurred outside of the school year (between June 7 and August 31) was then removed from the population. Education data was obtained from the CJAMS data system and compared to the child's entry date for any new placement. There were three possible outcomes. First, a child could have a start date before their placement entry, indicating that they stayed enrolled in the same school. Second, a child could have a start date within 7 days of their placement entry, indicating that they were enrolled in a new school within one week. The final possible outcome is that a child could have a start date more than 7 days after placement entry, indicating they were enrolled in a new school over a week after. **Note:** Only children with education information were used to calculate the percentage of each outcome.

Caroline		Number of placement changes	Enrolled after 7 days of Placement		Timely Enrollment after Placement	
	Characteristic	Placement Changes (A)	n (b)	(b)/(A) %	n (c)	(c)/(A) %
	Age Group	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Ages 0 to 4	.	.	.	.	.
	Ages 5 to 11	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Ages 12 to 15	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Ages 16 to 17	.	.	.	.	.
	Ages 18 to 20	.	.	.	.	.
	Gender Totals	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Female	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Male	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Transgender	.	.	.	.	.
	Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.

Race/Ethnicity	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.
Black	.	.	.	.	.
White	.	.	.	.	.
All other	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.

Question 15: The Number of Children who are not enrolled in School for more than 1 Week Immediately Following a Change in Placement.

**School aged children with placement changes that occur during the school year.

Technical Notes: Any change in placement that took place during SFY25 was the base population for this question. Placements where the Child was under 5 years old at the time of the placement change, and any placement change that occurred outside of the school year (between June 7 and August 31) was then removed from the population. Education data was obtained from the CJAMS data system and compared to the child's entry date for any new placement. There were three possible outcomes. First, a child could have a start date before their placement entry, indicating that they stayed enrolled in the same school. Second, a child could have a start date within 7 days of their placement entry, indicating that they were enrolled in a new school within one week. The final possible outcome is that a child could have a start date more than 7 days after placement entry, indicating they were enrolled in a new school over a week after. **Note:** Only children with education information were used to calculate the percentage of each outcome.

Carroll		Number of placement changes	Enrolled after 7 days of Placement		Timely Enrollment after Placement	
	Characteristic	Placement Changes (A)	n (b)	(b)/(A) %	n (c)	(c)/(A) %
	Age Group Totals	35	.	0.00%	35	100.0%
	Ages 0 to 4	.	.	.	.	.
	Ages 5 to 11	16	.	0.00%	16	100.0%
	Ages 12 to 15	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Ages 16 to 17	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Ages 18 to 20	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Gender Totals	35	.	0.00%	35	100.0%
	Female	26	.	0.00%	26	100.0%
	Male	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Transgender	.	.	.	.	.
	Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.

Race/Ethnicity Totals	35	.	0.00%	35	100.0%
Hispanic	22	.	0.00%	22	100.0%
Black	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
White	11	.	0.00%	11	100.0%
All other	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.

Question 15: The Number of Children who are not enrolled in School for more than 1 Week Immediately Following a Change in Placement.

**School aged children with placement changes that occur during the school year.

Technical Notes: Any change in placement that took place during SFY25 was the base population for this question. Placements where the Child was under 5 years old at the time of the placement change, and any placement change that occurred outside of the school year (between June 7 and August 31) was then removed from the population. Education data was obtained from the CJAMS data system and compared to the child's entry date for any new placement. There were three possible outcomes. First, a child could have a start date before their placement entry, indicating that they stayed enrolled in the same school. Second, a child could have a start date within 7 days of their placement entry, indicating that they were enrolled in a new school within one week. The final possible outcome is that a child could have a start date more than 7 days after placement entry, indicating they were enrolled in a new school over a week after. **Note:** Only children with education information were used to calculate the percentage of each outcome.

Cecil		Number of placement changes	Enrolled after 7 days of Placement		Timely Enrollment after Placement	
	Characteristic	Placement Changes (A)	n (b)	(b)/(A) %	n (c)	(c)/(A) %
	Age Group Totals	66	.	0.00%	66	100.0%
	Ages 0 to 4	.	.	.	.	.
	Ages 5 to 11	24	.	0.00%	24	100.0%
	Ages 12 to 15	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Ages 16 to 17	13	.	0.00%	13	100.0%
	Ages 18 to 20	20	.	0.00%	20	100.0%
	Gender Totals	66	.	0.00%	66	100.0%
	Female	11	.	0.00%	11	100.0%
	Male	38	.	0.00%	38	100.0%
	Transgender	17	.	0.00%	17	100.0%
	Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.
	Race/Ethnicity Totals	66	.	0.00%	66	100.0%

Hispanic	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
Black	14	.	0.00%	14	100.0%
White	47	.	0.00%	47	100.0%
All other	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.

Question 15: The Number of Children who are not enrolled in School for more than 1 Week Immediately Following a Change in Placement.

**School aged children with placement changes that occur during the school year.

Technical Notes: Any change in placement that took place during SFY25 was the base population for this question. Placements where the Child was under 5 years old at the time of the placement change, and any placement change that occurred outside of the school year (between June 7 and August 31) was then removed from the population. Education data was obtained from the CJAMS data system and compared to the child's entry date for any new placement. There were three possible outcomes. First, a child could have a start date before their placement entry, indicating that they stayed enrolled in the same school. Second, a child could have a start date within 7 days of their placement entry, indicating that they were enrolled in a new school within one week. The final possible outcome is that a child could have a start date more than 7 days after placement entry, indicating they were enrolled in a new school over a week after. **Note:** Only children with education information were used to calculate the percentage of each outcome.

Charles		Number of placement changes	Enrolled after 7 days of Placement		Timely Enrollment after Placement	
	Characteristic	Placement Changes (A)	n (b)	(b)/(A) %	n (c)	(c)/(A) %
	Age Group Totals	27	.	0.00%	27	100.0%
	Ages 0 to 4	.	.	.	.	.
	Ages 5 to 11	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Ages 12 to 15	11	.	0.00%	11	100.0%
	Ages 16 to 17	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Ages 18 to 20	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Gender Totals	27	.	0.00%	27	100.0%
	Female	15	.	0.00%	15	100.0%
	Male	12	.	0.00%	12	100.0%
	Transgender	.	.	.	.	.
	Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.

	Race/Ethnicity Totals	27	.	0.00%	27	100.0%
	Hispanic	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Black	18	.	0.00%	18	100.0%
	White	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	All other	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.

Question 15: The Number of Children who are not enrolled in School for more than 1 Week Immediately Following a Change in Placement.

**School aged children with placement changes that occur during the school year.

Technical Notes: Any change in placement that took place during SFY25 was the base population for this question. Placements where the Child was under 5 years old at the time of the placement change, and any placement change that occurred outside of the school year (between June 7 and August 31) was then removed from the population. Education data was obtained from the CJAMS data system and compared to the child's entry date for any new placement. There were three possible outcomes. First, a child could have a start date before their placement entry, indicating that they stayed enrolled in the same school. Second, a child could have a start date within 7 days of their placement entry, indicating that they were enrolled in a new school within one week. The final possible outcome is that a child could have a start date more than 7 days after placement entry, indicating they were enrolled in a new school over a week after. **Note:** Only children with education information were used to calculate the percentage of each outcome.

Dorchester		Number of placement changes	Enrolled after 7 days of Placement		Timely Enrollment after Placement	
	Characteristic	Placement Changes (A)	n (b)	(b)/(A) %	n (c)	(c)/(A) %
	Age Group Totals	16	.	0.00%	16	100.0%
	Ages 0 to 4	.	.	.	.	.
	Ages 5 to 11	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Ages 12 to 15	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Ages 16 to 17	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Ages 18 to 20	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Gender Totals	16	.	0.00%	16	100.0%
	Female	10	.	0.00%	10	100.0%
	Male	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Transgender	.	.	.	.	.

Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity	16	.	0.00%	16	100.0%
Hispanic	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
Black	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
White	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
All other	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%

Question 15: The Number of Children who are not enrolled in School for more than 1 Week Immediately Following a Change in Placement.

**School aged children with placement changes that occur during the school year.

Technical Notes: Any change in placement that took place during SFY25 was the base population for this question. Placements where the Child was under 5 years old at the time of the placement change, and any placement change that occurred outside of the school year (between June 7 and August 31) was then removed from the population. Education data was obtained from the CJAMS data system and compared to the child's entry date for any new placement. There were three possible outcomes. First, a child could have a start date before their placement entry, indicating that they stayed enrolled in the same school. Second, a child could have a start date within 7 days of their placement entry, indicating that they were enrolled in a new school within one week. The final possible outcome is that a child could have a start date more than 7 days after placement entry, indicating they were enrolled in a new school over a week after. **Note:** Only children with education information were used to calculate the percentage of each outcome.

Frederick		Number of placement changes	Enrolled after 7 days of Placement		Timely Enrollment after Placement	
	Characteristic	Placement Changes (A)	n (b)	(b)/(A) %	n (c)	(c)/(A) %
	Age Group Totals	33	***	6.06%	31	93.94%
	Ages 0 to 4	.	.	.	.	.
	Ages 5 to 11	***	***	33.33%	***	66.67%
	Ages 12 to 15	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Ages 16 to 17	11	.	0.00%	11	100.0%
	Ages 18 to 20	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Gender Totals	33	***	6.06%	31	93.94%
	Female	22	.	0.00%	22	100.0%
	Male	11	***	18.18%	***	81.82%
	Transgender	.	.	.	.	.
	Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.

	Race/Ethnicity Totals	33	***	6.06%	31	93.94%
	Hispanic	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Black	12	.	0.00%	12	100.0%
	White	15	***	13.33%	13	86.67%
	All other	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.

Question 15: The Number of Children who are not enrolled in School for more than 1 Week Immediately Following a Change in Placement.

**School aged children with placement changes that occur during the school year.

Technical Notes: Any change in placement that took place during SFY25 was the base population for this question. Placements where the Child was under 5 years old at the time of the placement change, and any placement change that occurred outside of the school year (between June 7 and August 31) was then removed from the population. Education data was obtained from the CJAMS data system and compared to the child's entry date for any new placement. There were three possible outcomes. First, a child could have a start date before their placement entry, indicating that they stayed enrolled in the same school. Second, a child could have a start date within 7 days of their placement entry, indicating that they were enrolled in a new school within one week. The final possible outcome is that a child could have a start date more than 7 days after placement entry, indicating they were enrolled in a new school over a week after. **Note:** Only children with education information were used to calculate the percentage of each outcome.

Garrett		Number of placement changes	Enrolled after 7 days of Placement		Timely Enrollment after Placement	
	Characteristic	Placement Changes (A)	n (b)	(b)/(A) %	n (c)	(c)/(A) %
	Age Group Totals	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Ages 0 to 4	.	.	.	.	.
	Ages 5 to 11	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Ages 12 to 15	.	.	.	.	.
	Ages 16 to 17	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Ages 18 to 20	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Gender Totals	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Female	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Male	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Transgender	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.

	Race/Ethnicity	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.
	Black	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	White	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	All other	.	.	.	.	.
	Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.

Question 15: The Number of Children who are not enrolled in School for more than 1 Week Immediately Following a Change in Placement.

**School aged children with placement changes that occur during the school year.

Technical Notes: Any change in placement that took place during SFY25 was the base population for this question. Placements where the Child was under 5 years old at the time of the placement change, and any placement change that occurred outside of the school year (between June 7 and August 31) was then removed from the population. Education data was obtained from the CJAMS data system and compared to the child's entry date for any new placement. There were three possible outcomes. First, a child could have a start date before their placement entry, indicating that they stayed enrolled in the same school. Second, a child could have a start date within 7 days of their placement entry, indicating that they were enrolled in a new school within one week. The final possible outcome is that a child could have a start date more than 7 days after placement entry, indicating they were enrolled in a new school over a week after. **Note:** Only children with education information were used to calculate the percentage of each outcome.

Harford		Number of placement changes	Enrolled after 7 days of Placement		Timely Enrollment after Placement	
	Characteristic	Placement Changes (A)	n (b)	(b)/(A) %	n (c)	(c)/(A) %
	Age Group Totals	102	***	4.90%	97	95.10%
	Ages 0 to 4	.	.	.	.	.
	Ages 5 to 11	33	***	9.09%	30	90.91%
	Ages 12 to 15	28	***	3.57%	27	96.43%
	Ages 16 to 17	17	***	5.88%	16	94.12%
	Ages 18 to 20	24	.	0.00 %	24	100.0%
	Gender Totals	102	***	4.90%	97	95.10%
	Female	52	***	3.85%	50	96.15%
	Male	50	***	6.00%	47	94.00%
	Transgender	.	.	.	.	.

Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity Totals	102	***	4.90%	97	95.10%
Hispanic	***	.	0.00 %	***	100.0%
Black	49	***	4.08%	47	95.92%
White	42	***	7.14%	39	92.86%
All other	***	.	0.00 %	***	100.0%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.

Question 15: The Number of Children who are not enrolled in School for more than 1 Week Immediately Following a Change in Placement.

**School aged children with placement changes that occur during the school year.

Technical Notes: Any change in placement that took place during SFY25 was the base population for this question. Placements where the Child was under 5 years old at the time of the placement change, and any placement change that occurred outside of the school year (between June 7 and August 31) was then removed from the population. Education data was obtained from the CJAMS data system and compared to the child's entry date for any new placement. There were three possible outcomes. First, a child could have a start date before their placement entry, indicating that they stayed enrolled in the same school. Second, a child could have a start date within 7 days of their placement entry, indicating that they were enrolled in a new school within one week. The final possible outcome is that a child could have a start date more than 7 days after placement entry, indicating they were enrolled in a new school over a week after. **Note:** Only children with education information were used to calculate the percentage of each outcome.

Howard		Number of placement changes	Enrolled after 7 days of Placement		Timely Enrollment after Placement	
	Characteristic	Placement Changes (A)	n (b)	(b)/(A) %	n (c)	(c)/(A) %
	Age Group	84	.	0.00%	84	100.0%
	Ages 0 to 4	.	.	.	.	.
	Ages 5 to 11	25	.	0.00%	25	100.0%
	Ages 12 to 15	13	.	0.00%	13	100.0%
	Ages 16 to 17	28	.	0.00%	28	100.0%
	Ages 18 to 20	18	.	0.00%	18	100.0%
	Gender Totals	84	.	0.00%	84	100.0%
	Female	45	.	0.00%	45	100.0%
	Male	39	.	0.00%	39	100.0%
	Transgender	.	.	.	.	.
	Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.

Race/Ethnicity	84	.	0.00%	84	100.0%
Hispanic	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
Black	46	.	0.00%	46	100.0%
White	23	.	0.00%	23	100.0%
All other	13	.	0.00%	13	100.0%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.

Question 15: The Number of Children who are not enrolled in School for more than 1 Week Immediately Following a Change in Placement.

**School aged children with placement changes that occur during the school year.

Technical Notes: Any change in placement that took place during SFY25 was the base population for this question. Placements where the Child was under 5 years old at the time of the placement change, and any placement change that occurred outside of the school year (between June 7 and August 31) was then removed from the population. Education data was obtained from the CJAMS data system and compared to the child's entry date for any new placement. There were three possible outcomes. First, a child could have a start date before their placement entry, indicating that they stayed enrolled in the same school. Second, a child could have a start date within 7 days of their placement entry, indicating that they were enrolled in a new school within one week. The final possible outcome is that a child could have a start date more than 7 days after placement entry, indicating they were enrolled in a new school over a week after. **Note:** Only children with education information were used to calculate the percentage of each outcome.

Kent		Number of placement changes	Enrolled after 7 days of Placement		Timely Enrollment after Placement	
	Characteristic	Placement Changes (A)	n (b)	(b)/(A) %	n (c)	(c)/(A) %
	Age Group Totals	***	.	0.00 %	***	100.0%
	Ages 0 to 4	.	.	.	.	.
	Ages 5 to 11	.	.	.	.	.
	Ages 12 to 15	***	.	0.00 %	***	100.0%
	Ages 16 to 17	***	.	0.00 %	***	100.0%
	Ages 18 to 20	***	.	0.00 %	***	100.0%
	Gender Totals	***	.	0.00 %	***	100.0%

Female	***	.	0.00 %	***	100.0%
Male	.	.	.	.	.
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity Totals	***	.	0.00 %	***	100.0%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.
Black	***	.	0.00 %	***	100.0%
White	***	.	0.00 %	***	100.0%
All other	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.

Question 15: The Number of Children who are not enrolled in School for more than 1 Week Immediately Following a Change in Placement.

**School aged children with placement changes that occur during the school year.

Technical Notes: Any change in placement that took place during SFY25 was the base population for this question. Placements where the Child was under 5 years old at the time of the placement change, and any placement change that occurred outside of the school year (between June 7 and August 31) was then removed from the population. Education data was obtained from the CJAMS data system and compared to the child's entry date for any new placement. There were three possible outcomes. First, a child could have a start date before their placement entry, indicating that they stayed enrolled in the same school. Second, a child could have a start date within 7 days of their placement entry, indicating that they were enrolled in a new school within one week. The final possible outcome is that a child could have a start date more than 7 days after placement entry, indicating they were enrolled in a new school over a week after. **Note:** Only children with education information were used to calculate the percentage of each outcome.

Montgomery		Number of placement changes	Enrolled after 7 days of Placement		Timely Enrollment after Placement	
	Characteristic	Placement Changes (A)	n (b)	(b)/(A) %	n (c)	(c)/(A) %
	Age Group Totals	150	***	0.67%	149	99.33%
	Ages 0 to 4	.	.	.	.	.
	Ages 5 to 11	42	.	0.00%	42	100.0%
	Ages 12 to 15	44	.	0.00%	44	100.0%
	Ages 16 to 17	27	***	3.70%	26	96.30%
	Ages 18 to 20	37	.	0.00%	37	100.0%
	Gender Totals	150	***	0.67%	149	99.33%
	Female	79	***	1.27%	78	98.73%
	Male	63	.	0.00%	63	100.0%
	Transgender	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.
	Race/Ethnicity Totals	150	***	0.67%	149	99.33%

Hispanic	55	.	0.00%	55	100.0%
Black	61	.	0.00%	61	100.0%
White	13	***	7.69%	12	92.31%
All other	21	.	0.00%	21	100.0%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.

Question 15: The Number of Children who are not enrolled in School for more than 1 Week Immediately Following a Change in Placement.

**School aged children with placement changes that occur during the school year.

Technical Notes: Any change in placement that took place during SFY25 was the base population for this question. Placements where the Child was under 5 years old at the time of the placement change, and any placement change that occurred outside of the school year (between June 7 and August 31) was then removed from the population. Education data was obtained from the CJAMS data system and compared to the child's entry date for any new placement. There were three possible outcomes. First, a child could have a start date before their placement entry, indicating that they stayed enrolled in the same school. Second, a child could have a start date within 7 days of their placement entry, indicating that they were enrolled in a new school within one week. The final possible outcome is that a child could have a start date more than 7 days after placement entry, indicating they were enrolled in a new school over a week after. **Note:** Only children with education information were used to calculate the percentage of each outcome.

Prince George's		Number of placement changes	Enrolled after 7 days of Placement		Timely Enrollment after Placement	
	Characteristic	Placement Changes (A)	n (b)	(b)/(A) %	n (c)	(c)/(A) %
	Age Group Totals	304	***	2.30%	297	97.70%
	Ages 0 to 4	.	.	.	.	.
	Ages 5 to 11	51	***	7.84%	47	92.16%
	Ages 12 to 15	125	***	0.80%	124	99.20%
	Ages 16 to 17	70	***	2.86%	68	97.14%
	Ages 18 to 20	58	.	0.00%	58	100.0%
	Gender Totals	304	***	2.30%	297	97.70%
	Female	169	***	1.78%	166	98.22%
	Male	130	***	3.08%	126	96.92%
	Transgender	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.

Race/Ethnicity	304	***	2.30%	297	97.70%
Hispanic	25	***	8.00%	23	92.00%
Black	225	***	1.33%	222	98.67%
White	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
All other	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
Unable to determine	39	***	5.13%	37	94.87%

Question 15: The Number of Children who are not enrolled in School for more than 1 Week Immediately Following a Change in Placement.

**School aged children with placement changes that occur during the school year.

Technical Notes: Any change in placement that took place during SFY25 was the base population for this question. Placements where the Child was under 5 years old at the time of the placement change, and any placement change that occurred outside of the school year (between June 7 and August 31) was then removed from the population. Education data was obtained from the CJAMS data system and compared to the child's entry date for any new placement. There were three possible outcomes. First, a child could have a start date before their placement entry, indicating that they stayed enrolled in the same school. Second, a child could have a start date within 7 days of their placement entry, indicating that they were enrolled in a new school within one week. The final possible outcome is that a child could have a start date more than 7 days after placement entry, indicating they were enrolled in a new school over a week after. **Note:** Only children with education information were used to calculate the percentage of each outcome.

Queen Anne's		Number of placement changes	Enrolled after 7 days of Placement		Timely Enrollment after Placement	
	Characteristic	Placement Changes (A)	n (b)	(b)/(A) %	n (c)	(c)/(A) %
	Age Group Totals	14	***	7.14%	13	92.86%
	Ages 0 to 4	.	.	.	.	.
	Ages 5 to 11	.	.	.	.	.
	Ages 12 to 15	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Ages 16 to 17	11	***	9.09%	10	90.91%
	Ages 18 to 20	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Gender Totals	14	***	7.14%	13	92.86%
	Female	***	***	12.50%	***	87.50%
	Male	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Transgender	.	.	.	.	.
	Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.
	Race/Ethnicity Totals	14	***	7.14%	13	92.86%

Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.
Black	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
White	12	***	8.33%	11	91.67%
All other	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.

Question 15: The Number of Children who are not enrolled in School for more than 1 Week Immediately Following a Change in Placement.

**School aged children with placement changes that occur during the school year.

Technical Notes: Any change in placement that took place during SFY25 was the base population for this question. Placements where the Child was under 5 years old at the time of the placement change, and any placement change that occurred outside of the school year (between June 7 and August 31) was then removed from the population. Education data was obtained from the CJAMS data system and compared to the child's entry date for any new placement. There were three possible outcomes. First, a child could have a start date before their placement entry, indicating that they stayed enrolled in the same school. Second, a child could have a start date within 7 days of their placement entry, indicating that they were enrolled in a new school within one week. The final possible outcome is that a child could have a start date more than 7 days after placement entry, indicating they were enrolled in a new school over a week after. **Note:** Only children with education information were used to calculate the percentage of each outcome.

Somerset		Number of placement changes	Enrolled after 7 days of Placement		Timely Enrollment after Placement	
	Characteristic	Placement Changes (A)	n (b)	(b)/(A) %	n (c)	(c)/(A) %
	Age Group Totals	19	.	0.00%	19	100.0%
	Ages 0 to 4	.	.	.	.	.
	Ages 5 to 11	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Ages 12 to 15	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Ages 16 to 17	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Ages 18 to 20	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Gender Totals	19	.	0.00%	19	100.0%
	Female	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Male	13	.	0.00%	13	100.0%
	Transgender	.	.	.	.	.
	Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.

	Race/Ethnicity Totals	19	.	0.00%	19	100.0%
	Hispanic	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Black	14	.	0.00%	14	100.0%
	White	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	All other	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.

Question 15: The Number of Children who are not enrolled in School for more than 1 Week Immediately Following a Change in Placement.

**School aged children with placement changes that occur during the school year.

Technical Notes: Any change in placement that took place during SFY25 was the base population for this question. Placements where the Child was under 5 years old at the time of the placement change, and any placement change that occurred outside of the school year (between June 7 and August 31) was then removed from the population. Education data was obtained from the CJAMS data system and compared to the child's entry date for any new placement. There were three possible outcomes. First, a child could have a start date before their placement entry, indicating that they stayed enrolled in the same school. Second, a child could have a start date within 7 days of their placement entry, indicating that they were enrolled in a new school within one week. The final possible outcome is that a child could have a start date more than 7 days after placement entry, indicating they were enrolled in a new school over a week after. **Note:** Only children with education information were used to calculate the percentage of each outcome.

St. Mary's		Number of placement changes	Enrolled after 7 days of Placement		Timely Enrollment after Placement	
	Characteristic	Placement Changes (A)	n (b)	(b)/(A) %	n (c)	(c)/(A) %
	Age Group Totals	16	.	0.00%	16	100.0%
	Ages 0 to 4	.	.	.	.	.
	Ages 5 to 11	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Ages 12 to 15	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Ages 16 to 17	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Ages 18 to 20	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Gender Totals	16	.	0.00%	16	100.0%
	Female	13	.	0.00%	13	100.0%
	Male	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Transgender	.	.	.	.	.
	Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.
	Race/Ethnicity Totals	16	.	0.00%	16	100.0%

Hispanic	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
Black	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
White	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
All other	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.

Question 15: The Number of Children who are not enrolled in School for more than 1 Week Immediately Following a Change in Placement.

**School aged children with placement changes that occur during the school year.

Technical Notes: Any change in placement that took place during SFY25 was the base population for this question. Placements where the Child was under 5 years old at the time of the placement change, and any placement change that occurred outside of the school year (between June 7 and August 31) was then removed from the population. Education data was obtained from the CJAMS data system and compared to the child's entry date for any new placement. There were three possible outcomes. First, a child could have a start date before their placement entry, indicating that they stayed enrolled in the same school. Second, a child could have a start date within 7 days of their placement entry, indicating that they were enrolled in a new school within one week. The final possible outcome is that a child could have a start date more than 7 days after placement entry, indicating they were enrolled in a new school over a week after. **Note:** Only children with education information were used to calculate the percentage of each outcome.

Talbot		Number of placement changes	Enrolled after 7 days of Placement		Timely Enrollment after Placement	
	Characteristic	Placement Changes (A)	n (b)	(b)/(A) %	n (c)	(c)/(A) %
	Age Group Totals	11	.	0.00%	11	100.0%
	Ages 0 to 4	.	.	.	.	.
	Ages 5 to 11	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Ages 12 to 15	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Ages 16 to 17	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Ages 18 to 20	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Gender Totals	11	.	0.00%	11	100.0%
	Female	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Male	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Transgender	.	.	.	.	.
	Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.

	Race/Ethnicity Totals	11	.	0.00%	11	100.0%
	Hispanic	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Black	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	White	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	All other	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Unable to determine	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%

Question 15: The Number of Children who are not enrolled in School for more than 1 Week Immediately Following a Change in Placement.

**School aged children with placement changes that occur during the school year.

Technical Notes: Any change in placement that took place during SFY25 was the base population for this question. Placements where the Child was under 5 years old at the time of the placement change, and any placement change that occurred outside of the school year (between June 7 and August 31) was then removed from the population. Education data was obtained from the CJAMS data system and compared to the child's entry date for any new placement. There were three possible outcomes. First, a child could have a start date before their placement entry, indicating that they stayed enrolled in the same school. Second, a child could have a start date within 7 days of their placement entry, indicating that they were enrolled in a new school within one week. The final possible outcome is that a child could have a start date more than 7 days after placement entry, indicating they were enrolled in a new school over a week after. **Note:** Only children with education information were used to calculate the percentage of each outcome.

Washington		Number of placement changes	Enrolled after 7 days of Placement		Timely Enrollment after Placement	
	Characteristic	Placement Changes (A)	n (b)	(b)/(A) %	n (c)	(c)/(A) %
	Age Group Totals	137	.	0.00%	137	100.0%
	Ages 0 to 4	.	.	.	.	.
	Ages 5 to 11	52	.	0.00%	52	100.0%
	Ages 12 to 15	48	.	0.00%	48	100.0%
	Ages 16 to 17	19	.	0.00%	19	100.0%
	Ages 18 to 20	18	.	0.00%	18	100.0%
	Gender Totals	137	.	0.00%	137	100.0%
	Female	46	.	0.00%	46	100.0%
	Male	91	.	0.00%	91	100.0%
	Transgender	.	.	.	.	.
	Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.

	Race/Ethnicity Totals	137	.	0.00%	137	100.0%
	Hispanic	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Black	27	.	0.00%	27	100.0%
	White	69	.	0.00%	69	100.0%
	All other	33	.	0.00%	33	100.0%
	Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.

Question 15: The Number of Children who are not enrolled in School for more than 1 Week Immediately Following a Change in Placement.

**School aged children with placement changes that occur during the school year.

Technical Notes: Any change in placement that took place during SFY25 was the base population for this question. Placements where the Child was under 5 years old at the time of the placement change, and any placement change that occurred outside of the school year (between June 7 and August 31) was then removed from the population. Education data was obtained from the CJAMS data system and compared to the child's entry date for any new placement. There were three possible outcomes. First, a child could have a start date before their placement entry, indicating that they stayed enrolled in the same school. Second, a child could have a start date within 7 days of their placement entry, indicating that they were enrolled in a new school within one week. The final possible outcome is that a child could have a start date more than 7 days after placement entry, indicating they were enrolled in a new school over a week after. **Note:** Only children with education information were used to calculate the percentage of each outcome.

Wicomico		Number of placement changes	Enrolled after 7 days of Placement		Timely Enrollment after Placement	
	Characteristic	Placement Changes (A)	n (b)	(b)/(A) %	n (c)	(c)/(A) %
	Age Group Totals	49	.	0.00%	49	100.0%
	Ages 0 to 4	.	.	.	.	.
	Ages 5 to 11	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Ages 12 to 15	22	.	0.00%	22	100.0%
	Ages 16 to 17	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Ages 18 to 20	18	.	0.00%	18	100.0%
	Gender Totals	49	.	0.00%	49	100.0%
	Female	33	.	0.00%	33	100.0%
	Male	16	.	0.00%	16	100.0%
	Transgender	.	.	.	.	.
	Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.

	Race/Ethnicity Totals	49	.	0.00%	49	100.0%
	Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.
	Black	35	.	0.00%	35	100.0%
	White	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	All other	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.

Question 15: The Number of Children who are not enrolled in School for more than 1 Week Immediately Following a Change in Placement.

**School aged children with placement changes that occur during the school year.

Technical Notes: Any change in placement that took place during SFY25 was the base population for this question. Placements where the Child was under 5 years old at the time of the placement change, and any placement change that occurred outside of the school year (between June 7 and August 31) was then removed from the population. Education data was obtained from the CJAMS data system and compared to the child's entry date for any new placement. There were three possible outcomes. First, a child could have a start date before their placement entry, indicating that they stayed enrolled in the same school. Second, a child could have a start date within 7 days of their placement entry, indicating that they were enrolled in a new school within one week. The final possible outcome is that a child could have a start date more than 7 days after placement entry, indicating they were enrolled in a new school over a week after. **Note:** Only children with education information were used to calculate the percentage of each outcome.

Worcester		Number of placement changes	Enrolled after 7 days of Placement		Timely Enrollment after Placement	
	Characteristic	Placement Changes (A)	n (b)	(b)/(A) %	n (c)	(c)/(A) %
	Age Group Totals	19	.	0.00%	19	100.0%
	Ages 0 to 4	.	.	.	.	.
	Ages 5 to 11	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Ages 12 to 15	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Ages 16 to 17	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Ages 18 to 20	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Gender Totals	19	.	0.00%	19	100.0%
	Female	15	.	0.00%	15	100.0%
	Male	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
	Transgender	.	.	.	.	.
	Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.

Race/Ethnicity Totals	19	.	0.00%	19	100.0%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.
Black	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
White	12	.	0.00%	12	100.0%
All other	***	.	0.00%	***	100.0%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.

Appendix 13: Question 16 – Jurisdictional Context

Question 16: The number of Children in an Institution of Postsecondary Education, Apprenticeship, or Adult Education Program, Disaggregated by Program

For this measure we looked at all children who were in care for any part of SFY25 and 16 or older at the start of the timeframe (July 1, 2024). Information was then brought in from the CJAMS data system education fields to determine what type of education the child received.

The following education types are listed in the report: “College/University” and “Post-Secondary” were grouped under “College/University” “Trade School” “Vocational/Technical Training”

Allegany		Number of children	College/Unive rsity		Trade School		Vocational/Tec hnical Training	
	Characteristic	(A)	N (B)	% (B)/(A)	N (C)	% (C)/(A)	n (d)	% (d)/(C)
Age Groups								
Totals		***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Age 17		***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Age 18		***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Age 19		***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Age 20		***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Gender								
Totals		***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Female		***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Male		***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Transgender		***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%

Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race							
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
White	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
All other	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 16: The number of Children in an Institution of Postsecondary Education, Apprenticeship, or Adult Education Program, Disaggregated by Program

For this measure we looked at all children who were in care for any part of SFY25 and 16 or older at the start of the timeframe (July 1, 2024). Information was then brought in from the CJAMS data system education fields to determine what type of education the child received.

The following education types are listed in the report: "College/University" and "Post-Secondary" were grouped under "College/University" "Trade School" "Vocational/Technical Training"

Anne Arundel		Numb er of childre n (A)	College/Univ ersity		Trade School		Vocational/Tech nical Training	
Coun ty	Characterist ic		n (B)	% (B)/(A)	n (C)	% (C)/(A)	n (d)	% (d)/(C)
Age Groups								
Totals		25	***	4.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Age 17		10	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Age 18		***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Age 19		***	***	20.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Age 20		***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Gender								
Totals		25	***	4.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Female		14	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Male		11	***	9.09%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Transgender		.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknow n		.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race/Ethnicity								
Totals		25	***	4.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%

Hispanic	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Black	12	***	8.33%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
White	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
All other	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 16: The number of Children in an Institution of Postsecondary Education, Apprenticeship, or Adult Education Program, Disaggregated by Program

For this measure we looked at all children who were in care for any part of SFY25 and 16 or older at the start of the timeframe (July 1, 2024). Information was then brought in from the CJAMS data system education fields to determine what type of education the child received.

The following education types are listed in the report: “College/University” and “Post-Secondary” were grouped under “College/University” “Trade School” “Vocational/Technical Training”

Baltimore City	Number of children	College/University		Trade School		Vocational/Technical Training	
Characteristic	(A)	n (B)	% (B)/(A)	n (C)	% (C)/(A)	n (d)	% (d)/(C)
Age Groups							
Totals	345	27	7.83%	***	1.45%	***	0.87%
Age 17	98	***	2.04%	***	1.02%	***	0.00%
Age 18	69	***	10.14%	***	2.90%	***	0.00%
Age 19	81	***	11.11%	***	1.23%	***	2.47%
Age 20	97	***	9.28%	***	1.03%	***	1.03%
Gender							
Totals	345	27	7.83%	***	1.45%	***	0.87%
Female	196	17	8.67%	***	2.04%	***	1.02%
Male	143	***	6.29%	***	0.70%	***	0.70%
Transgender	***	***	16.67%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race							
Totals	345	27	7.83%	***	1.45%	***	0.87%
Hispanic	25	***	4.00%	***	0.00%	***	4.00%

Black	290	24	8.28%	***	1.38%	***	0.34%
White	25	***	8.00%	***	0.00%	***	4.00%
All other	***	***	0.00%	***	20.00%	***	0.00%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 16: The number of Children in an Institution of Postsecondary Education, Apprenticeship, or Adult Education Program, Disaggregated by Program

For this measure we looked at all children who were in care for any part of SFY25 and 16 or older at the start of the timeframe (July 1, 2024). Information was then brought in from the CJAMS data system education fields to determine what type of education the child received.

The following education types are listed in the report: “College/University” and “Post-Secondary” were grouped under “College/University” “Trade School” “Vocational/Technical Training”

Baltimore County		Number of children	College/University		Trade School		Vocational/Technical Training	
	Characteristic	(A)	n (B)	% (B)/(A)	n (C)	% (C)/(A)	n (d)	% (d)/(C)
Age Groups								
Totals		137	12	8.76%	***	0.00%	***	1.46%
Age 17		47	***	4.26%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Age 18		46	***	6.52%	***	0.00%	***	4.35%
Age 19		25	***	8.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Age 20		19	***	26.32%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Gender								
Totals		137	12	8.76%	***	0.00%	***	1.46%
Female		70	***	8.57%	***	0.00%	***	2.86%
Male		64	***	7.81%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Transgender		***	***	33.33%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Other/Unknown		.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race								
Totals		137	12	8.76%	***	0.00%	***	1.46%

Hispanic	16	***	25.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Black	69	***	7.25%	***	0.00%	***	1.45%
White	39	***	5.13%	***	0.00%	***	2.56%
All other	11	***	9.09%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Unable to determine	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%

Question 16: The number of Children in an Institution of Postsecondary Education, Apprenticeship, or Adult Education Program, Disaggregated by Program

For this measure we looked at all children who were in care for any part of SFY25 and 16 or older at the start of the timeframe (July 1, 2024). Information was then brought in from the CJAMS data system education fields to determine what type of education the child received.

The following education types are listed in the report: “College/University” and “Post-Secondary” were grouped under “College/University” “Trade School” “Vocational/Technical Training”

Calvert		Numb er of childre n (A)	College/Univ ersity		Trade School		Vocational/Te chnical Training	
	Characteristi c		n (B)	% (B)/(A)	n (C)	% (C)/(A)	n (d)	% (d)/(C)
Age Groups								
Totals		12	***	8.33%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Age 17		***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Age 18		***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Age 19		.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Age 20		***	***	50.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Gender								
Totals		12	***	8.33%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Female		***	***	11.11%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Male		***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Transgender		.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown		.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race								
Totals		12	***	8.33%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Hispanic		***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%

Black	***	***	25.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
White	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
All other	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 16: The number of Children in an Institution of Postsecondary Education, Apprenticeship, or Adult Education Program, Disaggregated by Program

For this measure we looked at all children who were in care for any part of SFY25 and 16 or older at the start of the timeframe (July 1, 2024). Information was then brought in from the CJAMS data system education fields to determine what type of education the child received.

The following education types are listed in the report: “College/University” and “Post-Secondary” were grouped under “College/University” “Trade School” “Vocational/Technical Training”

Caroline		Number of children	College/University		Trade School		Vocational/Technical Training	
	Characteristic	(A)	n (B)	% (B)/(A)	n (C)	% (C)/(A)	n (d)	% (d)/(C)
Age Groups								
	Totals	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Age 17	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	Age 18	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Age 19	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	Age 20	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender								
	Totals	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Female	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	Male	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race								
	Totals	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	Black	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	White	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%

All other	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 16: The number of Children in an Institution of Postsecondary Education, Apprenticeship, or Adult Education Program, Disaggregated by Program

For this measure we looked at all children who were in care for any part of SFY25 and 16 or older at the start of the timeframe (July 1, 2024). Information was then brought in from the CJAMS data system education fields to determine what type of education the child received.

The following education types are listed in the report: “College/University” and “Post-Secondary” were grouped under “College/University” “Trade School” “Vocational/Technical Training”

Carroll		Number of children	College/University		Trade School		Vocational/Technical Training	
	Characteristic	(A)	n (B)	% (B)/(A)	n (C)	% (C)/(A)	n (d)	% (d)/(C)
Age Groups								
	Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%
	Age 17	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%
	Age 18	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	Age 19	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%
	Age 20	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%
Gender								
	Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%
	Female	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%
	Male	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%
	Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race							
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%
Hispanic	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%
Black	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
White	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%
All other	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 16: The number of Children in an Institution of Postsecondary Education, Apprenticeship, or Adult Education Program, Disaggregated by Program

For this measure we looked at all children who were in care for any part of SFY25 and 16 or older at the start of the timeframe (July 1, 2024). Information was then brought in from the CJAMS data system education fields to determine what type of education the child received.

The following education types are listed in the report: “College/University” and “Post-Secondary” were grouped under “College/University” “Trade School” “Vocational/Technical Training”

Cecil		Number of children	College/University		Trade School		Vocational/Technical Training	
	Characteristic	(A)	n (B)	% (B)/(A)	n (C)	% (C)/(A)	n (d)	% (d)/(C)
Age Groups								
	Totals	19	***	15.79%	***	0.00 %	***	5.26%
	Age 17	***	***	20.00%	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%
	Age 18	***	***	16.67%	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%
	Age 19	***	***	20.00%	***	0.00 %	***	20.00%
	Age 20	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%
Gender								
	Totals	19	***	15.79%	***	0.00 %	***	5.26%
	Female	***	***	42.86%	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%

Male	10	***	0.00%	***	0.00 %	***	10.00%
Transgender	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race							
Totals	19	***	15.79%	***	0.00 %	***	5.26%
Hispanic	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%
Black	***	***	33.33%	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%
White	14	***	14.29%	***	0.00 %	***	7.14%
All other	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 16: The number of Children in an Institution of Postsecondary Education, Apprenticeship, or Adult Education Program, Disaggregated by Program

For this measure we looked at all children who were in care for any part of SFY25 and 16 or older at the start of the timeframe (July 1, 2024). Information was then brought in from the CJAMS data system education fields to determine what type of education the child received.

The following education types are listed in the report: “College/University” and “Post-Secondary” were grouped under “College/University” “Trade School” “Vocational/Technical Training”

Charles		Number of children	College/ University		Trade School		Vocational/Technical Training	
	Characteristic	(A)	n (B)	% (B)/(A)	n (C)	% (C)/(A)	N (d)	% (d)/(C)
Age Groups								
	Totals	***	***	33.33 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Age 17	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Age 18	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Age 19	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Age 20	***	***	100.0 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Gender								
	Totals	***	***	33.33 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Female	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Male	***	***	50.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race								

Totals	***	***	33.33 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	***	***	42.86 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
White	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
All other	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 16: The number of Children in an Institution of Postsecondary Education, Apprenticeship, or Adult Education Program, Disaggregated by Program

For this measure we looked at all children who were in care for any part of SFY25 and 16 or older at the start of the timeframe (July 1, 2024). Information was then brought in from the CJAMS data system education fields to determine what type of education the child received.

The following education types are listed in the report: “College/University” and “Post-Secondary” were grouped under “College/University” “Trade School” “Vocational/Technical Training”

Dorchester		Number of children	College/ University		Trade School		Vocational/ Technical Training	
	Characteristic	(A)	n (B)	% (B)/(A)	n (C)	% (C)/(A)	n (d)	% (d)/(C)
Age Groups								
	Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	20.00%	***	0.00%
	Age 17	***	***	0.00 %	***	33.33%	***	0.00%
	Age 18	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Age 19	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	Age 20	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Gender								
	Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	20.00%	***	0.00%
	Female	***	***	0.00 %	***	33.33%	***	0.00%
	Male	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%

Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race							
Totals	***	***	0.00 %	***	20.00%	***	0.00%
Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black	***	***	0.00 %	***	50.00%	***	0.00%
White	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
All other	***	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 16: The number of Children in an Institution of Postsecondary Education, Apprenticeship, or Adult Education Program, Disaggregated by Program

For this measure we looked at all children who were in care for any part of SFY25 and 16 or older at the start of the timeframe (July 1, 2024). Information was then brought in from the CJAMS data system education fields to determine what type of education the child received.

The following education types are listed in the report: “College/University” and “Post-Secondary” were grouped under “College/University” “Trade School” “Vocational/Technical Training”

Frederick		Number of children	College/ University		Trade School		Vocational/ Technical Training	
	Characteristic	(A)	n (B)	% (B)/(A)	n (C)	% (C)/(A)	n (d)	% (d)/(C)
Age Groups								
	Totals	11	***	18.18%	***	0.00%	***	9.09%
	Age 17	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Age 18	***	***	66.67 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Age 19	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	Age 20	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	50.00%
Gender								
	Totals	11	***	18.18%	***	0.00%	***	9.09%
	Female	***	***	16.67 %	***	0.00%	***	16.67%
	Male	***	***	20.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race								
	Totals	11	***	18.18%	***	0.00%	***	9.09%

Hispanic	***	***	20.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Black	***	***	50.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
White	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	25.00%
All other	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 16: The number of Children in an Institution of Postsecondary Education, Apprenticeship, or Adult Education Program, Disaggregated by Program

For this measure we looked at all children who were in care for any part of SFY25 and 16 or older at the start of the timeframe (July 1, 2024). Information was then brought in from the CJAMS data system education fields to determine what type of education the child received.

The following education types are listed in the report: “College/University” and “Post-Secondary” were grouped under “College/University” “Trade School” “Vocational/Technical Training”

Garrett		Number of children (A)	College/ University		Trade School		Vocational/ Technical Training	
County	Characteristic		n (B)	% (B)/(A)	n (C)	% (C)/(A)	n (d)	% (d)/(C)
Age Groups								
Totals		***	***	20.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Age 17		***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Age 18		.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Age 19		***	***	100.0%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Age 20		.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender								
Totals		***	***	20.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Female		***	***	50.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Male		***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Transgender		***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Other/Unknown		.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race								
Totals		***	***	20.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Hispanic		.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black		***	***	100.0%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
White		***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
All other		.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Question 16: The number of Children in an Institution of Postsecondary Education, Apprenticeship, or Adult Education Program, Disaggregated by Program

For this measure we looked at all children who were in care for any part of SFY25 and 16 or older at the start of the timeframe (July 1, 2024). Information was then brought in from the CJAMS data system education fields to determine what type of education the child received.

The following education types are listed in the report: “College/University” and “Post-Secondary” were grouped under “College/University” “Trade School” “Vocational/Technical Training”

Harford		Number of children	College/ University		Trade School		Vocational/ Technical Training	
	Characteristic	(A)	n (B)	% (B)/(A)	n (C)	% (C)/(A)	n (d)	% (d)/(C)
Age Groups								
	Totals	41	***	14.63%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Age 17	13	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Age 18	15	***	33.33%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Age 19	***	***	20.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Age 20	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Gender								
	Totals	41	***	14.63%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Female	20	***	20.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Male	21	***	9.52%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race								
	Totals	41	***	14.63%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Hispanic	***	***	25.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Black	20	***	20.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%

White	13	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
All other	***	***	33.33%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Unable to determine	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%

Question 16: The number of Children in an Institution of Postsecondary Education, Apprenticeship, or Adult Education Program, Disaggregated by Program

For this measure we looked at all children who were in care for any part of SFY25 and 16 or older at the start of the timeframe (July 1, 2024). Information was then brought in from the CJAMS data system education fields to determine what type of education the child received.

The following education types are listed in the report: “College/University” and “Post-Secondary” were grouped under “College/University” “Trade School” “Vocational/Technical Training”

Howard		Number of children	College/ University		Trade School		Vocational/ Technical Training	
	Characteristic	(A)	n (B)	% (B)/(A)	n (C)	% (C)/(A)	n (d)	% (d)/(C)
Age Groups								
	Totals	17	***	23.53%	***	0.00%	***	5.88%
	Age 17	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Age 18	***	***	25.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Age 19	***	***	14.29%	***	0.00%	***	14.29%
	Age 20	***	***	100.0%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Gender								
	Totals	17	***	23.53%	***	0.00%	***	5.88%
	Female	13	***	15.38%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Male	***	***	50.00 %	***	0.00%	***	25.00%
	Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race								
	Totals	17	***	23.53%	***	0.00%	***	5.88%
	Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	Black	12	***	8.33%	***	0.00%	***	8.33%

White	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
All other	***	***	100.0%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 16: The number of Children in an Institution of Postsecondary Education, Apprenticeship, or Adult Education Program, Disaggregated by Program

For this measure we looked at all children who were in care for any part of SFY25 and 16 or older at the start of the timeframe (July 1, 2024). Information was then brought in from the CJAMS data system education fields to determine what type of education the child received.

The following education types are listed in the report: “College/University” and “Post-Secondary” were grouped under “College/University” “Trade School” “Vocational/Technical Training”

Kent		Number of children	College/ University		Trade School		Vocational/ Technical Training	
Coun ty	Characteri stic	(A)	n (B)	% (B)/(A)	n (C)	% (C)/(A)	n (d)	% (d)/(C)
Age Groups								
	Totals	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Age 17	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Age 18	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	Age 19	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	Age 20	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Gender								
	Totals	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Female	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Male	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	Other/Unkno wn	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race								
	Totals	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Hispanic	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	Black	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%

White	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
All other	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 16: The number of Children in an Institution of Postsecondary Education, Apprenticeship, or Adult Education Program, Disaggregated by Program

For this measure we looked at all children who were in care for any part of SFY25 and 16 or older at the start of the timeframe (July 1, 2024). Information was then brought in from the CJAMS data system education fields to determine what type of education the child received.

The following education types are listed in the report: “College/University” and “Post-Secondary” were grouped under “College/University” “Trade School” “Vocational/Technical Training”

Montgomery		Number of children	College/ University		Trade School		Vocational/ Technical Training	
	Characteristic	(A)	n (B)	% (B)/(A)	n (C)	% (C)/(A)	n (d)	% (d)/(C)
Age Groups								
	Totals	81	11	13.58%	***	0.00%	***	1.23%
	Age 17	34	***	2.94%	***	0.00%	***	2.94%
	Age 18	19	***	26.32%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Age 19	20	***	15.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Age 20	***	***	25.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Gender								
	Totals	81	11	13.58%	***	0.00%	***	1.23%
	Female	47	***	14.89%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Male	33	***	12.12%	***	0.00%	***	3.03%
	Transgender	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race								
	Totals	81	11	13.58%	***	0.00%	***	1.23%
	Hispanic	24	***	16.67%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Black	34	***	2.94%	***	0.00%	***	2.94%
	White	14	***	28.57%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%

All other	***	***	22.22%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 16: The number of Children in an Institution of Postsecondary Education, Apprenticeship, or Adult Education Program, Disaggregated by Program

For this measure we looked at all children who were in care for any part of SFY25 and 16 or older at the start of the timeframe (July 1, 2024). Information was then brought in from the CJAMS data system education fields to determine what type of education the child received.

The following education types are listed in the report: “College/University” and “Post-Secondary” were grouped under “College/University” “Trade School” “Vocational/Technical Training”

Prince George's		Number of children	College/ University		Trade School		Vocational/ Technical Training	
	Characteristic	(A)	n (B)	% (B)/(A)	n (C)	% (C)/(A)	n (d)	% (d)/(C)
Age Groups								
	Totals	145	***	4.14%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Age 17	40	***	2.50%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Age 18	24	***	4.17%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Age 19	41	***	9.76%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Age 20	40	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Gender								
	Totals	145	***	4.14%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Female	83	***	4.82%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Male	61	***	3.28%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Transgender	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race								
	Totals	145	***	4.14%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Hispanic	25	***	4.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Black	104	***	4.81%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	White	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	All other	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%

Unable to determine	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
---------------------	-----	-----	-------	-----	-------	-----	-------

Question 16: The number of Children in an Institution of Postsecondary Education, Apprenticeship, or Adult Education Program, Disaggregated by Program

For this measure we looked at all children who were in care for any part of SFY25 and 16 or older at the start of the timeframe (July 1, 2024). Information was then brought in from the CJAMS data system education fields to determine what type of education the child received.

The following education types are listed in the report: “College/University” and “Post-Secondary” were grouped under “College/University” “Trade School” “Vocational/Technical Training”

Queen Anne's		Number of children	College/ University		Trade School		Vocational/ Technical Training	
	Characteristic	(A)	n (B)	% (B)/(A)	n (C)	% (C)/(A)	n (d)	% (d)/(C)
Age Groups								
	Totals	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Age 17	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Age 18	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Age 19	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Age 20	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Gender								
	Totals	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Female	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Male	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race								
	Totals	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Hispanic	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Black	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	White	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

All other	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 16: The number of Children in an Institution of Postsecondary Education, Apprenticeship, or Adult Education Program, Disaggregated by Program

For this measure we looked at all children who were in care for any part of SFY25 and 16 or older at the start of the timeframe (July 1, 2024). Information was then brought in from the CJAMS data system education fields to determine what type of education the child received.

The following education types are listed in the report: “College/University” and “Post-Secondary” were grouped under “College/University” “Trade School” “Vocational/Technical Training”

Somerset		Number of children	College/ University		Trade School		Vocational/ Technical Training	
	Characteristic	(A)	n (B)	% (B)/(A)	n (C)	% (C)/(A)	n (d)	% (d)/(C)
Age Groups								
	Totals	***	***	16.67%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Age 17	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Age 18	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Age 19	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Age 20	***	***	100.0%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Gender								
	Totals	***	***	16.67%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Female	***	***	25.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Male	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race								
	Totals	***	***	16.67%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Hispanic	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
	Black	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%

White	***	***	50.00 %	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
All other	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 16: The number of Children in an Institution of Postsecondary Education, Apprenticeship, or Adult Education Program, Disaggregated by Program

For this measure we looked at all children who were in care for any part of SFY25 and 16 or older at the start of the timeframe (July 1, 2024). Information was then brought in from the CJAMS data system education fields to determine what type of education the child received.

The following education types are listed in the report: “College/University” and “Post-Secondary” were grouped under “College/University” “Trade School” “Vocational/Technical Training”

St. Mary's		Number of children	College/ University		Trade School		Vocational/ Technical Training	
	Characteristic	(A)	n (B)	% (B)/(A)	n (C)	% (C)/(A)	n (d)	% (d)/(C)
Age Groups								
	Totals	***	***	11.11%	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%
	Age 17	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%
	Age 18	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%
	Age 19	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	Age 20	***	***	33.33 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%
Gender								
	Totals	***	***	11.11%	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%
	Female	***	***	16.67 %	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%
	Male	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%

Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race							
Totals	***	***	11.11%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Hispanic	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Black	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
White	***	***	25.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
All other	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 16: The number of Children in an Institution of Postsecondary Education, Apprenticeship, or Adult Education Program, Disaggregated by Program

For this measure we looked at all children who were in care for any part of SFY25 and 16 or older at the start of the timeframe (July 1, 2024). Information was then brought in from the CJAMS data system education fields to determine what type of education the child received.

The following education types are listed in the report: “College/University” and “Post-Secondary” were grouped under “College/University” “Trade School” “Vocational/Technical Training”

Talbot		Number of children	College/ University		Trade School		Vocational/ Technical Training	
	Characteristic	(A)	n (B)	% (B)/(A)	n (C)	% (C)/(A)	n (d)	% (d)/(C)
Age Groups								
	Totals	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%
	Age 17	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%
	Age 18	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%
	Age 19	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
	Age 20	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Gender								
	Totals	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%
	Female	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%
	Male	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%
	Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race							
Totals	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%
Hispanic	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%
Black	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
White	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%
All other	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%
Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.

Question 16: The number of Children in an Institution of Postsecondary Education, Apprenticeship, or Adult Education Program, Disaggregated by Program

For this measure we looked at all children who were in care for any part of SFY25 and 16 or older at the start of the timeframe (July 1, 2024). Information was then brought in from the CJAMS data system education fields to determine what type of education the child received.

The following education types are listed in the report: “College/University” and “Post-Secondary” were grouped under “College/University” “Trade School” “Vocational/Technical Training”

Washington		Number of children	College/ University		Trade School		Vocational/ Technical Training	
County	Characteristic	(A)	n (B)	% (B)/(A)	n (C)	% (C)/(A)	n (d)	% (d)/(C)
Age Groups								
Totals		16	***	6.25%	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%
Age 17		***	***	0.00%	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%
Age 18		***	***	14.29%	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%
Age 19		***	***	0.00%	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%
Age 20		***	***	0.00%	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%
Gender								
Totals		16	***	6.25%	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%
Female		***	***	12.50%	***	0.00 %	***	0.00%

Male	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Transgender	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race							
Totals	16	***	6.25%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Hispanic	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Black	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
White	***	***	11.11%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
All other	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Unable to determine	***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%

Question 16: The number of Children in an Institution of Postsecondary Education, Apprenticeship, or Adult Education Program, Disaggregated by Program

For this measure we looked at all children who were in care for any part of SFY25 and 16 or older at the start of the timeframe (July 1, 2024). Information was then brought in from the CJAMS data system education fields to determine what type of education the child received.

The following education types are listed in the report: “College/University” and “Post-Secondary” were grouped under “College/University” “Trade School” “Vocational/Technical Training

Wicomico		Number of children	College/University		Trade School		Vocational/Technical Training	
	Characteristic	(A)	n (B)	% (B)/(A)	n (C)	% (C)/(A)	n (d)	% (d)/(C)
Age Groups								
Totals		11	***	9.09%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Age 17		***	***	20.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Age 18		***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Age 19		***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Age 20		***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Gender								
Totals		11	***	9.09%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Female		***	***	25.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Male		***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Transgender		.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown		.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race								
Totals		11	***	9.09%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Hispanic		.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black		***	***	16.67%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
White		***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
All other		***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%

Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
---------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Question 16: The number of Children in an Institution of Postsecondary Education, Apprenticeship, or Adult Education Program, Disaggregated by Program

For this measure we looked at all children who were in care for any part of SFY25 and 16 or older at the start of the timeframe (July 1, 2024). Information was then brought in from the CJAMS data system education fields to determine what type of education the child received.

The following education types are listed in the report: "College/University" and "Post-Secondary" were grouped under "College/University" "Trade School" "Vocational/Technical Training"

Worcester		Number of children	College/University		Trade School		Vocational/Technical Training	
	Characteristic	(A)	n (B)	% (B)/(A)	n (C)	% (C)/(A)	n (d)	% (d)/(C)
Age Groups								
Totals		***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Age 17		***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Age 18		***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Age 19		.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Age 20		***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Gender								
Totals		***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Female		***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Male		***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Transgender		.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Other/Unknown		.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Race								
Totals		***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
Hispanic		.	.	.	.	.	.	.
Black		***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
White		***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%
All other		***	***	0.00%	***	0.00%	***	0.00%

Unable to determine	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
---------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

This page intentionally blank